

Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment

Final Report

April 2014

Prepared by

GL Hearn Limited 20 Soho Square London W1D 3QW

T +44 (0)20 7851 4900 F +44 (0)20 7851 4910 glhearn.com Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment: April 2014

Contents

Sectior	1	Page
1	INTRODUCTION	5
2	THE CURRENT HOUSING OFFER	15
3	HOUSING MARKET DYNAMICS & MARKET SIGNALS	27
4	DEMOGRAPHIC & ECONOMIC DRIVERS OF THE HOUSING MARKET	57
5	DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC-LED PROJECTIONS	75
6	AFFORDABLE HOUSING NEED	103
7	NEED FOR DIFFERENT SIZES OF HOMES	127
8	HOUSING NEEDS OF SPECIFIC GROUPS IN THE POPULATION	139
9	CONCLUSIONS	171

Quality Standards Control

The signatories below verify that this document has been prepared in accordance with our quality control requirements. These procedures do not affect the content and views expressed by the originator.

This document must only be treated as a draft unless it is has been signed by the Originators and approved by a Business or Associate Director.

DATE April 2014 ORIGINATORS Emma Powell, Assistant Planner Justin Gardner, Director, JGC Christine Doel, Director, SQW APPROVED Nick Ireland, Planning Director



Limitations

This document has been prepared for the stated objective and should not be used for any other purpose without the prior written authority of GL Hearn; we accept no responsibility or liability for the consequences of this document being used for a purpose other than for which it was commissioned.

1 INTRODUCTION

Introduction and Purpose

- 1.1 This report provides a Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) for the Oxfordshire Sub-Regional Housing Market. It has been prepared by a consultant team comprising GL Hearn, Justin Gardner Consulting and SQW on behalf of the local authorities falling mainly within the housing market area, namely West Oxfordshire District Council, Oxford City Council, South Oxfordshire District Council, Vale of White Horse District Council and Cherwell District Council.
- 1.2 The SHMA is concerned principally with issues related to housing development, and considers in particular questions relating to:
 - how many homes might need to be developed in the future;
 - what mix of homes is needed; and
 - the housing needs of specific groups within the population.
- 1.3 It is intended to inform the future development of planning and housing policies and includes an objective assessment of the need for market and affordable housing over the period to 2031. It considers both need for housing overall, for different types of homes and in different parts of the County.
- 1.4 The Councils' brief for the SHMA makes clear the requirement to present a coherent analysis of the relationship between jobs and homes in the housing market area. To support this, a set of economic forecasts have been prepared alongside and to inform the SHMA by Cambridge Econometrics and SQW¹.
- 1.5 The SHMA responds to and is compliant with the requirements of both the National Planning Policy Framework² (the NPPF) and Planning Practice Guidance on *Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessments*³ issued by Government in March 2014.

¹ SQW and Cambridge Econometrics (2014) Economic Forecasting to inform the Oxfordshire Strategic Economic Plan and Strategic Housing Market Assessment

² CLG (March 2012) *National Planning Policy Framework*

³ CLG (March 2014) Planning Practice Guidance: Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessments

National Policy and Practice Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework

- 1.6 National policies for plan-making are set out within the National Planning Policy Framework⁴. This sets out key policies against which development plans will be assessed at examination and to which they must comply. From April 2013 the weight which can be given to policies within existing adopted plans which are 'pre-NPPF' is influenced by the degree of compliance of these with policies within the Framework.
- 1.7 The Framework sets a **presumption in favour of sustainable development** whereby Local Plans should meet objectively assessed development needs, with sufficient flexibility to respond to rapid change, unless the adverse impacts of doing so would significantly or demonstrably outweigh the benefits or policies within the Framework indicate that development should be restricted⁵.
- 1.8 The core evidence for housing need is intended to be a Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) for the housing market area. This SHMA seeks to address this requirement. It covers the Oxfordshire Housing Market Area (HMA).
- 1.9 Paragraph 159 in the Framework outlines that the SHMA should identify the scale and mix of housing and the range of tenures which the local population is likely to need over the plan period which:
 - Meets household and population projections, taking account of migration and demographic change;
 - Addresses the need for all types of housing, including affordable housing and the needs of different groups in the community; and
 - Caters for housing demand and the scale of housing supply necessary to meet this demand.
- 1.10 This is reaffirmed in the NPPF in Paragraph 50. The SHMA is intended to be prepared for the housing market area, and include work and dialogue with neighbouring authorities where the HMA crosses administrative boundaries in line with the 'duty to cooperate' introduced in the 2011 Localism Act.
- 1.11 Paragraph 158 of the NPPF also emphasises the alignment of the housing and economic evidence base and policy, and a key requirement of the Councils' brief for this SHMA has been to understand the interaction between the housing market and economy and to examine what level of housing provision might be required to support economic growth within Oxfordshire.

⁴ CLG (March 2012) National Planning Policy Framework

⁵ Examples of these include Green Belt, Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty and areas at risk of flooding

- 1.12 Paragraph 17 in the NPPF is clear that the SHMA also needs to take account of market signals such as land prices and housing affordability.
- 1.13 In regard to housing mix, the NPPF sets out that authorities should plan for a mix of housing based on current and future demographic trends, market trends and the needs of different groups in the community. Planning authorities should identify the size, type, tenure and range of housing that is required in particular locations reflecting local demand. Where a need for affordable housing is identified, authorities should set policies for meeting this need on site. However in setting affordable housing targets, the NPPF states that to ensure a plan is deliverable, the sites and the scale of development identified in the plan should not be subject to a scale of obligations and policy burdens such that their ability to be developed is threatened and should support development throughout the economic cycle. The SHMA does not deal with residential development viability, however it is important to recognise that this is a relevant consideration, and needs to be brought together with the analysis of affordable housing need herein, in setting policies for affordable housing.

Practice Guidance

- 1.14 New Planning Practice Guidance was issued by Government in March 2014 on '*Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessments*.⁴⁶ A draft of this Guidance was issued in August 2013 as part of the Government's review of Planning Practice Guidance (which informed the drafting of the SHMA). This is relevant to this SHMA in that it provides clarity on how key elements of the NPPF should be interpreted, including the approach to deriving an objective assessment of the need for housing.
- 1.15 The Guidance defines "need" as referring to:

"the scale and mix of housing and the range of tenures that is likely to be needed in the housing market area over the plan period – and should cater for the housing demand of the area and identify the scale of housing supply necessary to meet this demand."

1.16 It sets out that the assessment of need should be proportionate and should be based on future scenarios that could be reasonably expected to occur. It should not take account of supply-side factors or development constraints, with the Guidance specifically setting out that:

"Plan makers should not apply constraints to the overall assessment of need, such as limitations imposed by the supply of land for new development, historic under performance, viability, infrastructure or environmental constraints. However, these considerations will need to be addressed when bringing evidence bases together to identify specific policies in development plans."

⁶ CLG (March 2014) Planning Practice Guidance - Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessments

- 1.17 The Guidance outlines that whilst estimating future need is not an exact science and that no single approach will provide a definitive answer, the starting point for establishing the need for housing should be the latest household projections published by the Department for Communities and Local Government (CLG). At the time of preparation of this report these are 2011-based 'Interim' Household Projections.⁷ However it sets out that these only cover a 10 year period up to 2021, therefore plan makers would need to assess trends after 2021 to align with their development plan periods.
- 1.18 It sets out that there may be instances where these national projections require adjustment to take account of factors affecting local demography or household formation rates which are not captured in past trends, including where there is evidence that household formation rate have been supressed historically by under-supply or worsening affordability of housing. It suggests that proportional adjustments should be made where there market signals point to supply being constrained relative to long-term trends or other areas in order to improve affordability.
- 1.19 It sets out that plan makers may consider sensitivity testing, specific to their local circumstances, based on alternative assumptions in relation to the underlying demographic projections and household formation rates. It sets out that such local issues may vary, but might include migration levels that have been affected by changes in employment growth, a large housing development such as an urban extension in the last five years, or expansion in education or facilities for older people. Any such local changes would need to be clearly explained and justified on the basis of established sources of robust evidence.
- 1.20 The associated Guidance on *Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessments*⁸ sets out that in assessing objectively-assessed need for housing, consideration can be given to evidence that the council has delivered over and above its housing need in previous years. It sets out that "*if a council has robust evidence that past high delivery rates that informed the projections are no longer realistic for example they relied on a particular set of circumstances that could not be expected to occur again they can adjust their projections down accordingly."*
- 1.21 In regard to employment trends, the Guidance indicates that job growth trends and/or economic forecasts should be considered having regard to the growth in working-age population in the housing market area. It sets out that where the supply of working age population that is economically active (labour force supply) is less than the projected job growth, this could result in unsustainable commuting patterns (depending on public transport accessibility and other sustainable options such as walking and cycling) and could reduce the resilience of local

⁷ These are interim projections rather than official statistics

⁸ CLG (March 2014) Planning Practice Guidance – Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessments

businesses. In such circumstances, plan makers will need to consider how the location of new housing and infrastructure development could help to address these problems.

- 1.22 Evidence of affordable housing needs is also relevant, with the Guidance suggesting that the total affordable housing need should be considered in the context of its likely delivery as a proportion of mixed market and affordable housing. In some instances it suggests this may provide a case for increasing the level of overall housing provision.
- 1.23 The Guidance indicates that the assessment should consider the need for different types of housing and the needs of different groups, including family housing, housing for older people, and households with specific needs and those looking to build their own home. It sets out that the need for older persons housing should be broken down by tenure and type, and should include an assessment of need for residential institutions.

The Oxfordshire Housing Market Area

- 1.24 Practice Guidance on undertaking studies such as this identifies that housing market areas reflect the relationships between where people live and work, patterns of movement between homes, and differences in housing costs and trends in these.
- 1.25 An Oxford-focused sub-regional housing market extending across much of Oxfordshire has previously been identified by research at both a national and regional level. This SHMA has sought to critically review the definition of the market area based on the interrogation of house prices, migration and commuting flows and discussions with stakeholders. This is considered in detail in Appendix 1.
- 1.26 The analysis concludes that there is an Oxford-focused Housing Market Area which extends across much of the County, reflecting the economic influence of the City. The county still remains the most appropriate geography for analysis of housing markets in terms of the 'best fit' of local authority boundaries to a functional housing market area. It is thus the appropriate geographical scale to take forward sub-regional joint working on an SHMA.



Figure 1: The Oxfordshire Housing Market Area

- 1.27 However there are links, in housing market and economic terms, between parts of Oxfordshire and surrounding areas, including major employment centres close to the county's boundaries, particularly Reading (the influence of which tends into South Oxfordshire including Henley-on-Thames) and Swindon (the influence of which extends into the Vale of White Horse). In the north of the county, the economic and housing market influence of Banbury and Bicester extend into South Northamptonshire and Aylesbury Vale respectively.
- 1.28 Within Oxfordshire we can identify a number of more localised housing market which reflect more closely similarities in the housing mix and pricing across different parts of the County. Our analysis points to the operation of three overlapping housing markets:
 - Banbury and North Oxfordshire;
 - Oxford; and
 - South Oxfordshire.
- 1.29 This geography reflects the unique characteristics of the City in terms of its housing mix, but also its economic strengths and presence of two universities. It also reflects the subtle differences between the housing mix and pricing between the north and south of the County, with the latter

demonstrating (in relative terms) higher housing costs and demand for larger housing within the market sector. We would include West Oxfordshire within a 'north Oxfordshire' focused market.

- 1.30 A review of planning policies for housing provision (current or emerging) in areas which adjoin the Oxfordshire HMA is set out in Appendix 3.
- 1.31 Within these broad areas there are differences between the housing mix and quality of place at a more local level. Whilst the focus of this report is on considering strategic issues related to housing across the sub-regional housing market, the report profiles housing mix and market dynamics within sub-markets more locally seeking principally to draw out key relevant distinctions which are of relevant to considering how the future mix of housing provision might be adjusted to meet local need.
- 1.32 The sub-markets identified in this report are split between urban and more rural centres. They are built up from wards, as this is only the level at which some data (for instance on demographics) is consistently available. The identified sub-markets have been agreed with the local authorities and are set out below.

