

To: Middle Aston Ltd.

c/o: Charles Sandy Esq., email: csandy@charterland.com phone: 07887555302 Long Meadow House, Chapel Lane, Croughton, NN13 5LR.

Dear Charles,

Hatch End Industrial Estate, Middle Aston, OX25 5QL - BS5837 Tree Constraints, Tree Impacts and Tree Protection Method Statement for commercial re-development.

Contents

Fig 1:	BS5837 Design & construction process & tree care.	1.	Instruction.
2.	Inspection.	3.	The Site.
4.	The Trees.	5.	Proposed Development & Tree Impacts.
4.1	Trees on site:	5.1	The proposal.
4.2	Off-site trees:-	5.2	Tree Constraints and Impacts (considered below).
4.3	Amenity:	5.3	Physical contact of above-ground parts of trees.
4.4	Photos:	5.4	Below-ground root spread.
4.5	Tree Descriptions & Tree Constraints Table.	5.5	Light Interception & Shading.
		5.6	Over-bearing and Falling material.
		5.7	Subsidence/heave & root growth.
		5.8	Amenity impact.
6.	Arboricultural Method Statement in	7.	Conclusions.
6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6 6.7 6.8 6.9 6.10 6.11	sequential order for proposed development. Supervision Tree Management Tree Protection Construction Access. Demolition / Excavation within RPAs:- Foundations within RPAs:- Drainage. Service Trenches within RPAs. Minimal-dig construction for new access Drives, parking & paths Tree work following construction. New Planting.	I III IV V VI VII	Appendices 1 to VIII: Location & Google Earth aerial. Vertical Tree Protection Fencing, from BS5837 Horizontal Ground Protection x 2 examples Shallow trays for strengthening gravelled or grassed areas. Slightly deeper (50mm or 80mm trays for strengthening gravelled or grassed areas. Deeper Cellweb 3-D grid for strengthening tracks. Example of Air-spade. B J UNWIN FORESTRY CONSULTANCY CV. Constraints plan:- Tree Crowns Root, Protection Areas, Theoretical Shading. Tree retention and Tree Protection Plan.

Notes: Copyright: This report is copyright of BJUFC, and licensed only to the client, site and purpose(s) named above. It may not be assigned without the author's permission.

Limitation of Report:-The statements made in this Report do not take account of the effects of extremes of climate, vandalism or accident, whether physical, chemical or fire. BJUFC cannot therefore accept any liability in connection with these factors, nor where prescribed work is not carried out in a correct and professional manner in accordance with current good practice. The authority of this Report ceases at any stated time limit within it, or if none stated after two years from the date of the survey or when any site conditions change, or pruning or other works unspecified in the Report are carried out to, or affecting, the Subject Tree(s), whichever is the sooner.

Tree and Woodland Consultancy Woodland Valuation and Timber Sales Landscape Management

Visit our website: www **<u>bjunwin.co.uk</u>** for more information





Visual Tree Assessment




Figure 1


K

Construction

Post-practical completion

to practical

completion



Inspection of trees and surrounding environment

(including relationships to new structures) (8.8)

Recommendation for post-completion management (8.8)

Site monitoring and intervention as required (6.3)

installed (Clause 6)

Construction

(Clause 7)

New planting

(Clause 8)

Remedial tree works

if required

Instruction.

- <u>1.</u> 1.1 Middle Aston Ltd wish to re-develop Hatch End Industrial Estate, coverting existing buildings into a complex of offices. Therefore, Charles Sandy has asked B J Unwin Forestry Consultancy to advise on trees for planning application purposes, subject to quote.
- 1.2 The local authority (Cherwell District Council) will require a tree impact assessment and tree protection method statement for any proposal. The local authority may require mitigation by new planting for any trees lost as part of any development.
- 1.3 We have used a topo survey by Astro Surveys Ltd A20/168/1A and 1B of July 2018 for constraints plans. Proposed Site Plan 10949-PL100 Rev B of 23/3/20 by Ferguson Mann Architects., extract in section 5, shows the proposal, and guides our tree impact and tree protection sections 5 & 6 of this report.
- 1.4 Therefore methodology of the report below follows BS5837:2012 Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Construction.
- 1.5 BS5837 flowchart previous page. Appendices follow signature page.

<u>2.</u> 2.1 Inspection.

- Owen Hutchison visited the property on 19th June 2019, and made an unaccompanied inspection. He returned November 2019 to detail the southern boundary trees.
- 2.2 The survey was from ground level, involving visual observation (Visual Tree Assessment: Mattheck and Breloer, 1994 and Lonsdale, 1999). We measured dbh, (estimated for off-site and inaccessible trees) and measured or estimated crown spread and height.
- 2.3 The survey and report for this project are by Owen Hutchison, who has >10 years' experience working with trees and has been checked by Jim Unwin (professional-CV attached).

The Site. <u>3.</u>

3.1 The site inspected is an industrial estate, which occupies a former poultry farm. The industrial estate buildings and associated access roads and parking areas, are located in the eastern part of the site. The western part of the site is largely grassland. The site's eastern boundary meets Fir Lane, while the southern boundary meets Dr Radcliffe's C of E Primary School. Located to the west, there are further industrial units at Lakeside Farm, while to the north, there is a residential dwelling.

Superficial deposits: None recorded.

Bedrock geology: Northampton Sand Formation - Sandstone, Limestone And Ironstone.

Sedimentary Bedrock formed approximately 170 to 174 million years ago in the Jurassic Period. Local environment previously dominated by shallow seas.

Therefore, natural subsoils and geology are likely to be coarse-textured, with low volume-change potential.

3.2 A public footpath runs adjacent to the site's southern boundary.

4. The Trees.

- 4.1 Trees on site:-
 - Woodland group WG4 straddles the public footpath, on the site's southern boundary. This is a crowded group, containing many broken stems, and supressed and dead trees. Most notably, there is a large, dead, horse chestnut near the group's western end. We recommend that the woodland is thinned, by removing poor-quality, dead and supressed trees.
 - Trees T6 to T11 are located on the site's western boundary, with Lakeside Farm. These comprise five mature horse chestnuts and one over-mature beech (T10).
 - Trees T14 to T25 form a very attractive, old avenue. Trees T14 to T18 are horse chestnuts and form the eastern side of the avenue. Trees T19 to T25 are beech trees and form the western side of the avenue. The trees form part of a far larger avenue, which continues off-site, to the north.
 - Hedge H31 surrounds the south-easterly, off-site building. It comprises predominantly field maple and hawthorn.
 - Group G33 comprises three small hawthorns. These probably formed part of a larger hedge.
- 4.2 Off-site trees:-
 - Laurel hedge H5 is located within the grounds of Lakeside Farm, on the sites south-western boundary.
 - Tree T12 is a beech, located just beyond the site's northern boundary. Tree T13 is a supressed plum located beneath the crown of T12.
 - Beech T26 is a continuation of the avenue, beyond the site's northern boundary.
 - Groups G27, G28 and hedge H29 are located within the garden of the residential property, north of the site.
 - Group G30 is a prominent row of road-side lime trees. The trees are located adjacent to the site's eastern boundary and Fir Lane.
 - Lime T32 appears to be a continuation of group G30, located at the southern end of the site's eastern boundary.
 - The eastern section of hedge H31 is located off-site, east of the southerly off-site building.
- 4.3 Amenity: This could describe an attractive tree, a screening function, habitat potential, or historic/veteran tree.
 - Off-site group G30 is located along the western edge of Fir Lane. The group is a prominent landscape feature and offers visual amenity to users of Fir Lane.
 - Woodland group WG4 is a prominent landscape feature and provides excellent wildlife habitat. It also provides screening between the primary school and industrial estate.
 - Tree T14 to T25 form an impressive avenue. This represents an important landscape feature of high arboricultural value.
 - We have not checked for presence of TPOs.
- 4.4 Photos below:



4.4.1 View south east along woodland group WG4. Roadside limes T1 and T32 beyond.



4.4.2 View north through avenue of beech and horse chestnuts T14 to T25.



4.4.3 View north along Fir Lane. Off-site lime Group G30 to the left.



4.4.4 View north across the eastern part of the site. Beech and horse chestnut avenue to the left. Off-site poplars G27 ahead, and off-site limes G30 to the right.

4.5 Detailed Tree Descriptions

4.5.1 Trees **on, or potentially influencing** the site, are individually described in the table below, and shown on the plans in Appendices.

Age class is described as:-

- Sap: Very young tree, or sapling, one-five years old.
- Y: Young tree less than fifteen years old and <1/3 fully grown.
- Sm: Semi-mature tree having attained 1/3 to 2/3 full stature and 1/3 to 1/2 estimated lifespan.
- Em: Early mature: tree at 2/3 to virtually full size, and halfway through its safe life.
- M: Mature: fully-grown tree with useful life expectancy.
- Lm: Late-mature: fully grown, of declining vigour, but still healthy.
- Om: Overmature tree: fully grown and starting to decline in health (but may still have years of safe life).

Light Green*

Mid Blue*

Dark Red*

Grey*

Vet: Veteran: usually very old; of significant historic, habitat or cultural value.

Health & Structural condition:- Self-explanatory:- Good, Fair, Poor or Dead.

Remaining Safe Useful Life

Prediction of safe life in its location, estimated as:-<5 years, <10 years, 10-20 years, 20-40 years, >40 years.

Retention categories, based on BS 5837 Section 4.5, are:-

Retain:

- $\overline{\mathbf{A}} = High$ quality or value >40yrs safe life:
- **B** = Moderate quality or value >20yrs safe life:
- **C** = Low quality or value >10yrs safe life

or young trees <150mm stem diameter.

- Remove:
- **U** = <10yrs safe life or should be removed for sound arboricultural reasons:

(*Colour marking on relevant Tree plan)

Sub-category for retention:-

- 1 = Arboricultural Value
- 2 = Landscape Value
- 3 = Cultural and/or Habitat Conservation Value

BS 5837:2012 Root Protection Area:

The estimated volume of soil 1m deep required to sustain the tree, usually expressed as a disc 1m deep, centred on the tree's trunk. THE RPA CAN BE A VARIED SHAPE ENCLOSING THE CORRECT ROOTABLE AREA: but SHOWN AS A CIRCLE FOR CONVENIENCE.

