

-----Original Message-----

From: [planning@cherwell-dc.gov.uk](mailto:planning@cherwell-dc.gov.uk) <[planning@cherwell-dc.gov.uk](mailto:planning@cherwell-dc.gov.uk)>

Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 7:29 PM

To: Planning <[Planning@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk](mailto:Planning@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk)>

Subject: New comments for application 19/01705/OUT

New comments have been received for application 19/01705/OUT at site address: Land Adjoining And West Of Bloxham Recreation Ground South Newington Road Bloxham

from Mrs P Curtis

Address:

2 Colesbourne Road, Bloxham, Banbury, OX15 4TB

Comment type:

Objection

Comments:

I am registering my objections based on the following;

- 1 - I believe Bloxham has already fulfilled it's housing quota for the area.
- 2 - The schools already do not have sufficient places for all those parents wishing to have their children attend them & have no room for expansion, especially in relation to the primary school.
- 3 - Amenities in the area are already stretched & by extension 'if' given permission for this planned housing would invariable decline, longer waiting times etc.
- 4 - Social cohesion, as is very well exemplified by this combined community attempt to stop this planned new development, to deliver more housing at the rate the village has currently been enduring would further break down social cohesion and lead to a decline in the local community.
- 5 - Quality of the plans for the proposed development, the plans contain numerous spelling mistakes (based on a quick cursory look) and as such as an indicator of quality & detail is deeply concerning.
- 6 - Increase in traffic along small tight village roads which invariable leads to a percentage increase in the likelihood of traffic accidents involving both cars & pedestrians.
- 7 - Impact on the slade nature reserve, we our all fortunate to have this beautiful ancient woodland in our community and any risk to the nature and ecosystem is simply not worth it, no matter how small or large that impact may be. It would be impossible to do this proposed development without having a negative effect on the slade. Period.

Sincerely,

P.Curtis