Local Authority	Sub-Markets
Cherwell	Kidlington Bicester
Cherweil	Banbury
	Rural
Oxford	Oxford
	Thame
	Henley-on-Thames
South Oxfordshire	Didcot
	Wallingford
	Rural Areas
	Western Vale (Urban)
	Western Vale (Rural)
Vale of White Horse	South East Vale (Urban)
vale of white norse	South East Vale (Rural)
	Abingdon and City Fringe (Urban)
	Abingdon and City Fringe (Rural)
	Witney
West Oxfordshire	Carterton
west Oxfordshire	Chipping Norton
	Rural Areas

Table 1: Housing Sub-Markets within Oxfordshire

Overview of Approach

- 1.33 The SHMA has been prepared taking account of both the 2007 SHMA Guidance and the 2014 Planning Practice Guidance on *Housing and Economic Assessments.⁹* The final version of Planning Practice Guidance was issued at a late stage of the project, but has been taken into account in finalising the SHMA Report. It considers issues relating to overall housing need, the mix of housing needed and the housing needs of specific groups within the population.
- 1.34 The assessment of future need for market and affordable housing does not take account of supplyside factors such as the availability of land for development, physical or policy constraints, the sustainability of accommodating different levels of housing provision or the views of local communities. These are all relevant considerations in determining future policies for housing provision, but it is the role of the relevant planning authorities in developing new plans to draw these together. The SHMA is intended to assist in this process by providing an independent, objective assessment of need for housing.
- 1.35 The SHMA itself has been informed by a detailed process of stakeholder consultation and engagement. This has included:
 - One-to-one discussions with estate and letting agents and new-build sales staff to understand housing market dynamics 'on the ground;'
 - A programme of consultation with officers in both housing and planning teams from the local authorities across Oxfordshire as well as elected members;
 - A workshop in September 2013 to discuss and explore economic growth potential and how this relates to the housing market;
 - A stakeholder workshop held to consider the emerging findings of the SHMA and to discuss key
 methodological issues held in Oxford Town Hall on 1st November 2013. This was attended by a
 range of stakeholders including developers and their agents, representatives from adjoining
 authorities, as well as representatives of neighbourhood forums which are involved in preparing
 neighbourhood plans within Oxfordshire.
- 1.36 The preparation of the SHMA has been coordinated by a Steering Group comprising members of the Oxfordshire councils. The Oxfordshire Spatial Planning and Infrastructure Partnership (SPIP) has overseen the project and has discussed emerging findings. The SPIP has supported joint working at both senior officer and member levels.

⁹ CLG (March 2014) Planning Practice Guidance: Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessments

Report Structure

- 1.37 The remainder of the report is structured as follows:
 - Section 2: The Current Housing Offer;
 - Section 3: Housing Market Dynamics and Market Signals;
 - Section 4: Demographic and Economic Drivers of the Housing Market;
 - Section 5: Demographic and Economic-Led Projections;
 - Section 6: Affordable Housing Need;
 - Section 7: Need for Different Sizes of Homes;
 - Section 8: Housing Needs of Specific Groups in the Population;
 - Section 9: Conclusions.
- 1.38 The report is accompanied by the following appendices which provide detailed contextual information. These comprise:
 - Appendix 1: Defining the Housing Market Area;
 - Appendix 2: Review of Key Housing and Planning Policies;
 - Appendix 3: Review of Policies for Housing Provision in Adjoining Authorities;
 - Appendix 4: Affordable Housing Definitions;
 - Appendix 5: Survey of Local Prices and Rents.

Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment: April 2014

2 THE CURRENT HOUSING OFFER

- 2.1 We have defined Oxfordshire as a sub-regional housing market, and identified a number of local housing markets and sub-markets within this. In this section we move forward to profile the housing offer, considering differences in the housing mix, as firstly this influences the characteristics of the market; and secondly it is important to understand what housing is in different areas now in considering what mix of housing should be provided in the future.
- 2.2 We define the Oxfordshire HMA as comprising the local authorities of Cherwell, Oxford, South Oxfordshire, the Vale of White Horse and West Oxfordshire. It aligns to the County boundary.

Housing Offer

2.3 Much of the housing stock in 2031 exists now; and it is thus important to understand the current 'housing offer' and how this has been changing, to consider what gaps in the offer new-build development might fill. In this section we profile the current housing offer, considering the profile of stock of different types, sizes and tenures of homes, how this has been changing and how it varies across the HMA.

Tenure Profile

- 2.4 There are just over 272,000 dwellings in total in Oxfordshire HMA. For the HMA as a whole, the proportions of public sector and private sector stock are broadly consistent with regional and national averages.
- 2.5 Oxford City stands out as having a notably higher proportion of the housing stock in public sector ownership (principally affordable housing) relative to the other parts of the county. It is also the only authority which has retained housing in the Council's ownership.

	Total Stock	% Public Sector Ownership	% Private Sector Ownership	
Cherwell	60,420	12.4%	87.6%	
Oxford	58,330	23.3%	76.7%	
South Oxfordshire 56,370		12.6%	87.4%	
Vale of White Horse	50,980	15.4%	84.6%	
West Oxfordshire	45,990	13.9%	86.1%	
Oxfordshire	272,090	15.6%	84.4%	
South East	3,683,100	14.0%	86.0%	
England	22,814,000	18.0%	82.0%	

Table 2: Tenure, 2011

Source: CLG, Table 100.

2.6 The majority of stock in public sector ownership is owned by Councils or Registered Providers. However there is some stock which is owned by other public sector bodies, such as the Police, Ambulance Service and Armed Forces. This is set out in Table 3. This is likely to include housing owned by the MOD such as at RAF Brize Norton.

	Other Public Sector Housing
Cherwell	325
Oxford	924
South Oxfordshire	720
Vale of White Horse	1,315
West Oxfordshire	998
Oxfordshire	4,282

Table 3: Profile of Other Public Sector Housing

Source: CLG, Table 100.

- 2.7 2011 Census data can be used to provide a more detailed breakdown of the housing stock by tenure. Like much of the South East, owner occupation is by far the most prominent housing tenure across the Oxfordshire HMA. Outside of Oxford itself, owner occupation dominates accounting 69% or more of all households in each local authority.
- 2.8 Oxford City's tenure profile is markedly different and somewhat skews the profile of the HMA. Almost half of households in the City rent, with the level of households living in private rented accommodation (28%) and social rented accommodation (21%) both falling notably above average. Whilst we might expect the City to have a higher proportion of renting (consistent with other UK cities) the level of renting is very significant. This partly reflects the size of the student rental market, the City accommodating two universities: the University of Oxford and Oxford Brookes University; as well as other households seeking to rent (including young working households and those studying at other colleges in the City).

% Households	Total Households	Owned	Shared Ownership	Social Rented	Private Rented	Other
Cherwell	56,728	69.3	0.8	12.1	16.2	1.6
Oxford	55,375	46.7	1.7	21.4	28.2	2.0
South Oxfordshire	54,104	72.9	0.8	11.4	13.3	1.5
Vale of White Horse	49,407	70.3	1.0	13.3	13.6	1.7
West Oxfordshire	43,241	69.7	1.3	12.5	14.9	1.7
Oxfordshire	258,855	65.5	1.1	14.2	17.5	1.7
South East	3,555,463	67.6	1.1	13.7	16.3	1.3
England	22,063,368	63.3	0.8	17.7	16.8	1.3

Table 4: Detailed Tenure Profile, 2011

Source: Census (2011)

- 2.9 Owner occupation has increased in the west of the county, in both West Oxfordshire and Vale of White Horse, over the 2001-11 decade. However it has fallen (in both absolute and relative terms) in Cherwell, Oxford and South Oxfordshire.
- 2.10 The private rented sector was a key growth sector in the housing market across England during the 2001-11 decade. The number of households living in the Private Rented Sector between 2001-11 has increased by 37% across the Oxfordshire HMA compared to a 57% increase across the South East region and 63% nationally. The strongest growth has been in Cherwell (56% growth) with the lowest in the South Oxfordshire (28%).
- 2.11 Table 5 tracks changes in the tenure profile between 2001-11. The proportion of households owning a home has fallen in Cherwell, Oxford and South Oxfordshire over this decade. Private renting has increased in all areas. The proportion of households in the social rented sector has increased modestly in Oxford and the Vale of White Horse, but has fallen in Cherwell and West Oxfordshire.

	Cherwell	Oxford	South Oxfordshire	Vale of White Horse	West Oxfordshire	Oxfordshire	South East	England
Owned - 2001	70.2%	51.3%	73.8%	68.9%	65.0%	65.8%	68.4%	63.7%
Owned 2011	69.3%	46.7%	72.9%	70.3%	69.7%	65.5%	67.6%	63.3%
Social Rented - 2001	13.0%	21.2%	11.4%	12.9%	13.1%	14.4%	14.0%	19.3%
Social Rented - 2011	12.1%	21.4%	11.4%	13.3%	12.5%	14.2%	13.7%	17.7%
Private Rented - 2001	12.2%	23.9%	12.0%	12.8%	13.7%	15.0%	12.1%	12.0%
Private Rented - 2011	17.8%	30.2%	14.8%	15.3%	16.6%	19.2%	17.6%	18.2%

Table 5: Changes in Tenure Profile (% Households by Tenure), 2001-11

Source: Census 2001, Census 2011

- 2.12 Figure 2 looks at tenure changes in terms of the absolute number of households in the different tenure categories. Owner occupation has increased in West Oxfordshire and the Vale of White Horse in absolute and proportional terms. The strongest growth in private renting has been in Oxford, followed by Cherwell.
- 2.13 The growth in private renting has been a trend at a national and regional level over the 2001-11 decade, linked to a range of factors including the availability of mortgage finance and buy-to-let mortgages; and the attractiveness of housing as an investment.



Figure 2: Changes in Tenure Profile 2001 - 2011

Source: Census (2011 and 2001)

House Types

- 2.14 The Oxfordshire HMA has an above average proportion of (typically larger) detached and semidetached homes relative to the South East as a whole. These account for 61% of the housing stock in 2011.
- 2.15 The proportion of terraced housing (22%) is in line with the South East average whereas the proportion of flats (16%) is below both regional (21%) and national averages (22%). This principally reflects the relatively rural nature of the county.
- 2.16 The proportion of detached housing is particularly high in South Oxfordshire, the Vale of White Horse and West Oxfordshire, in all cases representing over a third of the housing stock. Cherwell in contrast has a higher proportion of semi-detached stock.
- 2.17 The housing mix in Oxford differs markedly from other areas: it is focused towards higher density development and typically smaller homes. Just under a third of the City's housing stock was made up of flats (32.8%) in 2011. The proportion of terraced housing is also greater than in the other authorities, accounting for 28.2% of the dwelling stock.



Figure 3: House Types: % Dwellings in 2011



Housing Size

- 2.18 The profile of housing sizes across the Oxfordshire HMA is biased moderately towards larger dwellings relative to the regional and national profile (consistent with above average house prices); but a clear distinction is evident between the City and the other districts. Across the HMA:
 - 10% of properties have 1 bedroom;
 - 24% have 2 bedrooms;
 - 40% have 3 bedrooms;
 - 19% have 4 bedrooms; and
 - 7% of dwellings have 5 or more bedrooms.
- 2.19 Oxfordshire as a whole (the HMA) has a higher proportion of properties with three or more bedrooms relative to regional or national benchmarks, with **properties with three or more bedrooms accounting for two-thirds of the housing stock (66.1%)**. This is significant, as is one of a number of factors which is likely to contribute to affordability pressures for younger households. This said it is a reflection (to some degree) of market demand for housing and the attractiveness of Oxfordshire as a place to live (even within the region).