Calculated as:-

Single-stem tree, radial distance = 12 x stem diameter at 1.5m ht.

Multi-stem trees 1-5 stems = Square root of (sum of individual stem diameters squared).

> 5 stems = Square root of (average dbh squared x number of stems).

		4.5.2					Hat	tch	Ene	d Inc	dus	tria	l Esta	te – BJUFC BS5837 insp	ection	— 18 ^{tr}	່ June 2019
No. T=tree S=		Dbh (stem diam	he	Tota ight. base	Ht	Cr	own	radi	i m.	Age	н	Structura	S	Comment	Retention c A (best) to C. U Sub-category	BS 5837 R Area r	Recommended WORK
shrub H= hedge G= group	Species	@ 1.5m ht) mm.	Est	rowi Ht ir yrs. m.		z	E	S	W	class	Health	Structural Condition	SULE	(All are in average to good health and condition, unless stated otherwise.)	n category U = (remove) ory 1, 2 or 3	Root Protection a radius.m.	excluding development.
T1	Common lime	300, 700 #	24	0	25	б	6	6	б	м	F	F	>40	Impressive off-site, road-side tree. Extensive basal growth.	A1, 2	7.6	Remove basal growth and inspect base.
Т2	Common lime	150	თ	0	œ	0	ω	ω	ω	Y	F	F/ P	10- 20	Self-seeded, off-site tree. Supressed by neighbouring T1.	C2	1.8	
Т3	Oak	100	4	2	ი	0	ω	ω	2	Y	F/ P	F/ P	>40	Off-site, road-side tree. Supressed by neighbouring T2, but has potential.	C2	1.2	Consider removing T2, to improve growing conditions.

WG4	Mixed species See also T34 to T227 for details.	350 Ave. #	Up to 18	0	Up to 20	Up to 10 ext .	10	10	10	Y - M	F/ P	F/ P	>40	Large group straddling the footpath along the site's southern boundary. Comprising alder, ash, horse chestnut, oak, rowan, cherry, hawthorn and beech. Very crowded group, with many supressed and dead trees. Many broken stems. The group is a prominent landscape feature.	A2	4.2	Thin by removing dead and supressed tree. Remove all broken and stems and branches.
H5	Laurel	90 Ave. #	2-4	0	2-4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	Sm	F	F	20- 40	Off-site boundary hedge. Well-pruned and maintained, but differs between 2m and 4m in height.	C2	1.1	Reduce 4m high section to 2m in height.
T6	Horse chestnut	960	15	<u> </u>	17	œ	7	7	7	Μ	F	F	>40	Ivy covered main stem and scaffold branches. Animal burrow at base to the east.	A2	11.5	Remove ring of ivy from the main stem, from ground level to 1m above.
Τ7	Horse chestnut	1010	14	-	16	6	7	9	8	Μ	F	Ρ	10- 20	Large historic tear-out wound on the north side of the main stem. Extensive decay ingress resulting from wound.	C2	12.1	Remove in the event that target changes.

T8	Horse chestnut	1140	16	<u> </u>	18	8	9	8	7	Μ	F	F	20- 40	Historic basal wound to the north, with minor decay ingress. Comprising three stems from 3m.	B1, B2	13.7	
Τ9	Horse chestnut	1020	18	1	18	œ	6	œ	7	Μ	F	F/ P	20- 40	Two stems originating from a bark-included union at 2m. Exhibiting significant reaction wood, but likely to fail in the future.	B2	12.2	Reduce height and radii by approximately 3m.
T10	Beech	1100	20	4	20	10	8	7	8	Om	F/ P	Ρ	10- 20	Cavity in the main stem to the north-west, from 1.5m to 4m above ground level. Extensive decay within. Previously crown reduced to mitigate stem decay.	B2	13.2	Conduct PICUS ultrasound test, to assess the extent of decay in the main stem.
T11	Horse chestnut	840	16	-	18	9	9	10	9	М	F	F	20- 40	Attractive tree on the site's northern boundary. Exhibiting signs of minor bacterial canker infection.	B2	10.1	
T12	Beech	800#	22	<u> </u>	24	4	10	10	8	М	F	F	>40	Impressive off-site beech. Multiple stems at 5m.	A1, A2	9.6	
T13	Plum	200, 100, 100, 90 #	9	1.5	10	-	ω	ω	ω	Em	F	F/ P	10- 20	Off-site plum, with estimated position and dimensions. Supressed by neighbouring beech trees.	C2	2.6	

T14	Horse chestnut	930	16	_	18	8	8	9	10	Μ	F	F/ P	>40	Avenue tree. Historic wound at 1.3m to the north west. Not currently of concern. Ribbed main stem, with a lean to the south east.	A2	11.2	
T15	Horse chestnut	860	16	2	18	თ	9	10	4	М	F	F	>40	Avenue tree. Three stems at 2,5m.	A1, A2	10.3	
T16	Horse chestnut	800	16	2	18	œ	g	7	4	Om	F	F/ P	>40	Avenue tree. Supressed western canopy. Historic tear-out wound on lowest limb, extending South east.	B2	9.6	Reduce low south-easterly limb by approximately 3m, to reduce end weight.
T17	Horse chestnut	720	16	1.5	18	ი	7	œ	4	Em	F	F	>40	Avenue tree. Slight lean south-east.	A2	8.6	
T18	Horse chestnut	1230	16	0-2	18	7	11	11	4	М	F	F	>40	Avenue tree. Multi-stemmed at 2m.	A1, A2	14.8	
T19	Beech	1150	24	0-2	24	3	4	9	11	М	F	F	>40	Impressive end of avenue tree.	A1, A2	13.8	

T20	Beech	1090	22	0-2	24	5	10	IJ	11	Μ	F	F/ P	20- 40	Avenue tree. Interesting ribbed stem formation. Codominant stems, originating from bark included union at 5m.	B2	13.1	Reduce height by 6m and radii by 4m.
T21	Beech	820	22	1-3	24	4	9	თ	8	Em / M	F	F	>40	Avenue tree. Codominant stems, originating from wide union at 5m. Basal cavity with minor decay to the west.	A2	9.8	
T22	Beech	940	22	0-3	24	6	9	6	11	Μ	F/ P	F	20- 40	Avenue tree. Evidence of beech bark bleed on main stem.	B2	11. 3	
T23	Beech	810	22	0-3	24	ω	11	4	12	Em / M	F	Ρ	10- 20	Avenue tree. Huge wound on south side, from base to 1.2m. Codominant stems, originating from bark included union at 5m.	B2	9.7	Reduce height by 6m and radii by 4m.
T24	Beech	910	22	0-3	24	8	9	ω	13	Μ	F	F	>40	Avenue tree. Codominant stems, originating from a wide union, with good ear formation at 4m.	A1, A2	10.9	
T25	Beech	1070	22	0-3	24	7	11	9	7	Μ	F	F	>40	Avenue tree, with impressive buttressing.	A1, A2	12.8	
T26	Beech	1100	22	0-3	24	4	9	თ	10	Μ	F	F/ P	20- 40	Off-site tree, with estimated position and dimensions. Decayed basal wound to the south.	B2	13.2	

G27	Balsam poplars x3	500 Ave. #	25	ω	26	4	თ	თ	2	Μ	F	F	20- 40	Three off-site poplars, with estimated position and dimensions. Slightly thin crowns.	B2	6.0	
G28	Mixed species	500 Ave. #	16	2	18	4	4	4	4	Em	F	F	>40	Group of off-site, garden ornamental trees, with estimated position and dimensions. Comprising silver birch, weeping willow, cherries and hornbeam.	B2	6.0	
H29	Laurel	20	1.2	0	2	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	Y	F	F	>40	Off-site, newly-planted hedge, with estimated position and location.	C2	0.2	Trim annually to maintain desired size and shape.
G30	Common limes	1000 Ave. #	22	0	24	7	7	7	7	Em - M	F	F	>40	Dense basal growth. Deadwood over the road, in many crowns. Prominent landscape feature.	A2	12.0	Remove basal growth and inspect bases. Remove deadwood over the road.
H31	Mixed species	150 Ave. #	6	-	8	1	ъ	-	5	Sm	F	F/ P	>40	Off-site with estimated position and dimensions. Comprising predominantly field maple and hawthorn. Probably planted as a hedge, but not maintained as one.	C2	1.8	Reduce height to 3m and cut back sides hard. Allow to regenerate and trim annually to maintain size and shape.
T32	Common lime	1100 #	24	4	26	7	œ	6	8	Μ	F	F	>40	Off-site tree with estimated position and dimensions. Twin stems at 5m. Dead ivy on main stems.	C2	13.2	
G33	Hawthorn	90 Ave. #	ω	0	5	ω	ω	ω	3	Em	ш	F	>40	Three hawthorns, once part of a larger hedge.	C2	1.1	Trim annually to maintain size and shape.

