

From: Anne Young
Sent: Wednesday, October 9, 2019 3:12 PM
To: Planning <Planning@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: Proposed New Development in Bloxham by Gladman - Planning App No. 19/01705/OUT

My full address is :
6/7 Strawberry Terrace
Bloxham
OX15 4PA

Sent from my iPhone

On 9 Oct 2019, at 14:05, Planning <Planning@cherwell-dc.gov.uk> wrote:

Good Afternoon,

Please could you supply your full postal address so that we can register your comments against the application.

Thank you

Regards

**Development Management
Place & Growth Directorate**

Cherwell District Council
Extension: 7006
Direct Tel: 01295 227006

<mailto:planning@cherwell-dc.gov.uk>
www.cherwell.gov.uk

Details of applications are available to view through the Council's Online Planning Service at
<https://planningregister.cherwell.gov.uk/>

From: Anne Young
Sent: 08 October 2019 23:24
To: Samantha Taylor
Subject: Proposed New Development in Bloxham by Gladman - Planning App No. 19/01705/OUT

Dear Ms Taylor

I felt it was important to write to you regarding my deep concerns and objection to the above development, before tomorrow's deadline for comment.

I moved to Bloxham 12 years ago because I appreciated its village atmosphere and rural setting. At that time it still felt like a village. However, with the subsequent developments on the Milton, Tadmarton and Barford Roads, the character of this lovely village has dramatically changed. I feel strongly that Bloxham, compared to its neighbouring, similar larger villages of Adderbury and Deddington, has faced more than its fair share of new-build. The results of this are as follows:-

1). A Primary School at full capacity with resulting issues of parked cars at drop-off/collection times for the neighbouring properties and with the flow of traffic.

2). A significant increase in traffic on the A361 with resultant further decrease in air quality and increased traffic noise issues for Bloxham residents. The roundabout at the Barford Road has been designated 'at capacity', so the additional traffic generated by the proposed development will be a problem. The proposed 90 dwellings are likely to generate a further 150 or so vehicles onto the village roads.

3) The proposed site is not only clearly outside the existing 'built-up area', thus contravening the Neighbourhood Development Plan, but also abuts The Slade Nature Reserve, which has been embraced by the village community as a place for peaceful walks and for celebrating biodiversity and local wildlife. This delicate natural environment will be significantly compromised by the noise and disruption of the proposed development. The existing Recreation Ground will become an enclosed 'Urban Space' once the new houses are built on its perimeter. With the current emphasis on the importance and benefit of green open spaces on Mental Health, this will be a great loss to the community. There is increasing awareness of the importance of protecting our declining wildlife and fragile countryside.

4) Parking at the parade of shops in the centre of the village on the A361 is an increasing problem, with cars frequently parked on the pavement and vehicles reversing onto the highway. I have had my car clipped on more than one occasion by traffic squeezing through what has become essentially a one-way street at peak times. Additional residential development in Bloxham can only make this situation worse.

In simple terms - Bloxham is full! It's at capacity! Most importantly, there is NO demonstrated need for any more residential development here. I believe that a number of recent developments have sold slowly (I worked in property for most of my working life and understand the rule of supply and demand).

This email is much longer than I intended but I hope these points will be taken into consideration and that this development proposal is firmly and formally rejected.

With thanks and best regards
Anne Young