- 2.20 Oxford City again demonstrates a different stock profile to the rest of the HMA. It has an above average level of 1 and 2 bed properties at 43% (compared to 34% across the HMA generally and 38% across the South East).
- 2.21 Cherwell has a high proportion of 3 bed properties (44%) when compared to the regional average and a greater focus (taking account of the range of data on housing mix) towards 'mid market' housing.
- 2.22 Vale of White Horse, South Oxfordshire and West Oxfordshire all have a high proportion of 4 + bed dwellings, in all cases accounting for over a quarter of dwellings for each of the three authorities compared with 23% in the South East and 19% nationally. These areas clearly have a stock geared towards larger (and higher value) family homes.





Source: Census (2011)

Council Tax Bands

2.23 For the local authorities in south and west Oxfordshire less than 15% of the housing stock falls within Council Tax Bands A and B. This is significantly below the regional (15%) and national profile. Over a third of dwellings are Council Tax Bands E and above in South Oxfordshire (38%) and Vale of White Horse (35%) compared with 29% regionally and 19% nationally.

2.24 Oxford City has a higher proportion of homes falling within Bands C and D, despite the focus of the City's housing offer more towards smaller properties as market values are comparatively higher. Cherwell District has a higher proportion of properties in Bands A and B relative to other parts of the Oxfordshire HMA – a reflection of the slight differences in housing mix and values relative to other parts of the County.





Source: ONS/ VOA

Local Differences in the Housing Offer

- 2.25 In this section we seek to draw out more local differences in the housing offer. In interpreting this it is important to remember that different parts of a sub-regional housing market are likely to play a different role and function which, to some degree, complement one another. Thus across the country we find greater levels of larger, detached homes in owner occupation in rural areas; and more smaller homes with a younger population and higher renting in urban (and particularly larger urban) areas. This broad picture is borne out in Oxfordshire.
- 2.26 The rural areas generally have larger dwellings. In each of the rural sub-markets, more than 32% of households live in homes with 4 or more bedrooms (with the highest levels in the Western Vale at 42%, and other areas ranging from 32-35%). 1-bed stock is very limited (generally below 5%). With

the exception of the southern and western rural parts of the Vale of White Horse (where levels of renting are higher), 74% of households are owner occupiers.

- 2.27 The urban areas generally have a greater concentration of smaller dwellings, in part reflecting development densities. Over 40% of households in Oxford, Henley-on-Thames and Didcot live in 1 and 2 bed properties. In Chipping Norton, Carterton, Banbury, Wallingford and the urban areas in the Western Vale the level of 1 and 2 bed dwellings accounts for between 37-39% of the housing stock (albeit that 1-bed volumes are below 13% outside of Oxford). However in all of these areas the most common housing size is 3-bed properties.
- 2.28 Looking at the tenure profile, the level of renting (social and private together) outside of Oxford (where it accommodates half of all households) is strongest in Chipping Norton, Banbury and the Urban Western Vale (accommodating over 35% of households). Private renting is above 20% in some smaller settlements (Banbury and Carterton). Social renting is notably (20.3%) in the Urban Western Vale.



Figure 6: Tenure Profile by Sub Market Area

Source: Census, 2011

- 2.29 Generally, there is a slightly higher proportion of detached housing in the southern part of the county (36%) compared with north (32%) with greater terraced housing in the north.
- 2.30 In the Kidlington, Cherwell Rural, South Oxfordshire Rural and Abingdon and City Fringe Rural Sub-Market Areas more than 75% of households are owner occupiers.





Source: Census, 2011

2.31 The profile of housing sizes in the northern and southern part of the HMA is similar although the southern part of the HMA has a slightly higher proportion of larger properties (30% of properties have 4 or more bedrooms compared to 25% in the northern part of the HMA and 20% in Oxford). The southern part of the HMA has 30% of properties over 4 bedrooms compared with 25% in the northern part of the HMA (and 20% in Oxford). There are few 1 bed dwellings in the rural submarket areas with most rural areas having 3 dwellings or above.



Figure 8: Size of Homes by Sub Area

Source: Census (2011)

Specialist Housing Provision for Older People

- 2.32 Across Oxfordshire there is a supply of over 6,600 homes focused towards meeting the needs of older households. In addition to this there are almost 4,400 bedspaces in nursing and care homes. This is focused on meeting the needs of older persons.
- 2.33 This housing offer can be broken down as follows:
 - Sheltered Housing: c. 6,000 properties;
 - Enhanced Sheltered Housing: 285 properties;
 - Extra Care Housing: 322 properties.
- 2.34 Table 6 sets out how this is broken down by tenure and local authority.
- 2.35 It should be noted that not all existing specialist housing for older people is fit-for-purpose. It is likely that some may require replacement or remodelling over the period to 2031. Replacement provision for accommodation which is not fit-for-purpose would be additional to that needed to support the growing population of older persons.

	-		• •				
	Cherwell	Oxford	South Oxon	VoWH	West Oxon	County	
Sheltered Housing: Rent	1,244	1,034	983	467	91	3,819	
Sheltered Housing: Lease	526	349	454	375	503	2,207	
Enhanced Sheltered: Rent	0	0	0	64	0	64	
Enhanced Sheltered: Lease	61	0	35	105	20	221	
All Sheltered	1,831	1,383	1,472	1,011	614	6,311	
Extra Care: Rent	130	152	40	0	0	322	
Extra Care: Lease	0	0	0	0	0	0	
All Extra Care	130	152	40	0	0	322	
Residential Care	236	264	288	243	275	1,306	
Nursing Care	642	409	653	558	808	3,070	
All Registered Care	878	673	941	801	1083	4,376	
Source: Housing LIN	1		1		1	1	

Table 6:	Stock of Specialist Housing for Older People, 2012

Source: Housing LIN

Key Messages

- 2.36 The housing offer in Oxfordshire as a whole is moderately biased towards larger, higher value homes relative to the South East as a whole. A key feature is the above average proportion owner occupation compared to both regional and national averages.
- 2.37 However the housing offer differs geographically within the HMA. The offer in most areas is dominated by largely private, family-sized stock with above average representation of 3+ bedroom and semi-detached/detached properties.
- 2.38 Oxford is however the exception. The City stands out as a having a particularly distinct housing offer focused more towards smaller properties (with 43% 1 and 2 bed and a third flats) and with much greater renting (both private and social renting) and therefore lower owner occupation (50%). In contrast the rural parts of the county have a housing offer which is focused much more towards larger, higher value properties which are privately owned.
- 2.39 Outside of Oxford, the north of the county generally has a housing offer focused slightly more towards 'mid market' housing (with larger levels of 3-bed properties); whilst the south of the County has more larger homes of with 4+ bedrooms.

Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment: April 2014

3 HOUSING MARKET DYNAMICS & MARKET SIGNALS

- 3.1 This section seeks to analyse housing market dynamics using a combination of quantitative and qualitative research and to consider market signals relating to the supply-demand balance for housing. It considers market signals relating to housing costs, land prices and affordability which are relevant in considering whether there is evidence that household formation has been constrained. The analysis also reviews market signals relating to housing demand in different areas and for different types of homes.
- 3.2 Our research has highlighted that the housing market is significantly influenced by macro-economic drivers and thus this is a suitable starting point for considering housing market dynamics.

Overview of the UK Housing Market and Economy

Conceptual Framework

3.3 It is important to understand that the housing market is influenced by macro-economic factors, as well as the housing market conditions at a regional and local level. There are a number of key influences on housing demand, which are set out in the chart below (Figure 9).

Figure 9: Understanding Housing Demand



Source: GL Hearn

- 3.4 The housing market is complex. It is influenced by the economy at both a macro-economic level, in terms of interest rates and mortgage availability, as well as market sentiment (which is influenced by economic performance and prospects at the macro-level).
- 3.5 It is also influenced by the economy at both regional and local levels, recognising that employment trends will influence migration patterns (as people move to and from areas to access jobs), and that the nature of employment growth and labour demand will influence changes in earnings (which influences affordability).
- 3.6 Critically for strategic planning purposes, housing demand over the longer-term is particularly influenced by population and economic trends. Changes in the size and structure of the population directly influence housing need and demand, and the nature of demand for different housing products. Economic performance influences migration between different areas and household wealth.
- 3.7 There are then a number of factors which play out at a more local level, within a functional housing market and influence demand in different locations. These include:
 - quality of place and neighbourhood character;
 - school performance and the catchments of good schools;
 - the accessibility of areas including to employment centres (with transport links being an important component of this); and
 - the existing housing market and local market conditions.
- 3.8 These factors influence the demand profile and pricing within the local housing markets and subareas identified, against a context in which households compete within the market for housing.
- 3.9 Local housing markets or sub-markets are though also influenced by dynamics in surrounding areas, in regard to the relative balance between supply and demand in different markets; and the relative pricing of housing within them. Understanding relative pricing and price trends is thus important.

Understanding the Macro-Level Dynamics

- 3.10 Much has been written over the last few years about economic performance and outlook. The UK economy, as well as a number of the major global economies, experienced an economic recession which lasted six quarters from Q3 2008 until the end of 2009. The economy began to recover in 2010.
- 3.11 Economic recovery since 2010 has been relatively weak. As Figure 10 indicates during the course of 2012 there was minimal growth in the UK economy. However, the outlook in 2013 looks to be

more positive and emerging evidence is pointing both towards improved economic confidence and performance.





- 3.12 One of the key triggers to the recent economic difficulties on an international level was the 'credit crunch.' The downturn in the world economy was led by the sub-prime lending crisis in the United States. This resulted in a fundamental shift in the way banks lend money between themselves, through wholesale money markets, and to their customers (including home purchasers, landlords and developers).
- 3.13 From the second half of 2007, banks begun to increase the inter-bank lending rate (LIBOR) and sought to adjust their exposure to risk by adopting much more cautious lending practices. The net effect of this was to reduce liquidity in the financial markets and credit available (resulting in a 'credit crunch') and in tightening lending criteria for current and prospective homeowners. This tightening of lending criteria increased 'barriers' to entry for marginal mortgage applicants by reducing loan to value ratios (LTVs), increasing costs associated with obtaining mortgages and reducing the income multiples accepted.
- 3.14 The tight lending criteria initiated by the credit crunch has continued to have an impact on mortgage lending over the last four years, with households' ability to obtain mortgage finance functioning as a notable constraint on effective demand for market homes. The impact has been notable on first-time buyers in particular.
- 3.15 During the course of 2013 the housing market has however begun to improve. Loans for home purchase in November 2013 were 15% higher than a year previously across the UK.

- 3.16 The average loan-to-value ratio for first-time buyers remains at 80% (at November 2013) notably higher than levels pre-2008 but an improvement on the last few years. There has however been a gradual increase in the proportion of first-time buyers with a deposit of 10% or less. In total lending to first-time buyers nationally in November 2013 was 37% up on the previous year. The average income multiple was 3.35, with households spending on average 19.1% of gross income to cover capital and interest payments. The typical income of a first-time buyer nationally was £36,000.
- 3.17 Gross mortgage lending remains below levels pre-2007, but improved in 2013 on the previous year.



Figure 11: Trends in Gross Mortgage Lending, UK

Source: Council for Mortgage Lenders

- 3.18 Key issues affecting the ability of households and investors to secure mortgage finance are:
 - Savings and Capital: the ability to raise a deposit;
 - Earnings and Interest Rates: affecting the ability to afford repayments;
 - Lending Criteria: key criteria which have to be met to secure finance.
- 3.19 For those with a sufficient deposit, housing has become relatively more affordable given the reductions in the value of homes since the peak of the market in 2007 in many areas and low interest rates in many areas in the UK. Interest rates over most of this period have been low by historical standards. Figure 12 tracks interest rates over the period since 2007.
- 3.20 Indeed, since March 2009, the Bank of England Base Rate has been just 0.5% as low as it has even been. This compares for instance to a Base Rate of between 10.4% 13.4% in 1991. The Bank of England has also indicated that it will not raise the base rate until employment falls below 7%.



Figure 12: Interest Rates, 1997-2013

Source: Bank of England Statistics

- 3.21 Figure 13 assesses long-term trends in the balance between housing costs and incomes as an indicator of the affordability of market housing. It considers the cost of mortgage payments as a percentage of monthly income.
- 3.22 With reductions in house prices and low interest rates, market housing is now as affordable as it was in the late 1990s on this measure. Mortgage repayments are on average 35.0% of (gross) household income in the South East (and 27.8% across the UK) as at Q1 2013. This is significantly down on the peak of the market in Q3 2008 when mortgage repayments were on average 53.6% of gross income across the region. Indeed affordability on this measure is similar to levels in the region in 1998.