	,									-			lso W	/G4 above.	-		nd November 2019
No. T=tree S=		Dbh (stem diam	he	Tota eight. base	Ht	Cr	own	radii	m.	Age	н	Structura	S	Comment	Retention category A (best) to C. U = (remove) Sub-category 1, 2 or 3	BS 5837 Ro Area r	Recommended WORK
shrub H= hedge G= group	Species	@ 1.5m ht) mm.		crowr Ht in yrs. m.		z	т	S	W	class	Health	Structural Condition	SULE	(All are in average to good health and condition, unless stated otherwise.)	n category U = (remove) pry 1, 2 or 3	5837 Root Protection Area radius. m.	excluding development.
T34	Blackthorn	100, 100, 200, 220								М	F	F/ P			C2	3.3	
T35	Blackthorn	120, 200								Μ	F	F/ P			C2	2.3	
T36	Sycamore	300								Sm	F	F			C2	3.6	
T37	Hawthorn	150								Em	F	F/ P			C2	1.8	
Т38	Cherry	320								Em	F	F/ P			C2	3.8	
Т39	Ash	140								Y	F/ P	F/ P			C2	1.7	
T40	Ash	300								Sm	F/ P	F/ P			C2	3.6	
T41	Lime	250 Ave. 6 stems								Em	F	F/ P			C2	6.1	
T42	Ash	120, 140								Sm	F/ P	F/ P			C2	1.8	
T43	Horse chestnut	300								Sm	P	P			U	3.6	

T44	Beech	420		Sm	F	F	B2	5.0
T45	Cherry	300		М	F/ P	Р	U	3.6
T46	Cherry	300		М	F/ P	F/ P	C2	3.6
T47	Ash	200, 310		Em	F	F/ P	C2	3.7
T48	Ash	150, 280		Em	F	F/ P	C2	3.2
T49	Goat willow	180, 180, 300		М	F	F/ P	C2	3.9
T50	Goat willow	400		М	F	F/ P	C2	4.8
T51	Goat willow	400, 400		М	F/ P	F/ P	C2	5.7
T52	Goat willow	250, 250		М	F	F/ P	C2	3.5
T53	Horse chestnut	450		М	F	F	B2	5.4
T54	Goat willow	450		М	F/ P	F/ P	C2	5.4
T55	Lime	320		Sm	F	F/ P	C2	3.8
T56	Lime	180		Sm	F	F/ P	C2	2.2
T57	Ash	250		Sm	F	F/ P	C2	3.0
T58	Beech	240		Sm	F	F/ P	C2	2.9
T59	Horse chestnut	300		Sm	Ρ	Р	U	3.6
T60	Goat willow	300		М	F/ P	F/ P	C2	3.6
T61	English oak	200		Y	F	F/ P	C2	2.4
T62	Beech	410		Sm	F	F/ P	B2	4.9

T63	Goat willow	380		М	F/ P	F/ P	C2	4.6	
T64	Horse chestnut	180		Y	F/ P	F/ P	C2	2.2	
T65	Goat willow	250, 260, 280		М	F/ P	F/ P	C2	4.6	
T66	Beech	250		Y / Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	3.0	
T67	Lime	350		Sm	F	F/ P	B2	4.2	
T68	cherry	400		М	F	F/ P	C2	4.8	
T69	Goat willow	100, 150		Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	1.8	
T70	Goat willow	700		М	F	F/ P	B2	8.4	
T71	Ash	380		Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	4.6	
T72	Beech	450		Sm	F	F	B2	5.4	
T73	Goat willow	280 Ave. 6 stems		М	F/ P	F/ P	C2	6.9	
T74	Horse chestnut	100, 150, 150, 200		Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	3.0	
T75	Horse chestnut	300		Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	3.6	
T76	Horse chestnut	450		Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	5.4	
T77	Goat willow	300		М	F	F/ P	C2	3.6	
T78	Cherry	400		М	F	F/ P	B2	4.8	
T79	Goat willow	450		м	F/ P	F/ P	C2	5.4	

T80	Cherry	420		М	F	F	B2	5.0	
T81	Goat willow	400		м	F/ P	F/ P	C2	4.8	
T82	Cherry	100, 150		Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	1.8	
T83	Silver birch	200, 200		Em	F	F/ P	C2	2.8	
T84	Goat willow	600		м	F	F/ P	B2	7.2	
T85	Beech	700		Em	F	F/ P	B2	8.4	
T86	Ash	250		Sm	F	F/ P	C2	3.0	
T87	Sycamore	200		Y / Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	2.4	
T88	Ash	180		Y	F/ P	F/ P	C2	2.2	
T89	Goat willow	180		Y	Р	Ρ	U	2.2	
Т90	Goat willow	180, 200, 300, 300		М	F/ P	F/ P	C2	5.0	
T91	Beech	250		Y / Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	3.0	
T92	Cherry	300		Em	F/ P	F/ P	C2	3.6	
T93	Ash	400		Sm	F	F	B2	4.8	
T94	Sycamore	100, 150		Y	Р	Р	U	1.8	
Т95	Ash	250		Y / Sm	Р	Ρ	U	3.0	
Т96	Ash	250		Y / Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	3.0	

T97	Ash	200		Sm	F/	F/		C2	2.4	
				-	P	Ρ				
T98	Horse chestnut	180		Y	Р	Р		U	2.2	
T99	Beech	420		Sm / Em		F/ P		B2	5.0	
T100	Ash	200		Sm	Р	Р		U	2.4	
T101	Ash	300		Sm	Р	F/ P		C2	3.6	
T102	Ash	200		Sm	F/ P	F/ P		C2	2.4	
T103	Ash	280		Sm	F/ P	F/ P		C2	3.4	
T104	Horse chestnut	180		Y / Sm	Р	P		U	2.2	
T105	Hawthorn	80 Ave. 7 stems		Em	F	F/ P		C2	2.1	
T106	Hawthorn	100, 100, 150, 150		M	F	F/ P		C2	2.5	
T107	Sycamore	180, 200		Sm	Р	Р		U	2.7	
T108	Ash	250		Sm	F/ P	F/ P		C2	3.0	
T109	Hawthorn	80		Sm		F/ P		C2	1.0	
T110	Ash	200		Sm	_	Ρ		U	2.4	
T111	Ash	80, 120		Sm	Р	Р		U	1.4	
T112	Hawthorn	150, 150		Em	F	F/ P		C2	2.1	

T113	Cherry	120		(F.	/ F/	/	C2	1.4	
			s	/ P m	' P				
T114	Cherry	210		m F			C2	2.5	
T115	Cherry	350		/ F	/ F/	/	C2	4.2	
T116	Hawthorn	100, 120	E	m F	/ F/	/	C2	1.6	
T117	Ash	100, 200, 250	s	m F	/ F/	/	C2	3.4	
T118	Ash	250	s	m F	F/		C2	3.0	
T119	Ash	250	S	m F		/	C2	3.0	
T120	Ash	100		(P			 U	1.2	
T121	Sycamore	50, 80, 200		(P (m) P	,	U	2.2	
T122	Sycamore	100, 100, 200		m F		,	C2	2.5	
T123	Ash	200	S	m F	F/	/	C2	2.4	
T124	Ash	50, 80, 100		r P / m			U	1.4	
T125	Ash	150		(F.			C2	1.8	
T126	Ash	230	s	m F			C2	2.8	
T127	Ash	160		(F		/	C2	1.9	
T128	Sycamore	260	s	m F		/	C2	3.1	
T129	Ash	190, 240	s	m F	-	/	C2	3.1	

T130	Ash	100, 100, 300, 320			Em	F	F/ P	C2	4.6	
T131	Sycamore	280			Sm	F	F/ P	C2	3.4	
T132	Ash	170			Sm	Р	P	U	2.0	
T133	Sycamore	120, 160			Sm	Р	Ρ	U	2.0	
T134	Ash	220			Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	2.6	
T135	Sycamore	300			Sm	F	F/ P	C2	3.6	
T136	Sycamore	50, 150, 280			Sm	F	F/ P	C2	3.2	
T137	Sycamore	50, 50, 80, 300, 300			Sm / Em	F	F/ P	C2	4.4	
T138	Lime	200, 200, 300			Sm / Em	F	F/ P	C2	4.1	
T139	Rowan	50, 50, 50			Sm	F	F/ P	C2	0.9	
T140	Ash	220, 200			Sm	F	F/ P	C2	3.0	
T141	Sycamore	300			Sm	F	F	B2	3.6	
T142	Ash	200			Sm	F	F/ P	C2	2.4	
T143	Ash	200, 200			Sm	F	F/ P	C2	2.8	
T144	Ash	150, 150			Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	2.1	
T145	Ash	200		\uparrow	Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	2.4	

T146	Ash	150			Y / Sm	Р	Ρ		U	1.8	
T147	Ash	140, 200			Sm	F	F/ P		C2	2.4	
T148	Ash	170			Sm	Р	Ρ		U	2.0	
T149	Ash	200 Ave. 7 stems			Sm	F	F/ P		C2	5.3	
T150	Ash	170, 190			Sm	F	F/ P		C2	2.6	
T151	Ash	50, 150, 150, 200			Sm	F	F/ P		C2	3.0	
T152	Sycamore	160, 160, 170, 200			Em	F	F/ P		C2	3.5	
T153	Sycamore	170			Sm	F/ P	Ρ		U	2.0	
T154	Ash	200			Sm	F/ P	F/ P		C2	2.4	
T155	Ash	200			Sm	F/ P	F/ P		C2	2.4	
T156	Ash	200			Sm	F/ P	F/ P		C2	2.4	
T157	Ash	230			Sm	F/ P	F/ P		C2	2.8	
T158	Ash	200			Sm	F/ P	F/ P		C2	2.4	
T159	Beech	310			Sm / Em	F	F/ P		B2	3.7	
T160	Ash	100			Y	Ρ	Ρ		U	1.2	

T161	Ash	180		Y / Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	2.2	
T162	Horse chestnut	380		Sm / Em	F/ P	F/ P	C2	4.6	
T163	Sycamore	100		Y	Ρ	Р	U	1.2	
T164	Ash	350, 400' 450		М	F	F/ P	B2	6.0	
T165	Sycamore	100		Y	Ρ	Р	U	1.2	
T166	Ash	250, 350, 350		М	F	F/ P	B2	5.6	
T167	Ash	280		Sm	F	F/ P	C2	3.4	
T168	Ash	250		Sm	F	F/ P	C2	3.0	
T169	Ash	50, 100, 300		Em	F/ P	F/ P	C2	3.2	
T170	Ash	400		Em	F/ P	F/ P	C2	4.8	
T171	Ash	180		Sm	Р	Р	U	2.2	
T172	Ash	420		Em	F	F/ P	B2	5.0	
T173	Ash	120		Y	Р	Ρ	U	1.4	
T174	Ash	200, 420		Em	F/ P	F/ P	C2	4.7	
T175	Ash	300		Sm / Em	F	F/ P	C2	3.6	
T176	Sycamore	300		Sm / Em	F	F/ P	C2	3.6	

