For official use only (date received): 30/07/2023 10:31:02

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/C3105/W/23/3315481

DETAILS OF THE CASE	
Appeal Reference	APP/C3105/W/23/3315481
Appeal By	MR A BRADBURY
Site Address	Land NE of Fringford Study Centre Rectory Lane Fringford OX27 8DP
SENDER DETAILS	
Name	MRS CAROLYN WHITE
Address	Forge Mill House, Church Lane Fringford BICESTER OX27 8DJ
ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS	
In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?	
□ Appellant □ Agent ☑ Interested Party / Person □ Land Owner □ Rule 6 (6)	
What kind of representation are you making?	
 □ Final Comments □ Proof of Evidence □ Statement □ Statement of Common Ground ☑ Interested Party/Person Correspondence □ Other 	

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

The original application was refused on legitimate points of planning including the absence of facilities and public transport in Fringford which is not a sustainable location for development. The increase in cars on Rectory Lane where there are no footpaths and is already not suitable for the current volume of traffic. Putting an access from Rectory Lane will make it even more dangerous and congested. There have been several applications and appeals which have all been refused because, as a condition of the planning permission to build the houses in Farriers Close, it is to remain undeveloped in perpetuity. Nothing has changed and there is no reason to remove this condition. The site has trees with TPOs and provides a valuable visual amenity as well as a wildlife habitat. Developing this site will be detrimental to the character of Rectory Lane as it provides separation from the newer houses in Farriers Close and the traditional houses of Rectory Lane. Cherwell's decision to refuse this application should be supported as they endeavour to protect rural landscapes from money driven development. There are plans to meet housing targets in the Cherwell area without unnecessary and detrimental infill development.