Figure 13: Mortgage Payments as a % of Monthly Income

Source: Halifax House Price Index

- 3.23 Thus the key constraint on the market is not the affordability of housing (in terms of the ability of households to cover mortgage repayments¹⁰), but the ability of households to raise a sufficient deposit and to meet lending criteria to secure mortgage finance.
- 3.24 Sales of homes are however not just influenced by first-time buyers and those trading up or down within the housing market. They are influenced by investment activity properties bought to be rented privately.
- 3.25 The buy-to-let sector continues to grow, with the Council for Mortgage Lenders indicating that by the end of March 2013 buy-to-let lending accounted for 13.4% of total outstanding mortgage lending in the UK up from 13% the previous quarter and 12.9% at the end of the first quarter of 2012. This is partly related by improved access to finance. The latest data from November 2013 indicates that buy-to-let mortgage advances have increased month-on-month since May 2013.
- 3.26 With growth in rents over the last few years and lower capital costs for house purchases, housing represents an improved investment proposition. There is evidently occupier demand from a combination of demographics, limited new-build and restrictions on home purchases.
- 3.27 Despite wider economic conditions, mortgage possessions have been falling (no doubt supported by low interest rates). The Council of Mortgage Lenders in February 2013 stated that the number of

¹⁰ Notwithstanding that there are likely to be some repossessions associated with high unemployment

possessions, held by lenders in 2012, was at the lowest level for 5 years. ⁴⁴ The trend in mortgage arrears is also downwards.

Housing Demand Indicators in Oxfordshire

House Prices

3.28 Table 7 profiles median house prices in Q3 2012. The average house price in Oxfordshire was almost a third (32%) above the national average indicating strong relative housing demand. Within the County, the highest median house prices are in Oxford (at £40,000 above the County average despite a stock mix focused more towards smaller homes). House prices are below the county average in West Oxfordshire and particularly Cherwell.

	Median House Price	Differential to Oxfordshire Average
Cherwell	£216,500	-£33,500
Oxford	£290,000	£40,000
South Oxfordshire	£286,975	£36,975
Vale of White Horse	£270,000	£20,000
West Oxfordshire	£245,000	-£5,000
Oxfordshire	£250,000	£0
England	£190,000	-£60,000

Table 7: Median House Prices, Q3 2012

Source: HM Land Registry/ CLG Table 582

- 3.29 Figure 14 below profiles the house prices in the pre-recession decade. The median house price increased by an average of 184% across Oxfordshire over this period (from an already above average starting point). This compares to the 188% growth in prices recorded in the South East and 186% in England.
- 3.30 Within Oxfordshire, median house prices increased more than 200% in South Oxfordshire (222%) and Cherwell (200%). House prices increased to a lesser extent in Oxford (184%), the Vale of White Horse (169%) and West Oxfordshire (157%).
- 3.31 Strong house price growth over the pre-recession decade suggests that supply fell notably short of demand over this period. In effect market conditions supported strong demand, and growth in supply could not keep pace. Low interest rates, macro-economic stability and availability of mortgage finance supported this.

¹¹ https://www.cml.org.uk/cml/media/press/3422



Figure 14: Median House Price Trends, 1998 - 2007

Source: HM Land Registry / CLG.

3.32 Since 2007, trends in median house prices have been quite different, as Figure 15 demonstrates. Across Oxfordshire we have seen median house prices grow by 3.0%, compared to 8.6% growth across England. This is however likely to have been influenced by changes in the mix of homes sold over this period; with a greater emphasis on family properties being bought by older buyers with equity in their existing homes. The lower end of the market is likely to have been more significantly affected by changes to the availability of mortgage finance since the credit crunch.



Figure 15: Median House Price Trends, 2008-2012

Source: HM Land Registry / CLG.

3.33 Over the 2008-12 period in absolute terms the strongest growth has been in Vale of White Horse (with median prices increasing by £25,000) followed by West Oxfordshire (a £20,000 increase). Prices in Cherwell have though also grown, increasing by £16,500. In all of these areas price growth has exceeded that nationally.

	Q3 2008	Q3 2012	% Change
Cherwell	£200,000	£216,500	8.3%
Oxford	£280,000	£290,000	3.6%
South Oxfordshire	£273,000	£286,975	5.1%
Vale of White Horse	£250,000	£270,000	8.0%
West Oxfordshire	£220,000	£245,000	11.4%
Oxfordshire	£242,750	£250,000	3.0%
England	£175,000	£190,000	8.6%

Table 8:	Median	House	Price	Trends	2008 -	2012
	wieulan	nouse	I IICC	nicitus,	2000 -	2012

Source: HM Land Registry

- 3.34 House prices are however influenced by the mixture of homes sold. House prices are notably higher for detached houses across all authorities relative to other property types.
- 3.35 Relative prices are also higher in Oxford than in the other authorities within the HMA. There is a particular premium for detached stock (for which the supply is low, as set out in Section 2) in the City. Market signals thus suggest proportionally stronger demand for detached homes in the City.
- 3.36 In relative terms, house prices (and thus relative demand for market housing) is more modest in Cherwell District.
- 3.37 The analysis also points to relatively weaker prices for flatted accommodation in Cherwell and West Oxfordshire, suggesting a more limited flatted market in these areas. In these authorities, Banbury and Chipping Norton are the only areas where more than 15% of the housing stock is flatted.



Figure 16: House Prices by Type

Source: HM Land Registry October 2012 - March 2013

Sales Volumes

- 3.38 Sales volumes provide an indication of changes in effective demand for market housing over time. Figure 17 benchmarks annual sales across the Oxfordshire and wider geographies over the 1998-2011 period. 2011 is the latest data currently available consistently at a local authority level. It uses an index where 1 is the average annual sales over the 1998-2007 decade (prior to the credit crunch).
- 3.39 The chart shows that the credit crunch resulted in a substantial reduction in effective demand, with sales broadly halving. Between 2009-2011 we saw only a modest recovery. In 2011 sales across the HMA were 40% down on the pre-2007 annual average. This compares with a 44% reduction across England, suggesting that the market in the Oxfordshire has out-performed wider areas.
- 3.40 The trend in sales/ effective demand across the five authorities making up the HMA has been similar highlighting the influence of macro-economic factors on housing demand.
- 3.41 The market in Oxford, South Oxfordshire and Vale of White Horse appears to have recovered more strongly relative to other parts of the HMA and the market nationally since 2009.


Figure 17: Quarterly Sales Index, 1998 – 2011

3.42 Whilst local authority-level data is not available, we can use the Land Registry's House Price Index to track more recent trends in sales for the HMA as a whole. This highlights the upturn in effective demand for housing during the course of 2013; but indicates that sales volumes remain below pre-

credit crunch levels.



Figure 18: Sales Trends, Oxfordshire 2003-13

Source: HM Land Registry House Price Index/ GL Hearn

- 3.43 The make-up of housing sales in terms of the type of unit varies between authorities, in part reflecting the stock profile. Sales of detached and semi-detached housing accounts for approximately a third of sales in all authorities except Oxford where detached housing makes up a low proportion of stock (9%).
- 3.44 The proportion of terraced sales varies from 27–-39% with Oxford accounting for the highest proportion of terraced housing sales. Flatted sales are the smallest contributor to overall sales across the Oxfordshire HMA; however, there is some variation between authorities. In Cherwell, flat sales account for less than 10% whilst in Oxford they account for over a quarter. This is again likely to be a function of the stock profile and the turnover of stock in these areas.
- 3.45 The recession and market downturn appears to have impacted disproportionately on the sale of flats across much of the country, particularly owing to the reliance of these types of developments on young and first time buyers and to some extent the investment market.



Figure 19: Percentage of Sales by Type, 2012-13

Source: HM Land Registry October 2012 - March 2013

Rental Trends

- 3.46 Over the 2008-2013 period the credit crunch, economic recession and wider market downturn have restricted households' ability and appetite to buy a home. First-time buyer levels have been low (relative to pre-2008 levels) albeit that levels of first-time buyers have increased in 2012 and 2013¹². Linked to this, demand for rented homes has increased.
- 3.47 Figure 20 benchmarks rental trends at a regional and national level. It shows that private housing rental prices have increased quite significantly in the South East over the last 7 years; and that rental growth has been above average in the region over the last 2.5 years. Between May 2005 and May 2013, rents have increased slightly more in the South East (7.6%) compared with England excluding London (7.2%).

¹² Council for Mortgage Lenders (Jan 2014) Continued year-on-year home-owner house purchase growth in November



Figure 20: Experimental Index of Private Housing Rental Prices

Source: ONS

- 3.48 We have used data recorded by the Valuation Office Agency (VOA) to consider how private rents vary geographically across Oxfordshire for different sizes of property. The analysis provides information on rents over the year to December 2013, indicating:
 - Rooms for Rent: Rooms are the cheapest accommodation to rent with a median rent of £425 per month. The highest rents were in Oxford at £475 per calendar month (PCM), with rents in the other Oxfordshire authorities varying from £390 PCM in Cherwell to £412 in the Vale of White Horse. In all cases these are above the South East average of £370 PCM;
 - Studios: The average rent for a studio was 29% higher than that for a room at £550 PCM across Oxfordshire. Again Oxford is the most expensive with a median rent of £625 PCM. Median rents in the other districts varied from £425 PCM in Cherwell, to between £493 in Cherwell and £545 in the Vale of White Horse;
 - 1-bed Properties: The median rents for 1-bed properties across Oxfordshire was £725 in 2013. Rents were lowest in Cherwell at £595 (consistent to the South East average) and highest in Oxford at £825 pcm (at above 30-40% above those for studios).



Figure 21: Private Rents (2013), Rooms, Studios and One-Bed Properties

Source: VOA Private Rental Statistics

- 3.49 Looking at larger property types, the following is evident:
 - 2-bed Properties: We see a similar pattern with 2-bed properties with the lowest median rents in Cherwell at £728 PCM, the highest rents in Oxford at £975 PCM and median rents of between £775-825 PCM in the other districts;
 - 3-bed Properties: Three bed properties in Oxford have a median rent of £1,200 PCM. In Cherwell median rents were £895 PCM, with values of between £950-1000 PCM in the other authorities.
 - 4+ Bed Properties: We see a greater divergence of rents for the larger family homes with 4+ bedrooms with rents varying from between £1,300 PCM in Cherwell to £1,450 in West Oxfordshire and £15-25-£1550 in the Vale and South Oxfordshire; through to £1,800 PCM in Oxford.



Figure 22: Private Rents (2013), Properties with 2 or More Bedrooms

Source: VOA Private Rental Statistics

- 3.50 Rents in Oxford are some of the highest for an area which is outside of London and the surrounding counties in its immediate commuter belt (Surrey, Herefordshire and parts of Berkshire and Buckinghamshire). These are similar to those in Brighton and Hove.
- 3.51 Across most parts of the HMA, rents are above the South East average across property types. The exceptions to this are properties in Cherwell (which are below average for rooms, 2-bed and 4+ bed properties, and similar for other property sizes), and 4+ bed properties to rent in West Oxfordshire.
- 3.52 Figure 23 shows growth in median rents between 2011 and 2013 by property type and area. The VOA data only records trends back to 2011. Over this two year period rents increased for most property sizes across all the Oxfordshire local authorities, the exceptions being a reduction in values for studio properties in Cherwell (and to a modest extent in the Vale of White Horse), and for properties with four or more bedrooms in South Oxfordshire.