		<u>г г</u>	1 1	т т	- T T	_	-	<u> </u>			1
T177	Ash	200,				Em	Ρ	Ρ	U	4.4	
		250,									
		350									
T178	Ash	280				Sm	F/	Р	U	3.4	
1170	ASII	200					P	•	0	0.4	
T179	Ash	350				Em	F	F/	C2	4.2	
	,						1	P			
T180	Ash	180,				Em	F	F/	B2	4.7	
	,	430					1.	P			
T181	Ash	180				Sm	F/	F /	C2	2.2	
	ASI					0	P	P	02	2.2	
T182	Horse	200,				Sm	F /	F/	C2	2.8	
	chestnut	200				•	P	Ρ			
T183	Ash	400				Em	F	F/	B2	4.8	
	7.011	100					1.	P		-10	
T184	Ash	120,				Em	F/	F/	C2	3.7	
1104	ASI	350					P.	P.	02	5.7	
T185	Louthorn	120				C m		- F/	 C2	1.4	
1100	Hawthorn	120				Sm	F/	г/ Р	62	1.4	
T400	Alah	400				Y		Р Р	U	4.4	
T186	Ash	120				T	Ρ	Р	U	1.4	
T187	Ash	120,				Sm	Р	Ρ	U	1.7	
		120									
T188	Ash	300				Sm	F	F /	B2	3.6	
	7.011					/	1.	P		0.0	
						Ém		•			
T189	Ash	250				Sm	Р	Р	U	3.0	
1105	ASII	230				511	Г	F	0	5.0	
T190	Ash	120,				Sm	F /	F/	C2	2.2	
		180					P	Ρ			
T191	Ash	350				Sm		F/	C2	4.2	
1101	Asir	000				/	P.	Р.	02	7.2	
						, Em					
T192	Ash	250				Sm	F/	F/	C2	3.0	+
1152	ASII	200				311	P	г/ Р		3.0	
T193	Ash	180,		+		Sm		- F/	C2	3.1	
1193	ASII	180,				SIII	P	г/ Р	62	3.1	
		180					1				

			 						-	
T194	Ash	260		:	Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	3.1	
T195	Ash	200		:	Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	2.4	
T196	Ash	170		1	Sm		F/ P	C2	2.0	
T197	Ash	230			Sm		F/ P	C2	2.8	
T198	Ash	220			Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	2.6	
T199	Ash	100, 140		-	Sm		P	U	1.7	
T200	Ash	180			Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	2.2	
T201	Ash	180, 300			Em		F/ P	C2	3.5	
T202	Ash	240		1	Sm		F/ P	C2	2.9	
T203	Ash	290			Sm		F/ P	C2	3.5	
T204	Ash	250			Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	3.0	
T205	Ash	180			Y / Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	2.2	
T206	Ash	280				F/ P	F/ P	C2	3.4	
T207	Ash	250			Sm	F/ P	F/ P	C2	3.0	
T208	Rowan	100 Ave. 6 stems			Sm		F/ P	C2	2.5	
T209	Ash	150			Y / Sm	Ρ	Р	U	1.8	
T210	Ash	220				F/ P	F/ P	C2	2.6	

Ach	200				V	D	D				21	
ASII	200					F	Г			U	2.4	
					, Sm							
Ash	210					F/	F/			C2	2.5	
-	_					Ρ	Ρ					
Ash	250,				Em	F	F/			B2	6.0	
	300,						Р					
	450											
Ash	160				Y	Ρ	Ρ			U	2.0	
Horse	230				Sm	Р	Р			U	2.8	
chestnut												
Horse	300				Sm		F/			C2	3.6	
chestnut												
Ash	250				Sm		F/			C2	3.0	
Ash	300				Sm	F/	F/			C2	3.6	
						_						
	280				Sm	Ρ	Р			U	3.4	
Horse chestnut	1000				м	P	P		Dead.	U	12.0	Fell.
Ash	380				Sm	F	F/			C2	4.6	
					1		Ρ					
Ash	120				Y	Р	Р			U	1.4	
Horse	200				Y	F/	F/			C2	2.4	
chestnut						Р	Р					
Ash	120				Y	Ρ	Р			U	1.4	
Beech	1000				м	F/	F/				12.0	
Deech					IAI	P	Р				12.0	
Ash	100,				Y	F/	F/			C2	1.4	
	100					Ρ	Ρ					
Sycamore	100				Y	Р	Ρ			U	1.2	
	Ash Horse chestnut Horse chestnut Ash Ash Horse chestnut Ash Ash Horse chestnut Ash Beech Ash	Ash 210 Ash 250, 300, 450 Ash 160 Ash 160 Horse 230 chestnut - Horse 300 chestnut - Ash 300 Chestnut - Ash 250 Ash 200 chestnut - Ash 380 Horse 1000 chestnut - Ash 380 Horse 200 chestnut - Ash 120 Ash 120 Ash 120 Ash 120 Ash 120 Ash 1000	Ash 210 I I Ash 250, 300, 450 I I Ash 160 I I Ash 160 I I Horse 230 I I Ash 300 I I I Ash 300 I I I Ash 300 I I I Horse 280 I I I Horse 1000 I I I Ash 380 I I I Ash 120 I I I Ash 120 I I I Ash 1000 I I I Ash	Ash 210 I I I I Ash 250, 300, 450 I I I I Ash 250, 300, 450 I I I I Ash 160 I I I I Horse chestnut 230 I I I I Horse chestnut 300 I I I I Ash 250 I I I I Ash 250 I I I I I Ash 300 I I I I I I Ash 300 I	Ash 210 I <td>Ash 210 Sm Ash 250, 300, 450 Sm Sm Ash 250, 300, 450 Sm Em Ash 160 Sm Y Horse 230 Sm Sm chestnut Sm Sm Sm Horse 230 Sm Sm chestnut Sm Sm Sm Ash 250 Sm Sm Ash 250 Sm Sm Ash 250 Sm Sm Ash 300 Sm Sm Horse 280 Sm Sm chestnut N Sm Sm Horse 1000 Sm M Ash 380 Sm Y Horse 200 Y Y Horse 200 Y Y Ash 120 Y Y Beech 1000 Y Y</td> <td>Ash 210 Sm F/ Ash 250, Sm F/ Ash 250, Sm F Ash 100 Sm F Ash 100 Sm F Ash 160 Sm F Horse 230 Sm Sm chestnut Sm F Horse 300 Sm F Ash 250 Sm Sm F Ash 250 Sm Sm F Ash 300 Sm Sm F Horse 1000 Sm Sm F Horse 280 Sm Sm F Ash 380 Sm Sm F Ash 120 Sm Y P Horse 200 Sm Y P <!--</td--><td>Ash 210 Sm F/ F/ F/ P</td><td>Ash 210</td><td>Ash 210 Image: second second</td><td>Ash 210 I I Sm F/P P/P P/P</td><td>Ash 210 Image: Constraint of the constra</td></td>	Ash 210 Sm Ash 250, 300, 450 Sm Sm Ash 250, 300, 450 Sm Em Ash 160 Sm Y Horse 230 Sm Sm chestnut Sm Sm Sm Horse 230 Sm Sm chestnut Sm Sm Sm Ash 250 Sm Sm Ash 250 Sm Sm Ash 250 Sm Sm Ash 300 Sm Sm Horse 280 Sm Sm chestnut N Sm Sm Horse 1000 Sm M Ash 380 Sm Y Horse 200 Y Y Horse 200 Y Y Ash 120 Y Y Beech 1000 Y Y	Ash 210 Sm F/ Ash 250, Sm F/ Ash 250, Sm F Ash 100 Sm F Ash 100 Sm F Ash 160 Sm F Horse 230 Sm Sm chestnut Sm F Horse 300 Sm F Ash 250 Sm Sm F Ash 250 Sm Sm F Ash 300 Sm Sm F Horse 1000 Sm Sm F Horse 280 Sm Sm F Ash 380 Sm Sm F Ash 120 Sm Y P Horse 200 Sm Y P </td <td>Ash 210 Sm F/ F/ F/ P</td> <td>Ash 210</td> <td>Ash 210 Image: second second</td> <td>Ash 210 I I Sm F/P P/P P/P</td> <td>Ash 210 Image: Constraint of the constra</td>	Ash 210 Sm F/ F/ F/ P	Ash 210	Ash 210 Image: second	Ash 210 I I Sm F/P P/P	Ash 210 Image: Constraint of the constra

End of table.

5. Proposed Development & Tree Impacts.

5.1 The proposal.

- 5.1.1 The proposal, Proposed Site Plan 10949-PL100 Rev B of 23/3/20 by Ferguson Mann Architects, extract below, shows the proposal.
- 5.1.2 The four largest existing buildings are converted into offices. The central buildings are demolished and a communal facilities building is constructed. The small north-westerly building is demolished and is replaced by a small extension to the larger north-westerly building. One further building is constructed, west of the existing buildings, with associated access and parking.
- 5.1.3 Land west of the existing buildings will be made into formal parking areas. Small parking areas are constructed adjacent to the site's eastern boundary.



5.2 Tree Constraints and Impacts (considered below).

- 5.2.1 There are six potential arboricultural constraints to the development of the site:
 - physical contact of above-ground parts of the tree,
 - **below-ground** parts,
 - shading,
 - over-bearing, and falling material,
 - subsidence/heave, and root growth,
 - impact on amenity value.
- 5.2.2 Trees are listed in table, and coloured on the Tree Plans, to indicate their retention categories A,B,C,U: with the colours explained in the keys of the table & plan (A = best to U = remove). This allows the site designer to plan around important trees, and ignore lesser trees.

5.3 Physical contact of above-ground parts of trees.

5.3.1 General:-

Tree Plans in Appendices shows tree locations and crown spreads. Crown dimensions: spread in four directions, base of crown and tree height, are given in Table 4.5.2.

- 5.3.2 Specific above-ground impacts:-
 - The proposal requires no tree removal.
 - Lime tree group G30 may require periodic crown raising over the site access.
 - There is a proposal to make a footpath link to the southern-boundary path. This can be done with no tree impact.

