## Comment for planning application 19/02691/F

	_	
Application Number	19/02691/F	
Location	Land East Of The Leys Adderbury	
Proposal	Erection of 3 dwellings - (revised scheme of 19/00619/F)	
Case Officer	Bob Neville	
Organisation		
Name	Trevor Hubbard	
Address	Brookhill House, The Leys, Adderbury, Banbury, OX17 3ES	
Type of Comment	Objection	
Гуре	neighbour	
Comments	We wish to record our objection to the proposed development for the following reasons, which are effectively unchanged from the objection made to the previous application. 1. The development is outside the settlement boundary defined in the Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan, and the sub-division of long gardens is specifically covered in point 5.12. 2. The	

We wish to record our objection to the proposed development for the following reasons, which are effectively unchanged from the objection made to the previous application. 1. The development is outside the settlement boundary defined in the Adderbury Neighbourhood Plan, and the sub-division of long gardens is specifically covered in point 5.12. 2. The application refers to the proposed area as scrubland, when it is clearly an unkempt garden. (Disused tennis court, ornamental trees) 2. Part of the site lies on a flood plain. 3. Access via Tanner's Lane into The Leys is not straightforward, particularly into the area for proposed building, and construction traffic would have a significant impact on local residents. Additional future traffic generated by the new houses will effectively double the existing Leys traffic. 6. The impact on local wildlife. Other objections will doubtless cover this in more detail, but this area is used by a large range of birds and animals. 7. The electricity supply to this area of the village is less than robust so how will three additional large dwellings affect this? 8. If these new houses are built will this open up adjacent areas as targets for future development? i.e. will this set a precedent allowing for further infill behind the Leys, thus destroying its rural nature? 9. The village does not need any more large houses. Thank you for your consideration.

**Received Date** 

02/01/2020 12:39:52

**Attachments**