Comment for planning application 19/02550/F

• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Application Number	19/02550/F	
Location	Land to the east of M40 and south of A4095 Chesterton Bicester Oxon	
Proposal	Redevelopment of part of golf course to provide new leisure resort (sui generis) incorporating waterpark, family entertainment centre, hotel, conferencing facilit restaurants with associated access, parking and landscaping	ies and
Case Officer	Clare Whitehead	
Organisation Name	Martin Keighery	
Address	250 Oxford Road,Kidlington,OX5 1ED	
Type of Comment		
2 -	Objection	
Type Comments	neighbour	
Received Date	Dear Sir/Madam, Middleton Stoney Parish Council fundamentally opposes the G application at Chesterton. It is not in the keeping with the local development pla any commitment to the environment or with the transport problems besetting o village and neighbouring villages. The traffic problems in Middleton Stoney are u and yet would be made worse by the Great Wolf resort. Oxfordshire Council re- traffic problems within the village, especially HGV problems and the overall traffi new Heyford Park development. The leader of the council, Clir. Hudspeth is fully concerns as we have written to him twice and we have also contacted Yvonne R regarding the problems. A further 1000 deliveries during the construction period proposed water park - 65 deliveries a day - using the local road infrastructure w intolerable. We are seeking to address these problems through measures in our particularly given recent air quality measurements in Middleton Stoney, which w the very worst in the county. Our parishioners expect us to continue pressing pa agencies to alleviate our air quality and noise pollution concerns. Yet, if this app successful, traffic volumes would increase substantially. For example, it is projec there would be 500,000 visitors, 1000 daily car journeys and a 900 space car jurinfrastructure cannot cope with such volules. Furthermore, the application is in with Cherwell's strategy of reducing car use in the district. We agree with other objections raised by Chesterton and other neighbouring parish councils. For inst huge edifice proposed by Great Wolf would be out of keeping with the rural char local area and with the Council's stated desire to tackle climate change. Similarl with those who have highlighted that the business case does not chime with Ch- strategic aim of championing a knowledge-based workforce. The developers are predominately promoting low-skilled employment and training - again not in line planning framework or council policies. Our distinctive contribution to the widegs objection to this scheme. howev	an or with ur own vell known ncil is garding ic from the aware of our ees' office d of the rould be village, rere amongst artner lication were cted that ark. The road compatible reasons for ance, the racter of the y, we agree erwell's e with the pread e pressure dents of our worse. r further rmission and is also a e traffic vith what is isk of e and our mitted to evelopment Council cation by

Attachments

13/02/2020 11:17:30