

# Comment for planning application 22/00998/F

<b>Application Number</b>	22/00998/F
<b>Location</b>	Land North East Of Fringford Study Centre Adjoining Rectory Lane Fringford
<b>Proposal</b>	Erection of a 4 bedroom detached dwelling with garage and access
<b>Case Officer</b>	Emma Whitley
<b>Organisation Name</b>	Susan Topham
<b>Address</b>	The Old School, Rectory Lane, Fringford, Bicester, OX27 8DT
<b>Type of Comment</b>	Objection
<b>Type</b>	neighbour
<b>Comments</b>	<p>Since 1998, there have been multiple Planning Applications on this site and a lot of very important objections from villagers. It is extremely disappointing that yet again, another Planning Application has been made. It is also extremely disappointing that many of the past important objections have been ignored. My previous objections still stand as do those of my husband, Alan Stephens, so we do not need to repeat them, but it does not appear that these objections and those of other villagers have been thoroughly investigated by the last Planning Inspector and that the only thing stopping approval was down to an archaeological investigation and based on what we witnessed, this was not a professional and thorough job witnessed by experts. The most important matter here is that the very first application in 1998 relating to Farriers Close was conditional upon leaving the copse as a village amenity and maintaining it thereafter. The same Organisation, Shanly Homes, agreed to that condition and that is how Farriers Close came to be built. Ever since then, Shanly Homes has ignored that condition and has not maintained its obligation and instead, has taken trees down and left hedges to grow high, needing to be cut back at our expense. It cannot be right that this same Organisation behind yet another Application can be rewarded with an Approval, given all the previous refusals by CDC and objections by many villagers. How many times can an Application be submitted before the Planning Officer finally gives in to yet another unacceptable Application and whilst we fear this will happen. I am sure some people will not feel like spending any more of their precious time on this because they believe Planning Permission will eventually be given, in spite of the fact that none of the previous objections have been addressed, but we simply cannot stand back without requesting that all the previous objections are properly looked at together with, importantly, the history of the site. We request that CDC once again, look at all previous valid objections and refuse this current Application and that any future Planning Inspector will thoroughly look into the history of the site which cannot be ignored and the condition of maintaining it as a Copse which has never been changed and remains in place to this day. This should result in the Application being thrown out once and for all and for no further Applications to be allowed.</p>
<b>Received Date</b>	08/05/2022 14:53:47
<b>Attachments</b>	