

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/C3105/W/23/3315481

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference

Appeal By

Site Address

SENDER DETAILS

Name

Address

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- Appellant
- Agent
- Interested Party / Person
- Land Owner
- Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- Final Comments
- Proof of Evidence
- Statement
- Statement of Common Ground
- Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

I object to this development which has been repeatedly denied for good reason including a covenant that requires this parcel of land to left undeveloped as a local amenity. It fails to comply with the Council's housing policies that look to support residential developments in the "right places" such as sustainable locations. Despite being classed as category A village, Fringford is not a sustainable location in terms of access to services and facilities to meet the day to day needs of residents and has no public transport. The addition of no public transport would increase the number and reliance on private vehicles within the village with an increasingly negative environmental impact.