

**District:** Cherwell

**Application No:** 20/00363/DISC

**Proposal:** Discharge of condition 30 (portway drawings) of 08/00716/OUT

**Location:** Heyford Park, Camp Road, Upper Heyford

---

## **Transport Development Control**

### **Recommendation**

No objection

### **Key issues**

- The applicant is urged to implement these works as soon as possible in 2020.
- The applicant is further encouraged to bring forward the implementation of the reopening of the Aves Ditch bridleway.
- The Western Airfield Road Layout Preferred Option would require improvement if it is to be adopted.

### **Detailed comments**

#### **Rights of Way**

The minor alterations to the drawings are noted. The application is supported and, given the many years of delay, the applicant is urged to implement these works as soon as possible in 2020 in order to deliver public benefit and achieve a positive news story of the reconnection of one side of the airfield. In this respect the applicant is reminded that part of condition 30 states that “***Within 18 months of the date of the approval of those details the Aves Ditch and Portway sections identified on Plan Ref: L10B and L10A shall be implemented in accordance with such approved details and thereafter made available for use by the general public.***” The County has **no objection to the partial discharge of Condition 30 of 08/00716/OUT** in respect of the reopening of the Portway bridleway.

The applicant is further encouraged to bring forward the implementation of the reopening of the Aves Ditch bridleway which is also provided for under **Condition 30 of 08/00716/OUT**.

#### **Road Agreements**

It is noted that the application documents include drawing No.39304/5501/SK47 which shows the proposed alignment of a Western Airfield Road Layout Preferred Option. The requirement for this item does not appear within Condition 30 and is not therefore regarded as relevant to the discharge of Condition 30. However, if it is intended that this road be adopted then the County would note the following items.

- The County does not agree with the proposed road alignment. There is clearly a need to traffic clam but bends to this extent seem excessive.

- The tracking is not adequate as it shows that two HGV's do not pass comfortably at the give way point.
- It is not clear what provision there is for passing vehicles already in the bends which meet with approaching traffic from either direction.
- Priority signage at the distance apart as shown on the plan with the visibility shown is not adequate.
- Visibility would need to be dedicated in order to keep clear if this is an adoptable road.

**Officer's Name** : Chris Nichols  
**Officer's Title** : Transport Development Control  
**Date** : 10 March 2020

---