5.4 Below-ground root spread.

5.4.1 General:-

BS5837 defines a tree's Root Protection Area as a disc of soil 1m deep required to maintain long-term health a full-canopied tree, of a given stem size, usually 12 x stem diameter. We show it as an idealised circle. Rooting areas are never symmetrical, but ideally there should be no ground disturbance within the RPA zone. At the discretion of an arboriculturalist, the RPA can be offset if work is proposed on one side only, and the tree can root in the opposite direction. It is not appropriate to rely on the reduced RPA where potential disturbance extends halfway or more around the tree.

Typically the structural rootplate of a tree to resist windthrow is much smaller than the RPA. Therefore tree stability should not be affected by some disturbance within the RPA.

- 5.4.2 Specific Rootzone Impacts:-
 - Six parking bays along the eastern boundary will be constructed within the RPAs of lime group G30. Rootzone impact can be minimised using a minimal-dig sub-base and porous surfacing. See section 6.9 of this report.
 - The existing site access is located within the RPAs of lime group G30. The proposal will have no impact on rootzones, *provided that the existing surface remains undisturbed and intact.*
 - There is a proposal to make a footpath link to the southern-boundary path. This can be done with minimal rootzone impact.

• Construction access around the buildings is a huge potential rootzone impact. Therefore, to allow access, **large areas of temporary ground protection may be needed.** See 6.6.3. below.

5.5 Light Interception & Shading.

5.5.1 General:-

The sun rises to 60⁰ at mid-day in mid-Summer when trees are in leaf (ratio of 16m vertical height to 10m horizontal distance).

The sun only rises to 12⁰ in mid-Winter. However, in winter deciduous trees are leafless, so shading is reduced.

Theoretical shadows of arcs equal to estimated tree height in ten-years' time is recommended in BS5837. *This is the shadow pattern for a period from May to September inclusive, from 10.00hrs to 18.00hrs daily.*

5.5.2 Specific Shading Impacts:-

- The buildings will be shaded by G30 in the morning and westernboundary trees in late afternoon.
- There are no significant shading implications for commercial buildings.

5.6 Over-bearing and Falling material.

5.6.1 General:-

All trees drop flower parts, leaves, twigs and fruits throughout the year. These can create a mulch layer on roads. Bird droppings and honeydew can spoil car paintwork. Big trees make adjacent dwellers nervous.

5.6.2 Specific Impacts:-

- Leaves and twigs will be blown across the site from woodland group WG4 and all trees on the west side of the site. This will require periodic clearing of leaves throughout the autumn and winter months.
- Lime group G30 will drop leaves and debris onto parked cars, within the proposed easterly parking bays. This will require periodic clearing of debris and the removal of deadwood from the group's western canopies.
- Lime trees are prone to aphid attack and honeydew production in warm, dry, weather. Cars parked beneath G30 are likely to suffer honeydew deposition in the summer months.

5.7 Subsidence/heave & root growth.

- 5.7.1 To be assessed by an engineer referring to NHBC 4.2:2020. Subsoil and geology suggest coarse-textured soils with low volume-change potential.
- 5.7.2 Therefore, Subsidence or heave should not be issues on this site.

5.8 Amenity impact.

- 5.8.1 Amenity can be visual landscape, habitat or heritage/historic.
 - The proposal requires no tree removal, only pruning. Therefore, the proposal's impact on amenity will be minimal.

6. Arboricultural Method Statement in sequential order for proposed development at Hatch End site.

6.1 Supervision

- 6.1.1 We would recommend a **pre-start site meeting** between architect, building / groundwork contractor, Council Tree/Landscape Officer, and retained arboriculturalist to agree feasibility of tree retention, tree protection and working methods.
- 6.1.2 **Further** arboriculturalist inspections to supervise/check:
 - Installation of protection fencing.
 - Installation of minimal-dig parking areas.
- 6.1.3 All inspections to be followed within three working days with emailed supervision log with action points and photos, copied to client and tree/landscape officer.

6.2 Tree Management

6.2.1 Tree Work prior to ground work:-

Table overleaf.....

6.2.2 Treework informatives, included for general information:-

6.2.2.1 Disturbance to wildlife.

It is essential to check for nesting birds, bat roosts, badgers and hibernating animals such as hedgehogs under trees, before pruning or removing trees, as negligent disturbance is an offence under the EC Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC, Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000, Protection of Badgers Act 1992. The Conservation (Natural Habitats, & C) (Amendment) Regulations 2007 make **any** damage or destruction of a breeding site or resting place of a European Protected species (mainly bats in a tree context) an offence.

In general, autumn tree work: **September, October and November** is least disruptive to bats and birds. Work on very ivy-clad trees may need a formal pre-start bat assessment by a trained bat worker.

6.2.2.2 Permission

Trees may be protected by a TPO, or could lie within a Conservation Area.

Trees may be owned by third-parties.

Trees may be protected by planning conditions.

Therefore, a contractor must satisfy himself that all necessary permissions from the local planning authority or tree owners are in place before touching trees.

A Felling Licence may be needed to clear non-domestic areas.

6.2.2.3Quality of Tree Work

All off-ground tree work should be done by insured tree surgeon with certificates in aerial chainsaw use (new designations:- NPTC 020-04, 0020-05, 0020-07, 0021-01, 0021-07; LANTRA 600/5703/8, 600/5717/8, 600/5715/5, 600/5704/X, 600/5714/2), and working to BS3998:2010 and working to BS3998:2010, and *"Treework at Height"*, the Arboricultural Association's ICoP.

(Stumps can be left to shoot again, ground out, or grubbed out, or poisoned, depending on location.)

6.2.3 Treework for development:-

Νο	Species	RPA radius	Work for landscape / tree health.	ADDITIONAL	WORK FOR DEVELOPMENT
		m.		Specification.	Reason for additional work for development.
T1	Common lime	7.6	Remove basal growth and inspect base.		
T2	Common lime	1.8			
Т3	Oak	1.2	Consider removing T2, to improve growing conditions.		
WG4	Mixed species See also T34 to T227 for details.	4.2	Thin by removing dead and supressed tree. Remove all broken and stems and branches.		
H5	Laurel	1.1	Reduce 4m high section to 2m in height.		
Т6	Horse chestnut	11.5	Remove ring of ivy from the main stem, from ground level to 1m above.		
T7	Horse chestnut	12.1	Remove in the event that target changes.		
Т8	Horse chestnut	13.7			
Т9	Horse chestnut	12.2	Reduce height and radii by approximately 3m.		
T10	Beech	13.2	Conduct PICUS ultrasound test, to assess the extent of decay in the main stem.		
T11	Horse chestnut	10.1			

Beech	9.6			
Plum	2.6			
Horse chestnut	11.2			
Horse chestnut	10.3			
Horse chestnut	9.6	Reduce low south-easterly limb by approximately 3m, to reduce end weight.		
Horse chestnut	8.6			
Horse chestnut	14.8			
Beech	13.8			
Beech	13.1	Reduce height by 6m and radii by 4m.		
Beech	9.8			
Beech	11.3			
Beech	9.7	Reduce height by 6m and radii by 4m.		
Beech	10.9			
Beech	12.8			
Beech	13.2			
Balsam poplars x3	6.0			
	Plum Horse chestnut Horse chestnut Horse chestnut Horse chestnut Horse chestnut Horse chestnut Beech Beech	Plum2.6Horse chestnut11.2Horse chestnut10.3Horse chestnut9.6Horse chestnut8.6Horse chestnut14.8Beech13.8Beech13.1Beech9.8Beech9.7Beech9.7Beech10.9Beech12.8Beech13.2	Plum2.6Horse chestnut11.2Horse chestnut10.3Horse chestnut9.6Reduce low south-easterly limb by approximately 3m, to reduce end weight.Horse chestnut8.6Horse chestnut14.8Beech13.1Reduce height by 6m and radii by 4m.Beech9.8Beech11.3Beech9.7Reduce height by 6m and radii by 4m.Beech10.9Beech12.8Beech13.2	Plum2.6Horse chestnut11.2Horse chestnut10.3Horse chestnut9.6Reduce low south-easterly limb by approximately 3m, to reduce end weight.Horse chestnut8.6Horse chestnut14.8Beech13.1Reduce height by 6m and radii by 4m.Beech9.8Beech11.3Beech11.3Beech12.8Beech12.8Beech13.2

G28	Mixed species	6.0			
H29	Laurel	0.2	Trim annually to maintain desired size and shape.		
G30	Common limes	12.0	Remove basal growth and inspect bases. Remove deadwood over the road.	<u>CROWN RAISE OVER</u> <u>NEW PARKING IF</u> <u>REQUIRED.</u> <u>REMOVE DEADWOOD</u> <u>FROM WESTERN</u> <u>CANOPY, OVER</u> <u>PARKING BAYS.</u>	<u>TO PROVIDE CLEARENCE BETWEEN</u> <u>PARKED VEHICLES AND LOWER</u> <u>CANOPIES</u> <u>TO PREVENT DEADWOOD FALLING</u> <u>ONTO PARKED VEHICLES.</u>
				<u>CROWN RAISE OVER</u> <u>ACCESS WAY IF</u> <u>REQUIRED.</u>	TO ALLOW ACCESS TO HIGH-SIDED VEHICLES IF REQUIRED.
H31	Mixed species	1.8	Reduce height to 3m and cut back sides hard. Allow to regenerate and trim annually to maintain size and shape.		
T32	Common lime	13.2			
G33	Hawthorn	1.1	Trim annually to maintain size and shape.		
T34	Blackthorn	3.3			
Т35	Blackthorn	2.3			
Т36	Sycamore	3.6			
T37	Hawthorn	1.8			
T38	Cherry	3.8			

T39	Ash	1.7		
T40	Ash	3.6		
T41	Lime	6.1		
T42	Ash	1.8		
T43	Horse chestnut	3.6		
T44	Beech	5.0		
T45	Cherry	3.6		
T46	Cherry	3.6		
T47	Ash	3.7		
T48	Ash	3.2		
T49	Goat willow	3.9		
T50	Goat willow	4.8		
T51	Goat willow	5.7		
T52	Goat willow	3.5		
T53	Horse chestnut	5.4		
T54	Goat willow	5.4		
T55	Lime	3.8		
T56	Lime	2.2		
T57	Ash	3.0		

