

From: mandkhibbert
Sent: 09 October 2019 18:14
To: Planning <Planning@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Planning Objection 19/01705/OUT, Bloxham Recreation Ground

Hi,

23 brookside way,
Bloxham
Ox15 4hy

Kind regards

James Hibbert

----- Original message -----

From: Planning <Planning@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>
Date: 09/10/2019 13:59 (GMT+00:00)
To:
Subject: RE: Planning Objection 19/01705/OUT, Bloxham Recreation Ground

Good Afternoon,

Please could you supply your full postal address so that we can register your comments against the application.

Thank you

Regards

**Development Management
Place & Growth Directorate**

Cherwell District Council
Extension: 7006
Direct Tel: 01295 227006

<mailto:planning@cherwell-dc.gov.uk>

www.cherwell.gov.uk

Details of applications are available to view through the Council's Online Planning Service at

<https://planningregister.cherwell.gov.uk/>

From: Mark and Karen Hibbert
Sent: 07 October 2019 19:51
To: Samantha Taylor
Subject: Planning Objection 19/01705/OUT, Bloxham Recreation Ground

Dear Ms Taylor

I would like to register my objection to the above planning application on the following grounds:-

1. The proposed plans extend the village boundary.
2. It places a residential development adjacent to the registered Slade nature reserve and wildlife site which will be detrimental to this local facility and damaging to the wildlife and their habitat.
3. The recreation ground is currently an open space with rural views, forming the boundary between the edge of the village and the surrounding countryside. The proposed development turns this into an urban park.
4. Traffic on the A361 South Newington roundabout is already at capacity with no feasible way to reduce it. The number of additional vehicles on the road will exacerbate this to dangerous levels.
5. Bloxham Primary School is already at capacity with no scope for expansion.
6. The A361 is already at capacity. Planning permission has just been granted for a large school development at the other end of the village extending the boundary in that direction and increasing traffic to the village by around 100 children and 60 staff, around 320 additional journeys into and out of the village each day. The roads can not safely also accommodate additional building site and then residential traffic from the proposed application.
7. This is in direct contravention to the village plan (BL1/BL2), it is outside of the village's natural boundaries and is significantly bigger than agreed development size, namely a maximum of 5 properties on a development.
8. Cherwell already has sufficient approved plans to satisfy the requirement for 750 homes in category A villages.
9. Cherwell already has sufficient full 5 year housing supply fulfilling its requirements to support government house building.
10. There is a long history of significant flooding on the proposed site with a flood plain that works well. Any disruption to this will impact current and proposed housing.
11. The village already has property available for purchase, no more stock is required.
12. The village infrastructure cannot support yet more properties, people and associated traffic. It is almost impossible and dangerous to try to stop or park near to the shops. The roads are an accident waiting to happen, safety of pedestrians is at risk.
13. There have already been 3 developments in the last 5 years and 50% growth in the village in the last 10-15 years.

In summary, I believe the planning should be refused on these grounds. Please confirm receipt of this email.

Yours faithfully

James Hibbert