Figure 23: Trends in Median Private Rents, 2011 – 2013

Source: VOA Private Rental Statistics

- 3.53 The data highlights stronger comparative growth in rents in Oxford for studios (reflecting the lower base) and for 4+ bed properties. In Cherwell it suggests stronger comparative rental growth than in other parts of the HMA with the exception of Oxford. In South Oxfordshire it points towards rental growth for most property types except 4+ bed homes, but with stronger growth for studio and 2-bed homes. In the Vale of White Horse it suggests a particular growth in rents for 4+ bed homes. In West Oxfordshire it points to the strongest growth in rents being for 3- and 4+ bed homes.
- 3.54 Figure 24 below plots the VOA data on the number of rental transactions recorded. This does not record all transactions but does provide an indication of the relative distribution of transactions by local authority and property size. It highlights that Oxford has a comparatively larger rental market, followed by Cherwell, relative to the other authorities.
- 3.55 The distribution of rents recorded by property size across the local authorities is similar, with 2-bed properties representing the greatest proportion of overall lettings.



Figure 24: Distribution of Rents by Property Size (Indicative), 2013

Source: VOA Private Rental Statistics

Land Values

- 3.56 As with rents, there is limited publically-available data tracking trends in land values (particularly since the VOA has stopped publishing annual Property Market Reports).
- 3.57 Research by Savills¹³ in 2013 showed that land values in the South East have fallen -26% compared with their former peak (compared with -32% nationally) and urban land values are -52% of their former peak compared with -53% nationally.
- 3.58 Table 9 below benchmarks land values in 2010 using data published by the Valuation Office Agency and HCA. More recent data is not currently available. It indicates that Oxford has some of the highest recorded land values in the region.

¹³ Market in Minutes - UK Residential Development Land January 2013

		Small sites	Bulk Land	Sites for flats or maisonettes
		£/Ha	£/Ha	£/Ha
Aylesbury Vale	Aylesbury	2,540,000	2,450,000	3,500,000
Basingstoke & Deane	Basingstoke	1,800,000	1,772,000	1,700,000
Brighton & Hove	Brighton	3,500,000	3,250,000	4,500,000
Canterbury	Canterbury	2,775,000	2,750,000	2,700,000
Eastbourne	Eastbourne	2,100,000	2,000,000	2,500,000
Guildford	Guildford	3,700,000	3,420,000	3,000,000
Medina	Ryde	900,000	850,000	900,000
Oxford	Oxford	5,200,000	5,000,000	5,500,000
Portsmouth	Portsmouth	1,560,000	1,550,000	1,420,000
Reigate & Banstead	Reigate	3,650,000	3,230,000	2,850,000
Shepway	Folkestone	1,250,000	1,150,000	1,250,000
Southampton	Southampton	2,050,000	1,985,000	2,000,000
The Medway Towns	Rochester	1,400,000	1,300,000	1,300,000
Wokingham	Wokingham	2,800,000	2,600,000	3,450,000
Worthing	Worthing	2,200,000	2,000,000	2,200,000
Warwick	Leamington	2,450,000	2,150,000	2,250,000
Swindon	Swindon	1,375,000	1,325,000	1,600,000

Table 9: Land Values in South East Region and Surrounding Areas, July 2010

Source: Homes and Communities Agency Residential Land Value Data (VOA 2010)

Past Housing Delivery

- 3.59 Rates of development are identified in the Planning Practice Guidance as one of a number of market signals which should be considered in assessing whether there is evidence of a supplydemand imbalance or that household formation has been constrained. On the other hand, the Guidance also sets out that consideration can be given to evidence that a Council has delivered over and above its housing need in previous years.
- 3.60 The profile of net housing completions across the Oxfordshire HMA over the past decade is shown below. Net housing completions have varied considerably, ranging from as high as 3,569 dwellings in the strong market in 2005/06 to 1,561 in 2010/11 and 1,423 in 2012/13. Housing completions over the past four years have averaged 1,622 dwellings per annum across the HMA.
- 3.61 At the height of the market during the period from 2004 to 2008, the HMA sustained average annual net completions exceeding 2,800 units. Economic and market conditions have since deteriorated, and the new-build market hasn't been immune to this. However whilst the market has affected housing delivery, it has also constrained households' ability to form new households.



Figure 25: Net Completions, Oxfordshire HMA 2002/3 – 2011/12

Source: Annual Monitoring Reports

- 3.62 Planning Practice Guidance sets out that housing completions should be appraised against planned housing supply and against housing need. Housing targets for local authorities within Oxfordshire were set out within the South East Plan. The development of the South East Plan was informed by consideration of household projections as well as wider factors including land availability, with the 2004-based Household Projections considered at the Examination in Public.
- 3.63 In determining levels of provision, the South East Plan took into account past under-provision (pre-2006) where relevant. The South East Plan set out targets for housing provision over the 2006-26 period.
- 3.64 The Planning Practice Guidance sets out that past delivery performance should be considered alongside the market signals. Thus in deriving OAN it might provide a basis in some areas for adjusting the assessment of need. In Oxfordshire the market signals point towards a need for an upward adjustment to housing provision at the HMA level but not for all districts. These issues are considered further in Section 9.
- 3.65 It should be remembered that more housing delivery overall will support more affordable delivery and workforce growth. Similarly a past shortfall is likely to have increased the affordable backlog; and market signals case for adjustment. So it would not be appropriate to treat entirely independently of the affordable needs evidence and market signals in justifying an adjustment.

- 3.66 We have assessed past delivery performance against South East Plan figures for the 2006/7-2010/11 period; given that SEP included past backlog in determining the housing targets therein; and 2011 is the base date of the demographic projections considered in this SHMA.
- 3.67 Table 10 indicates that over this period there was a shortfall in supply against planned levels across Oxfordshire of 2,279 dwellings. The Strategic Development Area (SDA) is treated separately in this table, but is included moving forwards as relating to Oxford; in that it was intended on meeting Oxford's needs.
- 3.68 Housing delivery in the following districts fell below planned levels:
 - Cherwell: 808 dwellings;
 - South Oxfordshire: 1,398 dwellings; and
 - Vale of White Horse: 801 dwellings.
- 3.69 Housing delivery exceeded planned levels in Oxford (by 472 dwellings) and in particular in West Oxfordshire (by 1,236 dwellings). Delivery of the Strategic Development Area did not commence.

2006/7-2010/11	Cherwell	Oxford	SDA	South Oxfordshire	Vale of White Horse	West Oxfordshire	Oxfordshire
Target	3,350	2,000	1,000	2,735	2,890	1,825	12,800
Delivery	2,542	2,472	0	1,337	2,089	3,061	11,521
Surplus/Shortfall	-808	472	-1,000	-1,398	-801	1,236	-2,279

Table 10: Comparing Past Housing Delivery to SE Plan Targets, 2006/7-2010/11

Source: Monitoring Data/ GL Hearn

3.70 As the Guidance recommends, we have also sought to consider how past housing delivery compares to housing need. The chart below profiles housing delivery relative to the CLG 2004-based Household Projections (which informed development of the South East Plan). This analysis shows that housing delivery in West Oxfordshire exceeded the household projections. In Vale of White Horse delivery was similar to that projected. In Cherwell, Oxford and South Oxfordshire it fell short. It should be noted that the household projections are shown to the nearest 1000 homes.



Figure 26: Housing Completions relative to 2004-based Household Projections, 2006-11

Source: CLG 2004-based Household Projections; Monitoring Data

3.71 Past relative housing delivery is likely to have influenced more recent trend-based population and household projections. Under-delivery of housing across the HMA is likely to have influenced household formation rates, but also trends in migration. For West Oxfordshire, it is equally true that population projections are likely to have been influenced by the past above-trend housing delivery which we would expect to have contributed to stronger relative migration to the District.

Overcrowding and Under-Occupation

- 3.72 Overcrowding is an important market signal. Above average overcrowding is likely to be a reflection of either a lack of housing supply (which inhibits new household formation) or an imbalance in the housing stock. An increase in overcrowding is likely to reflect changing household structures, which may be influenced by housing costs constraining household formation.
- 3.73 Data about overcrowding is available from the 2011 Census based on the 'bedroom standard'¹⁴. This is defined by the difference between the number of bedrooms needed to avoid undesirable

¹⁴ Bedroom standard: The 'bedroom standard' is used as an indicator of occupation density. A standard number of bedrooms is calculated for each household in accordance with its age, sex, and marital status composition of the household and the relationship of the members to one another. A separate bedroom is allowed for each married or cohabiting couple, any other person aged 21 or over, each pair of adolescents aged 10-20 of the same sex, and each pair of children under 10. Any unpaired person aged 10-20 is notionally paired, if possible, with a child under 10 of the same sex, or, if that is not possible, he or she is counted as requiring a separate bedroom, as is any unpaired child under 10.

This notional standard number of bedrooms is then compared with the actual number of bedrooms (including bed-sitters) available for

sharing (given the number, ages and relationships of the household members) and the number of bedrooms available to the household. A household is defined as overcrowded if there are fewer bedrooms available than required by the bedroom standard.

- 3.74 Across Oxfordshire, 3.3% of households classified are as overcrowded based on the bedroom standard. This is below the regional average of 3.8% and national average of 4.8%. It is partly a reflection of a housing stock focused more towards larger homes (as considered in Section 2).
- 3.75 However overcrowding is above average in Oxford City, with 6.2% of households classified as overcrowded using the bedroom standard. This is likely to reflect a number of factors:
 - A younger population structure;
 - The volume of student multi-occupancy lettings in the City¹⁵;
 - A housing offer focused more towards smaller properties (as described in Section 2); and
 - Higher housing costs in relative terms.
- 3.76 Outside of Oxford, none of the Oxfordshire authorities have a level of overcrowding which is above the national and regional averages.
- 3.77 We have also sought to consider evidence relating to under-occupancy of housing. Households who under-occupy homes will have more bedrooms than they would necessarily, need taking account of the household structure (again using the bedroom standard). In the market sector, many households under-occupy homes, for instance having 'guest bedrooms' or in the case of older households retaining rooms when children have moved away which provide flexibility to allow people to come to stay (empty nester and retired households). In the market sector in particular the size of home which a household occupies typically can relate more to their age and wealth than necessarily to the number of bedrooms which they might 'need.' In affordable housing there is typically a closer relationship between household size and the size of a home as households are allocated a home which meets their needs.
- 3.78 The 2011 Census suggests that a significant 73.5% of households in Oxfordshire under-occupy homes (using the bedroom standard). This is partly a reflection of market realities, particularly in the (large) owner occupied sector.
- 3.79 For some of these households, providing attractive smaller properties could be appealing and encourage them to downsize. The qualitative research undertaken does suggest that 'down sizers'

the sole use of the household, and differences are tabulated. Bedrooms converted to other uses are not counted as available unless they have been denoted as bedrooms by the respondents; bedrooms not actually in use are counted unless uninhabitable.

Households are said to be overcrowded if they have fewer bedrooms available than the notional number needed. Households are said to be under-occupying if they have two or more bedrooms more than the notional needed.

¹⁵ The Council estimate 5,000 HMOs in the City

are an important group within the housing market, with households seeking in some instances to release equity in their homes to fund their lifestyle in retirement.

	Overcrowded Households	% Overcrowded	% Under Occupied
Cherwell	1,762	3.1%	74.1%
Oxford	3,447	6.2%	60.5%
South Oxfordshire	1,354	2.5%	77.5%
Vale of White Horse	1,013	2.1%	78.6%
West Oxfordshire	914	2.1%	78.5%
Oxfordshire	8,490	3.3%	73.5%
South East	133,570	3.8%	70.7%
England	1,060,967	4.8%	68.7%

Table 11: Overcrowding and Under-Occupation, 2011

Source: Census (2011)

- 3.79 As well as looking at current levels of overcrowding, we do need to consider whether overcrowding has increased: particularly as this might provide some indication of worsening affordability and supressed household formation. Consistent data using the bedroom standard however isn't available.
- 3.79 Census data also computes a measure of overcrowding using 'occupancy ratings' and this allows comparison between data in the 2011 and 2001 Censuses. Occupancy ratings are a basic measure of overcrowding: they assume that all households should have one common room and there should be one additional room for each household member. Therefore a five person household living in a five room dwelling would be considered as overcrowded. No account is taken of family structures. The occupancy rating is therefore an imperfect measure; but does allow a broad analysis of changes in occupancy of housing over the 2001-11 period to be made.
- 3.79 The analysis of changes in occupancy rating shows that overcrowding on this measure has increased by 30% in Oxfordshire between 2001-11, but indicates that this is a lower growth than recorded at either the regional or national level. Whilst this does suggest that a trend towards more intense occupation of the housing stock (consistent with wider geographies) it does suggest that this has been mitigated to some degree by the larger than average housing offer in Oxfordshire.
- 3.79 The analysis shows that over the 2001-11 decade the number of households considered overcrowded using the occupancy rating increased by around 4,100 (of which the greatest proportion 39% was in Oxford). Given the way that the occupancy ratings are measures, this is however likely to over-estimate growth in overcrowded households.