T58	Beech	2.9		
T59	Horse chestnut	3.6		
T60	Goat willow	3.6		
T61	English oak	2.4		
T62	Beech	4.9		
T63	Goat willow	4.6		
T64	Horse chestnut	2.2		
T65	Goat willow	4.6		
T66	Beech	3.0		
T67	Lime	4.2		
T68	cherry	4.8		
T69	Goat willow	1.8		
T70	Goat willow	8.4		
T71	Ash	4.6		
T72	Beech	5.4		
T73	Goat willow	6.9		
T74	Horse chestnut	3.0		
T75	Horse chestnut	3.6		
T76	Horse chestnut	5.4		

T77	Goat willow	3.6		
T78	Cherry	4.8		
T79	Goat willow	5.4		
T80	Cherry	5.0		
T81	Goat willow	4.8		
T82	Cherry	1.8		
T83	Silver birch	2.8		
T84	Goat willow	7.2		
T85	Beech	8.4		
T86	Ash	3.0		
T87	Sycamore	2.4		
T88	Ash	2.2		
Т89	Goat willow	2.2		
Т90	Goat willow	5.0		
T91	Beech	3.0		
T92	Cherry	3.6		
Т93	Ash	4.8		
Т94	Sycamore	1.8		
Т95	Ash	3.0		

Т96	Ash	3.0		
T97	Ash	2.4		
T98	Horse chestnut	2.2		
Т99	Beech	5.0		
T100	Ash	2.4		
T101	Ash	3.6		
T102	Ash	2.4		
T103	Ash	3.4		
T104	Horse chestnut	2.2		
T105	Hawthorn	2.1		
T106	Hawthorn	2.5		
T107	Sycamore	2.7		
T108	Ash	3.0		
T109	Hawthorn	1.0		
T110	Ash	2.4		
T111	Ash	1.4		
T112	Hawthorn	2.1		
T113	Cherry	1.4		
T114	Cherry	2.5		

T115	Cherry	4.2		
T116	Hawthorn	1.6		
T117	Ash	3.4		
T118	Ash	3.0		
T119	Ash	3.0		
T120	Ash	1.2		
T121	Sycamore	2.2		
T122	Sycamore	2.5		
T123	Ash	2.4		
T124	Ash	1.4		
T125	Ash	1.8		
T126	Ash	2.8		
T127	Ash	1.9		
T128	Sycamore	3.1		
T129	Ash	3.1		
T130	Ash	4.6		
T131	Sycamore	3.4		
T132	Ash	2.0		
T133	Sycamore	2.0		

T134	Ash	2.6		
T135	Sycamore	3.6		
T136	Sycamore	3.2		
T137	Sycamore	4.4		
T138	Lime	4.1		
T139	Rowan	0.9		
T140	Ash	3.0		
T141	Sycamore	3.6		
T142	Ash	2.4		
T143	Ash	2.8		
T144	Ash	2.1		
T145	Ash	2.4		
T146	Ash	1.8		
T147	Ash	2.4		
T148	Ash	2.0		
T149	Ash	5.3		
T150	Ash	2.6		
T151	Ash	3.0		
T152	Sycamore	3.5		

T153	Sycamore	2.0		
T154	Ash	2.4		
T155	Ash	2.4		
T156	Ash	2.4		
T157	Ash	2.8		
T158	Ash	2.4		
T159	Beech	3.7		
T160	Ash	1.2		
T161	Ash	2.2		
T162	Horse chestnut	4.6		
T163	Sycamore	1.2		
T164	Ash	6.0		
T165	Sycamore	1.2		
T166	Ash	5.6		
T167	Ash	3.4		
T168	Ash	3.0		
T169	Ash	3.2		
T170	Ash	4.8		
T171	Ash	2.2		

T172	Ash	5.0		
T173	Ash	1.4		
T174	Ash	4.7		
T175	Ash	3.6		
T176	Sycamore	3.6		
T177	Ash	4.4		
T178	Ash	3.4		
T179	Ash	4.2		
T180	Ash	4.7		
T181	Ash	2.2		
T182	Horse chestnut	2.8		
T183	Ash	4.8		
T184	Ash	3.7		
T185	Hawthorn	1.4		
T186	Ash	1.4		
T187	Ash	1.7		
T188	Ash	3.6		
T189	Ash	3.0		
T190	Ash	2.2		

T191	Ash	4.2		
T192	Ash	3.0		
T193	Ash	3.1		
T194	Ash	3.1		
T195	Ash	2.4		
T196	Ash	2.0		
T197	Ash	2.8		
T198	Ash	2.6		
T199	Ash	1.7		
T200	Ash	2.2		
T201	Ash	3.5		
T202	Ash	2.9		
T203	Ash	3.5		
T204	Ash	3.0		
T205	Ash	2.2		
T206	Ash	3.4		
T207	Ash	3.0		
T208	Rowan	2.5		
T209	Ash	1.8		

	Ash Ash Ash Ash Horse chestnut Horse chestnut	2.4 2.5 6.0 2.0 2.8			
T213 T214 T215 H	Ash Ash Iorse chestnut	6.0 2.0 2.8			
T214 T215 H	Ash Iorse chestnut	2.0 2.8			
T215 F	lorse chestnut	2.8			
T216 F	lorse chestnut				
		3.6			
T217	Ash	3.0			
T218	Ash	3.6			
T219 H	lorse chestnut	3.4			
T220 H	lorse chestnut	12.0	Fell.		
T221	Ash	4.6			
T222	Ash	1.4			
T223 H	lorse chestnut	2.4			
T224	Ash	1.4			
T225	Beech	12.0			
T226	Ash	1.4			
T227	Sycamore	1.2			

End of table.

(Treework following development see 6.10 below.)

6.3 Tree Protection

6.3.1 Requirement

The most important tree-protection measure is effective protective fencing, erected as close as possible to the Root Protection Area (RPA) boundary before any other work starts on site including demolition in the vicinity of trees. It must be maintained until all work is completed, except final soft landscaping. Here tree protection is proposed for retained trees, and for areas of possible new planting where this is feasible: called **landscape protection zones**.

- 6.3.2 Vertical Tree Protection
 - 6.3.2.1 Tree Protection fencing **locations** are shown on Tree Protection Plan in Appendices.
 - 6.3.2.2 Two **specifications** for suitable protective fencing are given in Appendix II. **Heavyweight fencing needed here.**
 - 6.3.2.3 Within the fenced off <u>CEZ</u> Construction Exclusion Zone: there must be:-
 - no construction access,
 - no storage of materials, including soil,
 - no ground disturbance.
 - 6.3.2.4 Fencing to remain until all demolition, construction and hard landscaping work is completed, and removed only for final soft landscaping.

6.3.3 Temporary Ground Protection (TGP) within RPAs:-

6.3.3.1 IF work is required to be closer than the all-round protection zone, then the fenced off zone can be made smaller on that side, or entered temporarily, subject to permission from retained arboriculturalist.

Within such zones, temporary horizontal ground protection plus temporary fencing would be essential.

Extensive TGP is required for the current proposal.

- 6.3.3.2 Obvious options for temporary ground protection would be:-
 - RETAIN EXISTING ASPHALT THROUGHOUT CONSTRUCTION PHASE.
 - Temporary ground protection plates such as aluminium "Eve Trakway" or plastic interlocking-plate ground protection, both on 150mm depth of woodchip or bark, shown in Appendix III.
 - A layer of woven geo-textile under minimum 250mm depth of graded aggregate which is lifted after work.
 - Butted scaffold boards or 22mm plyboard laid on bearers on 150mm depth woodchip or bark mulch (pedestrian access only).


6.4 Construction Access.

6.4.1 General points:-

- We assume access will be via the existing access on the easterly boundary. Existing surfacing must remain intact.
- All retained trees and hedges need protection.
- No pedestrian, vehicle, plant or machinery to enter RPAs without temporary ground protection as detailed in para 6.3.3 above.
- 6.4.2 Site huts could be placed within RPA of trees and hedges; provided they stand elevated on stilt feet, no excavation is required for temporary services, and pedestrian and vehicle access is ground protected as detailed in 6.3.3 above.

6.5 Demolition / Excavation within RPAs:-

- 6.5.1 General specification FOR MINOR GROUND LEVELLING, may be required here on eastern boundary against plums etc:-
 - Parallel tracking with slewing outside the RPA:-.
 - 1.5-tonne rubber-tracked mini-digger with toothless grading bucket.
 - Scrape off only turf or top layer to 100mm depth.
 - Slew outside RPA.
 - Heap spoil outside RPA, for dumper to collect and run outside RPA.
 - Sketch plan below



6.6 Foundations within RPAs:-

6.6.1 Eastern boundary no special measures required:-

6.7 Drainage.

- 6.7.1 Storm-water drainage: Any soak-away system must be designed to avoid significant increase and no decrease of ground water in trees' rooting zones. Divert into soakaways outside RPAs, or into a pond, or store for greywater recycling.
- 6.7.2 Foul Drainage: Keep out of RPAs. Use existing.
- 6.7.3 Sustainable Urban Drainage System: Any SUDS scheme, to reduce the load on local mains drainage, must not significantly add to, or reduce, the soil water in trees' root zones. Store for greywater re-use or allow percolation into parking areas, or drain into a pond.

6.8 Service Trenches within RPAs.

6.8.1 Service trenches (electric lights, utilities, telecoms, drains etc) must be designed to run as far from trees as possible. Use existing runs.

6.8.2 Trenches within RPAs must be avoided.

- 6.8.3 Otherwise use this onerous, generalised, work method:-
 - Hand digging* or trench-less systems must be used.
 *Use an air-spade to reveal roots (Appendix V).
 - Retain roots >15mm diameter within service trenches. Thread service pipe underneath.
 - No roots >25mm diameter must be exposed or severed without express written permission of local authority tree officer or retained arboriculturalist.
 - Any excavation within the RPA of a tree must be covered immediately after digging with damp hessian, topped by tarpaulin & plyboard, to prevent root desiccation.
 - Hole must be backfilled within five days of opening.
 - Wrap exposed roots >20mm with hessian, and surround by 50mm depth sand, as part of backfill medium.
 - Tamp backfill material by hand thumper or whacker plate only.

