

**From:** Keith W Janes  
**Sent:** 09 October 2019 23:16  
**To:** Samantha Taylor  
**Subject:** Planning App : 19/01705/OUT Gladman

Please find attached Objection in attached file.

Regards

Keith Janes

Drum & Monkey

Queen Street

Bloxham

OX15 4QQ

From :

Keith Janes

Drum & Monkey, Queen Street, Bloxham, OX15 4QQ

Subject : Planning Application 19/01705/OUT

I OBJECT to this application for the following reasons:

1. Bloxham has an agreed Neighbourhood Plan where Policy BL1 supported the building 85 homes on the Milton Road
2. Policy BL2 permitted further small scale developments
3. The Gladman proposal conflicts with both BL1 and BL2. Bloxham has no need for further housing developments of this size as demonstrated by the current ongoing housing developments which are having difficulty in finding interested buyers. Most of the affordable housing on the new estates has been allocated to people from outside the village proving this type of housing is not needed.
4. Cherwell Local Plan part 1 : Policy Villages 2 demonstrates that Cherwell DC has a full 5-year housing supply meeting government requirements on number of houses.
5. Communications through the village are poor. The mini roundabout was assessed as at capacity at the last Gladman appeal in the village. OCC have stated that there is no solution. Since then further new housing developments in Bloxham and further to the south of Bloxham have made a bad situation much worse. This section of the A361 road is also a main conduit for pupils of the primary school on foot. The A361 from Banbury to Chipping Norton is one of the most dangerous roads – why do we need more traffic on and a junction at site

where there have been accidents? Sustrans have said it is not safe to cycle on the A361. This is not sustainable. This conflicts with Policy BL9

6. With any nature reserve the surrounding area is as important as the reserve itself and dramatically changing the hinterland by urbanising the surroundings can only reduce the diversity. The Slade is a designated nature reserve and would certainly be damaged by this housing development's impact on a rural area. This conflicts with ESD10 and ESD13

For the above reasons I object to this Planning Application.