	Overcrowded Households, 2001	Overcrowded Households, 2011	Change, 2001- 11	% Change
Cherwell	2604	3461	857	33%
Oxford	6102	7702	1600	26%
South Oxfordshire	1795	2351	556	31%
Vale of White Horse	1795	2416	621	35%
West Oxfordshire	1403	1897	494	35%
Oxfordshire	13699	17827	4128	30%
South East	195392	265974	70582	36%
England	1457512	1928596	471084	32%

Table 12:	Changes in	Overcrowding	using Occupanc	y Ratings, 2001-11
	onunges m	orcionomaning	using occupant	y manings, 2001 11

Source: Census 2001 and 2011

Affordability of Market Homes

- 3.80 Figure 27 below assesses affordability by making a comparison between house costs and the ability to pay house prices. It considers trends in the ratio of lower quartile house prices to lower quartile incomes. This is Government's preferred indicator of the affordability of market housing.
- 3.81 The CLG Index clearly shows that the ratio of lower quartile house price to lower quartile earnings is higher in Oxfordshire (8.93 in 2011) than in the South East (8.19 in 2011¹⁶) and England (6.57), showing that houses are less affordable.





Source: CLG

¹⁶ Data for the South East in 2012 is not available

3.82 Table 13 profiles this considering how affordability using this indicator has changed over five-year periods. Across Oxfordshire the ratio improved with a reduction of -7% between 2007-12 – principally reflecting reductions in lower quartile (LQ) housing costs. It fell to the greatest degree in West Oxfordshire, followed by the Vale of White Horse. However county-wide an in each authority affordability using this measure remains worse than the national average. Lower quartile house prices in both Oxford and South Oxfordshire are more than 10 times LQ earnings.

	1997-02	2002-7	2007-12	2012 Ratio
Cherwell	76%	32%	-7%	8.39
Oxford	60%	27%	-4%	10.03
South Oxfordshire	45%	57%	-6%	10.75
Vale of White Horse	66%	28%	-9%	8.45
West Oxfordshire	44%	33%	-16%	8.95
Oxfordshire	56%	32%	-7%	9.00
England	25%	63%	-9%	6.59
Source: CLG				

- 3.83 Between 1997-2002 the affordability of market housing in Oxfordshire deteriorated significantly, to a greater extent than across England. Since 2005 levels have been fairly stable in that whilst we have seen no significant further deterioration in the affordability of market housing, nor have we seen a structural improvement.
- 3.84 In reality this is a rather simplistic measure. As Figure 13 indicates, low interest rates have reduced the cost of servicing a mortgage for those with sufficient equity or savings who are able to get on the housing ladder.

Qualitative Research with Estate & Letting Agents

3.85 To supplement the statistical analysis presented above, the SHMA has included a programme of consultation through face to face interviews with estate agents, letting agents and on site new-build sales staff in Oxfordshire. Interviews were conducted early July 2013. This is supplemented by discussions with other stakeholders, including housing teams across the Oxfordshire Councils. The stakeholder engagement programme is intended to take account of current housing market conditions "on the ground" and to draw out distinctions between the housing market in different parts of the HMA. The full analysis considering local dynamics in different parts of Oxfordshire is set out in Appendix 6. A summary of key findings is included here.

Headline Findings at the HMA Level

3.86 The detailed work on the ground highlights that the City of Oxford's economy drives much of the housing market across Oxfordshire. The city hosts a 'world class' university and in many respects

this drives the sub-regional economy (and is an important component regional and national economic competitiveness) through the spin-off of science and technology companies, especially into the health sector. The HMA is also host to Oxford Brookes University, which has three campuses – in Oxford, South Oxfordshire and the Vale of White Horse which has close links to the local economy for example with regard to automotive engineering.

- 3.87 All of this combines with Oxford's rich cultural offer, close proximity to London and situation on the River Thames to make it a destination for international students, visiting professionals, visiting academics and tourists. This means that the City's local housing market has many competing claims on it and is driven by households with high income and wealth together with a large student population.
- 3.88 Oxford is however not a large city, but population density is high and the city's institutions (universities colleges, schools hospitals, administration) occupy a great deal of the available space alongside retail and housing. Oxford's local housing market therefore extends well beyond the City's boundary. Much of the story of the SHMA is about how the economic and cultural drivers of Oxford, Reading and London have a major impact on Oxfordshire's housing market. Lesser impacts affecting parts of the county are from Swindon, Aylesbury, Buckingham and Milton Keynes.
- 3.89 Beyond the City, Oxfordshire towns fall into two main groups, those that have easy access to direct rail links to the City of Oxford and London and those that do not. Those that do not are generally to the west of the County. The characteristics of these areas have important differences, especially in regard to demographics (which are considered further in Section 5).
- 3.90 In general terms the stakeholder consultation reveals that the private rented sector houses a higher proportion of incomers than the re-sale sector, however investors are active across the county and are effectively reducing re-sale supply and converting it to rental supply. The proportion of incomers however is lower in the west of the county due to the absence of rail links into Oxford. Agents tell us that the road connections to Oxford from the west are heavily congested, especially in the tourist season.
- 3.91 Estate agents across the county generally believe that the housing market area was affected by the credit crunch to a lesser extent than other parts of the country, and has recovered more quickly. The latter seems to be a more reasonable view, cross-triangulating comments with the data set out herein. Agents have pointed to local shortages of property that have resulted in prices exceeding 2007 peak values in some areas. Other agents are less bullish about the impact and legacy of the credit crunch citing reduced sales volumes 2007-2012. The analysis is however clear that relative to other areas, residential property prices are high and rising and that this contributes to affordability issues for lower-income working households. Within the county, house prices are cheaper in

Bicester and Banbury in the north of the county; and that this is having some impact in helping firsttime buyers and investors to return to the market more strongly in these areas.

- 3.92 Ministry of Defence officials and servicemen have also been active in the market. Officials have negotiated significant supplies of rental properties and negotiated sales of new build housing to house servicemen that are being re-located from within and outside the UK. Servicemen are also investing in local housing both for their immediate needs and as part of their retirement planning. This is particularly noteworthy in the around the main towns to the west of Oxford.
- 3.93 Investors are active across the county. This is an entrepreneurial response to demand from households who are unable or unwilling to commit to home ownership. Letting agents have identified shortages of rental properties and rents are rising disproportionately for certain dwelling types.
- 3.94 Agents in areas with higher house prices and rentals tell us house sharing is frequent for many young professionals and lower paid working households without children. Letting agents across the County told us that many landlords however generally do not encourage or permit house sharing. The exception to this is the City of Oxford where the house share market is firmly established in all parts of the City. The market for student housing in the private rented sector is significant and highly evident in some parts of the City of Oxford. The universities provide halls of residence. Issues related to the student population are considered further in Section 9.
- 3.95 A high proportion of volume new build sales are purchased by first time buyers mostly using the Help to Buy scheme. Whilst there is evidence of notable recent new build especially in the towns near to Oxford, agents told us that further additional supply through new build is urgently needed to meet demand and that shortages exist of both rental and resale housing, especially for small working families.
- 3.96 Most local authorities report a critical shortage of 1 and 2 bedroom social rented homes. This is driven by homeless households, those in urgent housing need and those seeking to downsize because of the 'bedroom tax.'

Key Messages

3.97 There is a considerable volume of information in this chapter about local housing market dynamics in different parts of the country, and the influenced and supply-demand balance in these local markets. However in this final section of the chapter, we will focus on seeking to draw out key issues relating to overall supply-demand balance and market signals.

- 3.98 Our analysis points to macro-economic factors constraints on access to mortgage finance, the savings households require to access mortgage finance and the wider poor macro-economic environment as important drivers of market demand.
- 3.99 During the course of 2013, the housing market appears to be picking up speed following a relatively sustained period of quite flat conditions. It is evident that Oxfordshire's housing market has been more resilient than that in many other areas with prices in some areas and segments of the market now having recovered to over 2007 levels. The Help-to-Buy scheme is helping the market and particularly the new-build segment to pick-up speed. The Oxfordshire HMA has demonstrated more resilience than the national market which has been clear from the stakeholder consultation whereby the HMA was said to be less affected by the recession than in other areas in the UK.
- 3.100 Housing demand is particularly strong in Oxford and areas with good transport links to it. More generally demand is stronger in the towns with rail links, with prices falling in the west and north of the HMA. Particularly west of Oxford near Brize Norton, and near Dicot, the presence of MOD personnel has an important influence on local markets.
- 3.101 The analysis highlights that house prices are generally high particularly in Oxford and the south of the County and this contributes to affordability pressures, including for young working households and working households with low incomes. In some areas the private rented sector plays little contribution to meeting needs from these groups pointing towards a need to consider enhanced affordable housing targeted at this group. Given the demographics of the housing market there is also a notable trend in older households downsizing and potential for provision for this group to release larger family homes for others.
- 3.102 The market signals indicate that Oxfordshire is a relatively high value market. They indicate strong house price growth over the pre-recession decade; and suggest that has been more resilient and is recovering more quickly than other parts of the region (and England more widely). In relative terms the analysis suggests that the strongest demand pressures are in Oxford; followed by the south of the county (Vale of White Horse and South Oxfordshire). In relative terms, the market signals suggest that there is less market pressure in Cherwell District.
- 3.103 Reflecting the focus of the housing offer on larger properties, overcrowding is below average in the HMA with the exception of Oxford where 6.2% of households are overcrowded. The intensity of occupation of the housing stock appears to have increased between 2001-11, but to a lesser degree than across the South East or England.
- 3.104 Across the HMA housing supply over the 2006-11 period fell short of planned provision by 2,279 homes. Performance varied however by area, with West Oxfordshire 'over-delivering' by 1,236

dwellings; whilst there was a notable shortfall in provision in South Oxfordshire (by -1,398 dwellings). This past performance is considered in Section 10 in identifying overall future housing needs.

4 DEMOGRAPHIC & ECONOMIC DRIVERS OF THE HOUSING MARKET

4.1 In this section, we consider the socio- economic characteristics of the HMA population as well as the characteristics of the economy and the labour market.

Population Dynamics

4.2 The 2011 Census recorded that Oxfordshire had a population of just less than 654,000. Of the authorities, the population is highest in Oxford and Cherwell (both over 140,000). Oxford has seen the greatest population growth over the 2001-11 decade¹⁷.

Area	Population, 2001	Population, 2011	Change	% Change
Cherwell	131,785	141,868	10,083	8%
Oxford	134,248	151,906	17,658	13%
South Oxfordshire	128,188	134,257	6,069	5%
Vale of White Horse	115,627	120,988	5,361	5%
West Oxfordshire	95,640	104,779	9,139	10%
Oxfordshire	605,488	653,798	48,310	8%
South East	8,000,645	8,634,750	634,105	8%
England	49,138,831	53,012,456	3,873,625	8%

Table 14: Population (2011)

Source: 2001 and 2011 Census

- 4.3 Over the 2001-11 decade Oxford and West Oxfordshire saw the strongest relative population growth. Population growth was weaker in South Oxfordshire and the Vale of White Horse.
- 4.4 The population structure varies in different parts of the HMA. Oxford has a relatively young population structure, with 14.9% of its population made up of people aged 20-24 (more than double that in the other authorities), many of whom will be students. The other authorities within the HMA have an above average proportion of their population aged over 40, and this is the case particularly in South and West Oxfordshire.