6.9 Minimal-dig construction for new access drives, parking & paths

6.9.1 If roads, footpaths, cycle-ways, yards or parking are required near trees, they can be constructed in two ways:-

Conventional construction:- If outside a tree's RPA. Minimal-dig construction:- If within a tree's RPA.

6.9.2 New parking bays west of G30:-

<u>Minimal dig systems</u> are provided in various depths. The depth required is determined by existing soil bearing capacities and proposed traffic loadings. Advice should be sought from the from the product provider. However, installation should follow the basic methodology outlined below.

- Remove existing vegetation using hand tools or a herbicide. Stumps must be ground out and **not** removed using a digger.
- Lay a 300g/m² needle-punched, non-woven, geotextile onto the existing ground surface.
- Install a 3D cellular confinement system on top of the geotextile (eg Cellweb).
- Infill the system with a 4-20mm clean angular stone and aid settlement, using a whacker plate only.
- Top up the system ensuring that there is a 25mm surcharge across the surface. This could be the finished surface, with plastic studs to demarcate parking bays.
- If required apply a permeable hard surface in consultation with a surfacing engineer.
- Or add 40mm plastic reinforcement trays (example in appendices) and fill with similar graded clean aggregate for carpark surface.
- 6.9.3 Appendix IV gives materials for minimal-dig, porous, build-up.

6.10 Tree work following construction.

- 6.10.1 Trees should be re-inspected. This inspection would reveal the need for remedial tree work for the following reasons:-
 - -to rectify damage occurring during construction (regrettable but possible),
 - -to allow additional clearance.

-or complete tree removal if trees were considered too close for safe retention.

6.10.2 All additional work subject to further local authority agreement if trees are protected by TPO or planning conditions, or stand within a Conservation Area.

6.11 New Planting.

- 6.11.1 The site contains many tree and no further tree planting is required.
- 6.11.2 Any planting and maintenance to comply with: **BS 8545** "*Trees: from nursery to independence in the landscape* Recommendations". **BSI 2014**.
- 6.11.3 Any planting must be provides with adequate long-term soil-moisture availability: graph below from James Urban shows rootable area related to tree size (Up by Roots, ISA, 2008), to remind designers:




Table 2.4.1. Tree size to soil volume relationships (Urban 1992).

7.0 Conclusions

- 7.1 The proposed re-development of Hatch End Industrial Estate requires no tree removal. It does require minor pruning to keep trees in harmony with the proposal.
- 7.2 Carpark construction within the RPAs of off-site limes G30, requires the use of a minimal-dig sub-base.
- 7.3 The site contains a large number of trees. No further planting is proposed or required.

Please contact us for further information. Yours sincerely,



B J Unwin Forestry Consultancy.

References:

"The Body Language of Trees". Claus Mattheck and Helge Breloer. HMSO 1994.


- "Principles of Tree Hazard Assessment and Management". David Lonsdale. HMSO 1999. BS 3998: 2010 "British Standard Recommendations for Treework".
- BS 5837: 2012 "Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Construction".
- BS 8545 "Trees: from nursery to independence in the landscape Recommendations". BSI 2014.
- NJUG Volume 4 2007 "Guidelines for the Planning, Installation and Maintenance of Utility Services in Proximity to Trees". NJUG, 30 Millbank, London, SW1P 4RD.
- "Trees and Development". Nelda Matheny and James R Clark. ISA. 1998.


BS 8206:1992 "Lighting for buildings"

BRE guide 209 (2002) "Site Layout planning for daylight and sunlight".

NHBC Chapter 4.2, *Building Near Trees*. National House Building Council, 2013. "*Tree Roots in the Built Environment*". J Roberts, N Jackson & M Smith. R.A.T.8, TSO (The Stationary Office), London, 2006.

"Treework at Height" Industry Code of Practice. Arboricultural Association. 2014.






Appendix II

Vertical Tree Protection Fencing, from BS5837. Heras panels on rubber feet, pinned braces.

Vertical protective fence: location on plan:


Default in situ > 3 months:-



Key

- 1 Standard scaffold poles
- 2 Heavy gauge 2 m tall galvanized tube and welded mesh infill panels
- 3 Panels secured to uprights and cross-members with wire ties
- 4 Ground level
- 5 Uprights driven into the ground until secure (minimum depth 0.6 m)
- 6 Standard scaffold clamps

Lightweight: in situ for < 3 months-



Appendix III

Horizontal Ground Protection x 2 examples

Example of aluminium temporary ground protection.

EVE TRAKWAY



Roadways - Medium Duty Trakpanel

The Medium Duty Trakpanel, or 'Box' panel, is ideal for where both pedestrian and vehicle access is required. This versatile panel can be laid with either a smooth or corrugated surface uppermost. The smoother surface finish provides excellent support underfoot, whilst the construction of the panel maintains a high load bearing capacity. Due to the way these panels fit together, a smooth joint is created therefore reducing trip hazards.

The Benefits:-

Pedestrian friendly upper surface Suitable for heavy vehicles Ideal for where both pedestrians and vehicles require safe passage.

Technical Specifications					
Dimensions	2.5 x 3m (when installed 2.44m x 3m due to overlap)				
Weight	274.7 kg				
Carrying Capacity	A more pedestrian friendly roadway, this system is capable of taking any road going loads.				

The following Roadways are available. Please select an item to view more information: **Other Roadways products:-**Heavy Duty Trakpanel-LD20-Roadway Ramps-Multi-Directional Trakpanel

Example of plastic temporary ground protection.

Ground-Guards Tree Root Protection Tree root protection for construction projects

Planning Departments may often need to stipulate that site access roads will not involve any excavation because of the proximity of tree roots on the site. Furthermore, that they will also provide additional ground cushioning when passing over the immediate areas where there are tree roots beneath. This is very important to prevent compaction of the ground, and long-term damage to the soil structure, the tree roots, and ultimately, to the health of the trees themselves.

An effective means of protecting tree roots is to use a double layer of Ground-Guards. Panels with 150mm of wood chips sandwiched in-between which creates a suitably cushioned roadway for this purpose.

The Ground-Guards system is so durable and versatile that whatever your need, the team will be delighted to work with you to provide an effective solution. Please just call our team on 0113 267 6000 for friendly advice on any difficult site conditions that you need assistance with.





Appendix IV

Trays for strengthening gravelled or grassed areas. (50mm or 80mm trays for strengthening gravelled or grassed areas.

DuoBlock Grass Protection System




Using grass or gravel infill, DuoBlock 750 and 500 give architects, consulting engineers, landscape contractors and developers the ultimate in load-bearing performance combined with aesthetic appearance.

Porous paving systems have been available since the early 1990's and provide a durable yet aesthetically pleasing alternative to traditional surfacing solutions. Increased awareness of the need to manage storm water runoff in new developments and the advent of Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) has led to an increase in popularity.

DuoBlock is a permanent grass protection / gravel retention porous paving system. It is extremely versatile and may be used in a wide range of applications including:

Applications:

- · Overspill car parking
- · Emergency access and service roads
- Caravan hardstanding
- Verge hardening
- Service Roads
- · Pedestrian walkways and towpaths
- Bridle ways
- · Helipads
- · Golf course pathways / Tee reinforcement




DuoBlock systems are uniquely designed to ensure the ultimate in load bearing performance and aesthetic appearance and have numerous benefits over traditional and first generation plastic systems such as:

Benefits:

- 90% surface area available for infill
- Reduces surface water runoff
- Increases water Filtration
- · Interconnecting cell walls
- High Load Performance
- Unique surface design for greater aesthetic appeal
- Positive interlock System





Deeper Cellweb 3-D grid for strengthening tracks.

Cellweb® TRP is a 3D cellular confinement tree root protection system. The system provides a 'no dig' solution for the construction of new hard surfaces within root protection areas (RPAs). Cellweb® TRP has been designed and independently tested to comply with recommendations made in Arboricultural Practice Note 12 and BS 5837 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction.



Cellweb® TRP Key Functions

Cellweb[®] is a 'no dig' solution which is constructed directly on the existing ground surface. This eliminates the requirement for excavation, preventing root severance.

Cellweb[®] is a completely porous system allowing continued water permeation and gas exchange between the rooting environment and atmosphere.

Cellweb[®] spreads point loads, minimising increases in soil compaction within the rooting environment. This maintains an open graded soil structure allowing continued root growth, water, gas and nutrient migration.

The Cellweb® TRP system comprises the following three components

<u>Treetex[™] Geotextile</u>. Following minimal ground preparation the Treetex[™] is laid onto the existing ground and top soil. This acts as a separation layer, separating the system above from the soil and rooting environment below. Treetex[™] performs as a hydrocarbon pollution control measure in accordance with BS5837, holding 1.7lt of oil per square meter.

<u>Cellweb* 3D Cellular Confinement</u>. The Cellweb* is installed on top of the TreetexTM layer. This is fixed to the ground using ten steel J pins per panel. The panels can be cut to the required shape and adjoining panels can be connected using heavy duty staples or cell ties.

<u>4-20mm Clean Angular Stone</u>. The expanded Cellweb[®] is infilled with a 4-20mm clean angular stone. The confined angular stone locks together to produce a rigid stone mattress, while maintaining air pockets for continued water permeation and gas exchange. The low fines content of the stone prevents the Treetex[™] layer from becoming blocked over time.

Which depth of Cellweb® TRP?

The Cellweb® System is provided in four different depths; 200mm, 150mm, 100mm and 75mm. The depth required is determined by the proposed traffic loadings and the site ground conditions. Geosynthetics in house engineering department can provide a free site specific technical recommendation. For free technical and engineering support please contact Geosynthetics Ltd 01455 617139 or the full installation guide can be found on our website www.geosyn.co.uk.



Appendix V

Example of Air-spade.

