

# Comment for planning application 19/02550/F

Application Number	19/02550/F
Location	Land to the east of M40 and south of A4095 Chesterton Bicester Oxon
Proposal	Redevelopment of part of golf course to provide new leisure resort (sui generis) incorporating waterpark, family entertainment centre, hotel, conferencing facilities and restaurants with associated access, parking and landscaping
Case Officer	Clare Whitehead
Organisation	
Name	Mallika Sumner
Address	4 Maunde Close,Chesterton,Bicester,OX26 1DJ
Type of Comment	Objection
Type	neighbour
Comments	<p>I strongly object to this planning application; this development is wholly inappropriate in its current proposed location. The primary reasons for my objection are: - Increased traffic on A4095 through Chesterton village will further endanger pedestrians - walking on this route with my two young children to school is already dangerous based on the narrow road and pavement. Having construction trucks and thousands of additional daily cars will make this route too risky to be used by the community - Application contradictory to the Cherwell local plan 2011-2031 - building a leisure facility of this incredible scale in a small village is in direct contraction to the Cherwell plan's aim to 'ensure the level of development at our villages respects the character and beauty of our rural areas while meeting local needs'. There is no local need for this facility, and I can't conceive of a plan which would dis-respect local character and beauty more than this one - Public right of way (footpath) not sufficiently considered - path reference 161/6/10 runs through the proposed site, currently used by local residents. The pressure to support large scale foreign direct investment such as this will no doubt be immense. However I would strongly urge those who have the power to decide upon this application (and any subsequent applications where the aim is the same) to take into consideration the irreversible negative impacts this would have upon Chesterton, the surrounding villages and its thousands of residents. There are many sites which could be appropriate for this type of facility, but the current proposed location is certainly not one of them.</p>
Received Date	03/01/2020 21:37:34
Attachments	