¹⁷ Demographic dynamics in Oxford are considered in further detail in Section 6



Figure 28: Population (2011) – 5 Year Age Bands

Source: 2011 Census

4.5 Figure 29 profiles the population structure for the identified sub-markets.

Figure 29: Population (2011) – 5 Year Age Bands – Sub Markets



Source: 2011 Census

4.6 The figures below show the current structure and changes in structure of the local authorities in the Oxfordshire HMA over the past decade. It is evident that the population is ageing in a number of

authorities although the number of young children (aged less than 4 years old) have increased in a number of areas as the birth rate has risen.

4.7 Since 2001, Cherwell has seen most of its growth in people aged over 40. The population in their 30s has declined (partly as a result of the changing age structure).



Figure 30: Change in Population Structure – Cherwell – 2001 – 2011

Source: Neighbourhood Statistics

4.8 Oxford has an above average proportion of residents aged 20 – 24. Oxford has seen the most growth in people aged between 20 and 34 since 2001. The numbers aged under 9, in their 40s and aged 55-64 has also grown.



Figure 31: Change in Population Structure – Oxford 2001 to 2011

Source: Neighbourhood Statistics

4.9 South Oxfordshire has seen a more notable ageing of its population, with growth in all age groups over 60. It has also seen a larger cohort of people aged in their 30s in 2001 move into their 40s.



Figure 32: Change in Population Structure – South Oxfordshire 2001 – 2011

Source: Neighbourhood Statistics

4.10 Similarly to South Oxfordshire, the Vale of White Horse is showing an aging population, with the greatest change in the 2001 to 2011 decade being in people over the age of 45.



Figure 33: Change in Population Structure –Vale of White Horse 2001 – 2011

Source: Neighbourhood Statistics

4.11 West Oxfordshire has also shown an aging population with the greatest population increases being in people over the age of 45. Similar to South Oxfordshire and the Vale of White Horse, it has seen the population in their 30s drop and those in their 40s grow over the 2001-11 period.

Figure 34: Change in Population Structure – West Oxfordshire 2001 - 2011



Source: Neighbourhood Statistics

4.12 Figure 35 profiles the population by ethnic group from the 2011 Census. Outside of Oxford, the population of White residents is above 90% which is in line with the South East average, but above the national average. Oxford's population is notably more ethnically diverse (12.4% Non-White) – with in particular a larger Black, Asian and Mixed-Ethnic Population relative to other areas.



Figure 35: Population by Ethnic Group

Source: 2011 Census

4.13 Over the past decade, the population has increased in all ethnic groups, especially in the 'White' and 'Asian' category. The increase in 'White' residents has been greatest in West Oxfordshire and Cherwell whilst the increase in 'Asian' residents has been greatest in Oxford, followed by Cherwell.



Figure 36: Change in Population Composition (2001 – 2011)

Source: 2011 Census

Economic Growth Prospects

- 4.14 To support and inform the development of the SHMA, together with the Oxfordshire Local Enterprise Partnership's (LEP's) Strategic Economic Plan, the local authorities commissioned Cambridge Econometrics (CE) and SQW to prepare economic forecasts. 18 The work undertaken to develop population projections (as part of the SHMA) and economic forecasts have been linked.
- 4.15 CE and SQW have developed forecasts for the Oxfordshire economy in three stages, using Cambridge Econometrics' Local Economy Forecasting Model (LEFM). These stages were:
 - A Baseline Scenario, assuming that historical trends in relative growth in Oxfordshire compared with the wider South East (or UK) economy (on an industry-by-industry basis) seen over the past 15 years or so continue into the future.
 - An Alternative Demography Scenario, in which the baseline population projections (ONS 2011-based SNPP) for Oxfordshire were replaced with the SNPP Updated Projections (PROJ 2) presented in this SHMA Report, in particular to recognise anomalies associated with recording of changes in the student population in Oxford;

¹⁸ CE and SQW (2014) Economic Forecasting to inform the Oxfordshire Strategic Economic Plan and Strategic Housing Market Assessment

- A Committed Economic Growth Scenario, which reflects policy influences on economic growth such as planned development and initiatives related to the Science Vale Enterprise Zone, Oxfordshire City Deal, North West Bicester Eco Town and other planned infrastructure investment.
- 4.16 The Baseline Scenario was derived from the econometric model (LEFM). It assumes that historical relationships between growth in the local area relative to the South East or UK on an industry-byindustry basis hold true into the future. Thus if growth in an industry locally has been stronger than at the regional or national level in the past, it is expected to continue to out-perform moving forwards (and visa-versa). An adjustment was however made to the baseline scenario to reflect an expectation that the education sector in Oxfordshire will suffer less of downturn in employment growth in the short- and medium-term than the model-based projections initially suggested given the significant influence of the universities on employment in the sector.
- 4.17 The Baseline Scenario projected an increase in employment in Oxfordshire of 36,400 between 2011-31, equating to around 1,800 jobs per annum (0.4-0.5% pa growth in employment). At a district-level, the projections assume that historical relationships between relative growth by sector in the district relative to the county continue to hold true.
- 4.18 The Alternative Population Scenario adjusts the Baseline Scenario to reflect the SHMA Updated Projections (PROJ 2). This results in a projected uplift in the population in 2031 of 45,000 (6%) and particularly affects the projections for Oxford. The annual growth rates in population (% per annum) derived from this match more closely to those seen over the 2001-11 decade. This increase in population results in stronger growth in employment in sectors where demand is influenced by population dynamics particularly education and health, retailing and consumer-related services. This scenario projects 45,335 additional jobs (25% more than in the Baseline Scenario).
- 4.19 The Committed Economic Growth Scenario builds on the Alternative Population Scenario. It takes account both of factors can be expected to stimulate 'above trend' growth in employment in Oxfordshire as well as factors which might depress growth.
- 4.20 The Scenario models the impact of the following:
 - Expansion of University of Oxford –2,000 additional jobs to 2031 as a result of substantial increase in space for research and teaching in the Science area, the former Radcliffe Hospital site, and Churchill site; as well as the availability of further space at Osney Mean and likely continued growth of Science, Technology, Engineering and Maths (STEM) and medical science research.
 - Growth in Research Activities in Oxfordshire –5,400 additional jobs at Harwell and Milton Park associated with new development as part of the UK Science Vale Enterprise Zone and growth in research at Harwell linked to greater University of Oxford involvement. In addition 500 additional jobs are modelled at Culham Science Centre.

- Space Science and Satellite Technologies –4,000 jobs in these sectors across the county, focused particularly in and around the existing facilities, agencies and research based at Harwell.
- Bioscience Cluster 1,000 additional jobs in Cherwell and 1,000 in Oxford in this sector.
- Health Sector 2,000 additional jobs in Oxford linked to NHS investment in the City as a centre of excellence, and the attractions of Oxford for the private and charitable health sector.
- Advanced Manufacturing –1,000 additional jobs in Cherwell, 500 in West Oxfordshire and 500 in Vale of White Horse associated with growth opportunities in the automotive sector, motorsports, instrument engineering, magnet technology and cryogenics. In the automotive sector this reflects the presence of key employers such as BMW, Prodrive and Williams with opportunities for both research and development, and repatriation of the supply chain.
- Environmental Technologies and Green Construction potential 150 jobs in construction and 1,000 in environmental good and services across Oxfordshire, with particular growth associated with delivery of the eco-development at North West Bicester.
- Other Sectors in addition to the above, the scenario takes account of potential for creation of 1500 additional jobs in retail; 2,500 in warehousing and distribution; and a further 2,000 associated with growth at Oxford Airport and the nearby technology park.
- 4.21 There are clearly a range of higher value-added sectors with growth potential across the county; with Oxford and the universities and the cluster of research facilities around Didcot forming important drivers of the sub-regional economy.
- 4.22 Overall these factors are expected to support creation of 25,000 additional jobs (over and above those in the Alternative Population Scenario). The Committed Economic Growth Scenario models this.
- 4.23 The CE and SQW Report recognises that there are factors which could depress growth in employment, including public sector spending restraints and competition from surrounding areas including South Warwickshire, the Thames Valley and London. It identifies a number of potential risks to delivery of the Committed Economic Growth Scenario, including competition for skilled labour and delays in the delivery of infrastructure (including potentially shortages of commercial accommodation). However it does not regard housing delivery as a particular risk, setting out that past rates of employment and housing growth in Oxfordshire and comparator areas do not point towards evidence that employment and housing growth have constrained one another. Overall it concludes that delivery of the Committed Economic Growth Scenario is realistic.
- 4.24 The CE and SQW Report concludes that the resultant level of employment growth of 88,000 jobs over the 2011-31 period in the Committed Economic Growth Scenario (equivalent to growth in employment of 4,400 pa) "would be an achievement, especially in the current economic environment, but is by no means unprecedented." In comparative terms it would represent employment growth of 1.0% per annum (with annual growth rates varying from 0.8% pa in South Oxfordshire and West Oxfordshire to 1.5% pa in the Vale of White Horse). This compares to an average annual growth rate of 1.7% across Oxfordshire between 1981-2000; and to 1.2% pa in Cambridgeshire between 1990-2011.

4.25 The Committed Economic Growth Scenario is based on the potential for economic growth in Oxfordshire and its constituent districts, linked to economic drivers and their potential to stimulate jobs growth. It is demand-driven. It is not driven by an assessment of supply-side factors such as employment land availability or supply. Further information regarding how the economic scenarios were constructed, and the degree to which key economic growth initiatives/ projects informed this, are set out in the CE and SQW Report.

Labour Market

- 4.26 Understanding labour market dynamics is important in relating jobs and homes, as any economicdriven projections will be sensitive to changes to economic participation (employment rates) and commuting.
- 4.27 Jobs density measures the jobs per 100 working-age residents. Oxfordshire HMA has a higher than average jobs density, with 88 jobs on average per 100 working-age residents. This compares to 80 across the South East and 78 nationally. It is a testament to the area's economic success.
- 4.28 The jobs density of each authority is line with or above the national average. Oxford's has a particularly high jobs density with 108 jobs per 100 working-age residents, suggesting significant net in-commuting to the authority.





Source: NOMIS / Jobs Density

4.29 The skills base is strong: 36% of the working-age population are qualified to degree level or equivalent (level 4 or above). The proportion of people with Level 4 qualifications and above is

slightly lower in Cherwell (28.1%) compared with other authorities but this is still higher than the national and regional average. Unsurprisingly, Oxford (where the two universities are based) has the highest percentage of residents with Level 4 qualifications and above.

	Cherwell	Oxford	South Oxford- shire	Vale of White Horse	West Oxford- shire	Oxford- shire HMA	South East	England
No Qualifications	19.7%	13.6%	16.5%	16.7%	17.6%	16.7%	19.1%	22.5%
Apprenticeship	4.1%	1.8%	3.8%	4.1%	3.9%	3.5%	3.6%	3.6%
Other Qualifications	5.5%	6.9%	4.0%	4.1%	4.1%	5.0%	5.2%	5.7%
Level 1 Qualifications	15.2%	8.3%	12.1%	11.8%	13.3%	12.0%	13.5%	13.3%
Level 2 Qualifications	15.8%	8.9%	14.9%	14.6%	15.8%	13.8%	15.9%	15.2%
Level 3 Qualifications	11.6%	17.8%	12.0%	11.5%	12.3%	13.3%	12.8%	12.4%
Level 4 Qualifications and Above	28.1%	42.6%	36.7%	37.2%	32.9%	35.7%	29.9%	27.4%
Level 4 Qualifications and Above	28.1%	42.6%	36.7%	37.2%	32.9%	35.7%	29.9%	27.49

Table 15: Highest Level of Qualification

Source: 2011 Census

Figure 38: Highest Level of Qualifications - Submarkets

	Rural	No qualifications	
West Oxfordshi re	Chipping Norton		
West xfords re	Carterton	Apprenticeship	
Ő	Witney		
lite	Western Vale (Rural)	Other qualifications	
N N N	Western Vale (Urban)		
e of Wh Horse	South East Vale (Rural)	Level 1 qualifications	
Vale of White Horse	South East Vale (Urban)		
< <	Abingdon and City Fringe		
	Abingdon and City Fringe	Level 2 qualifications	
e			
South Oxfordshire	Rural (all other areas) Wallingford	Level 3 qualifications	
South	Didcot		
y to b	Henley		.
0	Thame	Level 4 qualifications and	ן ג
		above	
Oxfo	N/A		
Cherwell	Rural		
2	Banbury =		
Ğ	Bicester		
	Kidlington		
	0%	20% 40% 60% 80% 100%	

Source: 2011 Census

- 4.30 The strong skill set in the Oxfordshire HMA is replicated in the profile of employment by occupations. Over a third (34.5%) are employed in managerial and professional occupations (compared with 31% in the south East and 28.4% nationally).
- 4.31 Oxford has the most highest proportion of residents employed in managerial and professional occupations (41.9%) and the percentage of residents employed in managerial and professional occupations of other authorities (besides Cherwell) is higher than the regional and national average.