HANDLE VIBRATION TEST

Product type - MBW Soil Pick SP125

Manufacturer of testing apparatus - Castle

Accelerometer was affixed to the rear of the handle on the Soil Pick and all three axes were tested. Accelerometer position:

X axis = 0.0M/S2 Y axis = 0.0M/S2 Z axis = 0.0M/S2 Hand/arm vibration = 0.0M/S2

363·

TREE CARE

MBW's Soil Pick provides a multi-functional air tool for a variety of applications in the tree care industry including:

Radial Trenching

Radial trenching is a process which involves aerating the soils around a tree root in a pattern resembling a wagon wheel. The Soil Pick provides a safe and damage free means of utilizing a high air pressure to loosen tightly compacted soils.

Aeration & Excavation

Root Locating for Utility Line Installation or Pruning

Investigating Root Structure and Damage

Transplanting or Bare Rooting

Reducing Soil Compaction





- **B J UNWIN FORESTRY CONSULTANCY** -

Head office: Parsonage Farm, Longdon, Tewkesbury, Gloucestershire. GL20 6BD.

Tel / Fax: 01684 833538. Home Tel: 01684 833795. Mob: 07860376527. E-mail: Jim@bjunwin.co.uk

Satellite Offices: - Haley Ridge, Highcliffe, Nr. Wadebridge, Cornwall, PL27 6TN.

-105 Charfield Court, 2 Shirland Road, London, W9 2JR.

Associate office: - 1 Market Place Mews, Henley-on-Thames, Oxfordshire, RG9 2AH.

Principal: Jim Unwin BScFor, MICFor, FArborA, RCArborA, CEnv.

Chartered Forester - ICF Registered Consultant - Fellow of the Arboricultural Association -Arboricultural Association Registered Consultant - Chartered Environmentalist.

	/ 11 6011041041 41 / 1550001	ation negisterea eens	
From:	Jim Unwin	То:	Prospective Client
Date:	Sept2019	No. of pages:	2
Subiect:	Professional CV		

Below are set out **B J Unwin Forestry Consultancy**'s competences and experience. **Insurance:**-

£5m Public Liability & £2m Professional Indemnity (renewed June).

Personnel:-

B J Unwin (born 1956) started his forestry career as a tree surgeon and landscape contractor in 1975. He studied forestry at Aberdeen University from 1977 to 1981, worked for Unilever as a Forestry Manager in the Solomon Islands from 1981 to 1983. Since then he has been based in Gloucestershire assisting clients to manage their woodland, trees and vegetation throughout Southern Britain, and occasionally in northern England, Scotland and Northern Ireland.

In the mid-1980s to mid-1990s for a period of about ten years he taught chainsaw, tree felling and tree surgery courses at Worcestershire Agricultural College on a part-time basis. He was assessed and passed as a LANTRA assessor in these skills, and held NPTC certificates of competence in chainsaw use on the ground and up trees.

He now works as a tree consultant / manager / contract manager to a range of clients listed below. For tree decay testing we have a **PICUS II ULTRASOUND** tomograph with electronic callipers and **RESISTOGRAPH-R400** drill.

He works with two self-employed arboriculturalists of >20 years' combined experience:-Jasper Fulford-Dobson Arboricultural Association Registered Consultant - Associate Member of the Institute of Chartered Foresters - Professional member of the International Society of Arboriculture -Technicians Certificate (ArborA) 2005, now regarded as NQF "level 4" - Professional Tree Inspection Certificate (LANTRA) 2013,

Owen Hutchison BSc(Hons) Agriculture & Estate Management, Level 4 Diploma Arboriculture, LANTRA Professional Tree Inspection & working with trees since 2007, &

Alex Collier who achieved in July 2018 Level 5 Arboriculture Foundation Degree with a Distinction. In June 2016 achieved Pershore College Level 3 Extended Diploma in Forestry and Arboriculture, completing the course with a Distinction grade (+SC30).

Plus a secretary/ plan technician; calling in extra help as required (eg ecologist or arboricultural assistant). On bigger projects he regularly works as a part of a multi-disciplinary team.

Current BJUFC qualifications are:-

BSc Forestry Hons 1st Class, Aberdeen 1981.

Chartered Forester No. 0330064, 1986.

Fellow of the Arboricultural Association, 1995.

Licensed Subsidence Risk Assessor, 1997-2001 (scheme closed in 2001).

Completed Training in September 2002 to Prepare Native Woodland Plans for CCW and FC in Wales. Arboricultural Association Registered Consultant No. 42, 2004.

LANTRA certificate for Arboriculture and Bats, BJU in 2005.

Examined and approved to submit Welsh WGS as Management Planner and PAWS Assessor, 2006. Joined Utilities Vendor DataBase, Supplier No: 88101 in Feb 2006 (left 2010).

Training and Certification in basic CAD operation 2006.

Chartered Environmentalist April 2008.

Woodfuel Production and Supply : LANTRA Certificate of Training Dec 2008.

Training in CAVAT amenity tree asset valuation October 2010.

<u>Company Safety Policy</u>:- We have been successfully assessed by Safety Management Advisory Services (SMAS) as meeting CDM Regs 2015 Core Criteria Stage 1, as a *Worksafe Consultant No. 90180.* expiry 27/09/2020.

CITB Health, Safety & Environment Test for Managers & Professionals passed 22/01/2015.

First-aid at work June 2013.

Current clients and typical work include:-

English Heritage	Tree safety inspection contract 2007-2013 for East Midlands, East Anglia, London and SE England. Tree safety inspection contract for West of England & Midlands 2013-2019.
Planning Inspectorate (PINS) & Dept for Communities and Local Government. 2000-2017.	Arboricultural Inspecting Officer in South-West England, South East England, West Midlands and East Midlands; advising the First Secretary of State on TPO appeals since 2000. Contract with DCLG expired April 2008 when transferred to PINS. Contract continued with PINS, as Non-Salaried Arboricultural Inspector, determining TPO appeals and High Hedge appeals. All non-salaried inspectors released in 2017.
Architects / Developers / Planning Appeals	Complete Tree Constraints, Impact Assessment & Tree Protection advice for planning, working with other professionals to input arboriculture into more complex development schemes. Recent assignments in Liverpool to Dorset, Kent, Norfolk & London. All using BS5837:2012. FULL CAD CAPABILITY.
Amey Mouchel Ltd	Overseeing Amey Tree Officer on motorway and trunkroad tree inspections throughout Midlands and Marches to 2012. Amey Mouchel are agents for Highways Agency.
CRH Tarmac Ltd, + Midland Quarry Products + Quarryplan (in Northern Ireland).	Since 1990 working with Estates staff, quarry managers and Landscape / ecological consultancies organising and managing contracts for tree and woodland planting both pre- and post- quarrying. Also preparing landscape restoration schemes for straightforward sites plus landscape management on sites throughout southern England, East Anglia and south and south-west Wales. (Commendations for Land Restoration and Environmental improvements from Spelthorne Borough Council 2003.) Also in England & Northern Ireland ongoing tree consultancy for Quarryplan.
Land Agents	Assisting Bruton Knowles clients' with woodland management and other tree issues since 1984. We also assist clients of Fisher German and Savills on a regular basis.
Tarmac Central now CRH Tarmac Ltd.	Since 1988 woodland management of Hopwas Hays Wood, Tamworth.
Rural estates in Herefordshire, Worcestershire and Gloucestershire, plus private woodland owners in southern England and Wales.	Since 1983 woodland management, tree management, hedgerow management. Many are Ancient woodlands and SSSI's requiring detailed ecological management plans produced in consultation with ecologists. About forty Farm Woodland Premium Schemes and about twenty Native Woodland Plans prepared to date in England and Wales. On-going EWGS grant applications. Input into Tir Gofal (and its successor) and Stewardship schemes. Better Woods for Wales (BWW) applications.
British Waterways	Ten-year Tree and Vegetation Management Plans along canals and around reservoirs in London, Hertfordshire, Berkshire, Birmingham, Staffordshire, Worcestershire, Gloucestershire, Shropshire, Llangollen Canal, etc: plus help in dispute with riparian owners. This work ceased around 2011.
Stroud District Council	Management of 49Ha woodland since 1989 on FC schemes plus grassland on DEFRA Stewardship Schemes, including HLS. Retired Nov07.
One-off clients	Since 1983 assisting tree owners, developers, lawyers etc throughout southern or midland Britain, including Wales, on a wide range of tree-related issues including planning, planning appeals, subsidence, health & safety, disputes, vegetation control, expert witness, valuation of woodlands, standing and felled timber, Christmas trees etc, and tree and landscape planting schemes. Recently High Hedge issues and BS5837 are hot topics.
Malvern Hills District Council. South Oxfordshire District Council	BJU Stand-in part-time Consultant Tree Officer Summer 2003. JF-D stand in Consultant Tree Officer summer 2009 to spring 2010.
Golf course & leisure facilities	Assistance with development of Carden Park golf course in Cheshire. Management advice for trees on other golf courses: Eg Ross Golf Club, Swindon Golf Club .
Farm management	Management of own 95Ha farmland since 1985.

Please do not hesitate to ask for further information. B J Unwin END.

Appendix VII

Constraints plans :-

• Tree Plan

Retention categories, based on BS 5837 Table 1:-

A = High quality & Value (>40yrs life): Green.

B = Moderate quality & Value (>20yrs life): Blue.

**C = Low quality & Value (>10yrs life): Grey.

U = Trees to be removed (<10yrs life): Red.

**PLEASE NOTE. FOR CLARITY, C-CATEGORY TREES MAY NOT BE COLOURED.

and

Root Protection Areas Plan

RPA = circles. See Tree Table for dimensions.

and

• Theoretical Shading Plan

= quadrant of tree height in ten years' time from north west (midmorning) to due east (evening). This is a shadow pattern for 1 x tree height from 10.00-18.00hrs from May to September.

Plans are not included in pdf format of report. Insert plans here in paper copy of report:-

Appendix VIII

Tree retention and Tree Protection Plan

Plans are not included in pdf format of report. Insert plans here in paper copy of report:-

END.