## The Planning Inspectorate

## COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

# Appeal Reference: APP/C3105/W/23/3327213

DETAILS OF THE CASE		
Appeal Reference	APP/C3105/W/23/3327213	
Appeal By	ARCHSTONE AMBROSDEN LTD, BELLWAY HOMES LTD AND ROSEMARY MAY	
Site Address	Land East of Ploughley Road Ambrosden OX25 2AD Grid Ref Easting: 460471 Grid Ref Northing: 220023	

SENDER DETAI	S	
Name	MR. PAUL VARNSVERRY	
Address	1 Jaspers Row Ambrosden BICESTER Oxfordshire OX25 2AT	

### ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- Appellant
- Agent
- Interested Party / Person
- $\hfill\square$  Land Owner
- 🗌 Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- □ Final Comments
- □ Proof of Evidence
- Statement
- □ Statement of Common Ground
- ☑ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- □ Other

#### YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

i draw attention to the comments I made in my original objection to Cherwell District Council on 22nd September, 2022, and I reconfirm my opposition to this development. I endorse the statements made by members of the planning committee speaking in opposition to the application at the meeting where the original application was determined, and refused, underlining the inappropriate nature of the developer's ambitions and the adverse impact its proposals would have on the village of Ambrosden.

One would hope the applicant would have respected the weight of opposition from local residents, some of whom have challenged - with evidence - the disingenuous claims made by the developers in their submissions to the council. Regrettably, developers are parasites and their host is the land. If they are not concreting over green fields, they don't have a business. It's an unsustainable business model, but one they are intent on perpetuating as it is profitable and "the system" appears to support them. This has certainly been the case in Ambrosden, where the Planning Inspectorate has already allowed development of agricultural land in the village, against local opposition. The full impact of that permission has yet to manifest itself, as properties have only recently started to be occupied.

The Planning Inspectorate also needs to respect the views of the residents of Ambrosden who have experienced first hand what it is like to live with the disruption caused by development, long after the developers have taken their profits and walked away without a rearward glance, and often failing to honour the very pledges that convinced decision-makers to allow them to build in the first place, leaving unresolved issues for others to fund the solutions. Please deny the appeal.