	Cherwell	Oxford	South Oxford- shire	Vale of White Horse	West Oxford- shire	Oxford- shire HMA	South East	England
Managers, Directors and Senior Officials	11.6%	8.3%	14.4%	12.8%	13.1%	12.0%	12.3%	10.9%
Professional Occupations	16.7%	33.6%	21.4%	23.2%	18.4%	22.7%	18.7%	17.5%
Associate Professional and Technical Occupations	13.1%	11.6%	14.6%	14.2%	14.8%	13.6%	13.8%	12.8%
Administrative and Secretarial Occupations	11.3%	8.3%	10.6%	10.9%	10.7%	10.3%	11.5%	11.5%
Skilled Trades Occupations	11.8%	7.0%	11.4%	11.0%	12.9%	10.7%	11.1%	11.4%
Caring, Leisure and Other Service Occupations	8.4%	8.2%	8.1%	8.0%	8.8%	8.3%	9.3%	9.3%
Sales and Customer Service Occupations	8.8%	6.7%	6.0%	6.2%	6.5%	6.9%	7.9%	8.4%
Process, Plant and Machine Operatives	7.8%	4.6%	4.8%	5.3%	6.0%	5.7%	5.7%	7.2%
Elementary Occupations	10.6%	11.6%	8.7%	8.5%	8.8%	9.7%	9.7%	11.1%

 Table 16:
 Occupational Profile, 2011 – Districts

Source: Census, 2011

4.32 The occupational profile at a sub-market level is shown below.





Source: Census 2011

4.33 The employment rate in Oxfordshire HMA has remained consistently above the South East and national average but has eased moderately downwards since 2008. Within the HMA the employment rate in South and West Oxfordshire and Cherwell are around 80% representing conditions of close to 'full employment.' The data overall suggests limited capacity in the labour market (although no doubt there is some potential for a shift back towards full-time working). The employment rate is below average only in Oxford, which we would expect to particularly reflect the student population.



Figure 40: Employment Rate (% Residents 16 -64), 2004 - 2012

Source: Annual Population Survey

4.34 The unemployment rate in the Oxfordshire HMA since 2008 has been lower than in Great Britain as a whole. Of the Local Authorities in the HMA, unemployment has been highest in Oxford and lowest in the Vale of White Horse and West Oxfordshire. The analysis suggests greater capacity for people to move back into work in Oxford relative to other parts of the HMA.



Figure 41: Unemployment (% Residents 16 -64) 2004 - 2012¹⁹

Source: Annual Population Survey

- 4.35 Across the HMA, resident earnings (£29,134) are broadly consistent with the South East average (£29,491) but above the national average (£26, 804).
- 4.36 The incomes of full-time employed residents have been compared with those of people working in the authorities. Generally residents' earnings are above workplace earnings with the exception of Oxford and the Vale of White Horse, both of which demonstrate a concentration of higher-paid jobs to which people commute into the areas to work. The lowest workplace earnings are in South Oxfordshire.

¹⁹ Data is not available for South Oxfordshire



Figure 42: Workplace and Residence-based Earnings, 2012

Source: NOMIS / Annual Survey of Hours and Earnings

Key Messages

- 4.37 The analysis in this chapter points towards a relatively dynamic economy across Oxfordshire and one which has strong growth prospects. However it also points towards a relatively 'tight' labour market and to evidence that the population has been ageing particularly outside of Oxford. This presents challenges about how to sustain the area's economic dynamism.
- 4.38 Scenarios for economic growth have been developed to inform the SHMA. The Baseline Scenario projected an increase in employment in Oxfordshire of 36,400 between 2011-31, equating to around 1,800 jobs per annum (0.4-0.5% pa growth in employment). At a district-level, the projections assume that historical relationships between relative growth by sector in the district relative to the county continue to hold true.
- 4.39 The Alternative Population Scenario adjusts the Baseline Scenario to reflect the SHMA Updated Projections (PROJ 2). This results in a projected uplift in the population in 2031 of 45,000 (6%) and particularly affects the projections for Oxford. The annual growth rates in population (% per annum) derived from this match more closely to those seen over the 2001-11 decade. This increase in population results in stronger growth in employment in sectors where demand is influenced by population dynamics - particularly education and health, retailing and consumer-related services.
- 4.40 The Committed Economic Growth Scenario makes a number of assumptions regarding potential for enhanced economic performance. The CE and SQW Report concludes that the resultant level of employment growth of 80,000 jobs over the 2011-31 period (equivalent to growth in employment of 4,000 pa) "would be an achievement, especially in the current economic environment, but is by no means unprecedented." In comparative terms it would represent employment growth of 1.0% per annum (with annual growth rates varying from 0.6% pa in Oxford to 1.5% pa in the Vale of White Horse). This compares to an average annual growth rate of 1.7% across Oxfordshire between 1981-2000; and to 1.2% pa in Cambridgeshire between 1990-2011.
- 4.41 The economic scenarios presented, and baseline demographic evidence; inform demographic projections which are considered in Section 5.

Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment: April 2014

5 DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC-LED PROJECTIONS

Overview

- 5.1 This section of the SHMA begins to consider the overall need for housing across the Oxfordshire HMA and within individual authorities. The analysis is predicated on the requirements of the NPPF which says the scale of housing required should be based on meeting *'household and population projections, taking account of migration and demographic change'* (para 159).
- 5.2 The approach adopted follows that set out in the Planning Practice Guidance on *Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessments* (CLG, March 2014)²⁰. This section specifically reviews the latest official household projections and updates these as appropriate to take account of the latest demographic data and local evidence. It also considers the interaction between housing need/ demographics and prospective economic growth, and includes assessment of housing need to support forecasts for future economic performance.
- 5.3 In deriving overall conclusions regarding future housing need in accordance with the Guidance, market signals (as considered in Section 4) and the need for affordable housing (as considered in Section 7) are also relevant. The evidence in this section is brought together with these wider factors in deriving conclusions on future housing provision in Section 9.

Overview of Projections Prepared

- 5.4 The start point for any projection is reasonably an analysis of the most recent Government projections. This is reaffirmed in the Planning Practice Guidance.
- 5.5 At the time of writing the latest Government projections are the 2011-based 'interim' Sub-national Population Projections (SNPP) and the 2011-based 'interim' Household Projections from CLG (which are directly based on the SNPP). These projections are important as they provide a consistent approach where key inputs (such as levels of internal migration) sum at a national level. The SNPP is also a good source of data as it uses a 'multi-regional' model that studies migratory movements by age and sex between all local authorities in the Country. The SNPP is however limited by the accuracy of data underpinning it, such as migration which is notoriously difficult to accurately measure particularly at smaller area level. This is considered below.
- 5.6 As well as studying housing need on the basis of demographic trends, a number of scenarios have been developed to look at the link between employment growth and housing need. In total four core scenarios have been developed to consider future housing provision. These are described in Table 17 below.

²⁰ CLG (March 2014) Planning Practice Guidance: Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessments

Scenario	Description	Comments on Projection
PROJ 1	SNPP	This projection uses the latest ONS and CLG population/household projections and extends the projection period from 2021 through to 2031. This can be considered as the start point for considering housing need, in line with the Guidance.
PROJ 2	SNPP (updated)	This projection uses the baseline information in PROJ 1 but updates key demographic trend data (around migration) to take account of new ONS Mid-Year Population Estimates. In Oxford this projection looks at actual population change in the 2001-11 period and develops a bespoke model (recognising that the ONS migration estimates for Oxford look to be substantially inaccurate).
PROJ 3	Economic baseline	This projection takes a baseline forecast for future employment growth, based on the Alternative Population Scenario developed by Cambridge Econometrics and SQW. It estimates the likely population and household growth required to meet the potential labour demand. The projection includes assumptions around commuting patterns – assuming these to remain at a constant level (as indicated in the 2001 Census).
PROJ 4	Committed economic growth	This projection is based on the Committed Economic Growth Scenario for employment growth developed by Cambridge Econometrics and SQW. This reflects policy influences which provide potential to support higher economic growth than indicated in the baseline forecasts. It considers the level of housing need which might be necessary to support this level of employment growth.

Interrogation of Latest National Projections

- 5.7 Table 18 below shows household growth in each of the five local authority areas in the HMA from the 2011-based CLG Projections. The projections cover the 10-year period to 2021 which is the full period covered by CLG.
- 5.8 For the whole of Oxfordshire these projections suggest a 7% increase in households. This is notably below the average growth expected in the South East (10.8%) and nationally (10%). The lower level of growth is mainly due to a negative figure being seen for Oxford, although growth in South Oxfordshire and to a lesser extent Vale of White Horse is also low.
- 5.9 Stronger household growth is projected in West Oxfordshire and Cherwell districts, within both cases growth rates being above regional and national averages (at 10.8% and 10.0% respectively). In West Oxfordshire in particular this appears to have been influenced by stronger relative past housing delivery (as our analysis in Section 3 set out see Table 18).

Area	Households	Households	Change in	% change
	2011	2021	households	from 2011
Cherwell	56,881	63,765	6,884	12.1%
Oxford	54,997	53,613	-1,384	-2.5%
South Oxfordshire	54,387	57,989	3,602	6.6%
Vale of White Horse	49,781	53,656	3,875	7.8%
West Oxfordshire	43,510	48,784	5,274	12.1%
Oxfordshire	259,556	277,807	18,251	7.0%

Table 18: Projected Household Growth 2011-21 – CLG 2011-based Household Projections

Source: CLG 2011-based Household Projections

- 5.10 Whilst we would suggest that the figures in the household projections in the main look reasonable, it is clearly the case that the figures for Oxford are not realistic. As the market data has shown, there is a high demand for housing in the City (as evidenced through high house prices, rent levels and the need for affordable housing). Despite this the SNPP actually projects a significant level of net out-migration over the ten year period from 2011.
- 5.11 We have therefore sought to interrogate the data feeding into the SNPP in more detail to develop an alternative projection which also takes account of data published since the release of the SNPP. In particular we have considered the 2011 Mid-Year Population Estimates (which look at components of change such as migration from 2001-11 and have been rebased to take account of Census population estimates) and the 2012 Mid-Year Population Estimates which were published in June 2013.
- 5.12 The analysis focusses on Oxford although consideration of these data sources has been taken into account for all other areas.

Understanding Migration Patterns to/from Oxford

- 5.13 Figure 43 shows past trends in migration from 2001 to 2012 and how ONS is projecting this moving forward. The data shows over the past decade that there has been fairly constant net internal out-migration from the City, with international in-migrants out numbering out-migrants in virtually all years.
- 5.14 In projecting forward, ONS has recognised a net out migration to other parts of the UK; although the gap between in- and out-migration looks to be quite wide when compared with recent trends. For international migration a level of net in-migration is also recognised, although in this case the gap looks to be quite small relative to past trends. In the case of both internal and international migration it can also be observed that the levels projected by ONS (for both in- and out-migration) are somewhat higher than has been observed for much of the projection period.



Figure 43: Past and Projected Trends in Migration – Oxford

Source: ONS

5.15 Differences between past trends and future projections can more clearly be seen if the analysis compares net migration to/from the City – this is shown in Figure 44. The analysis shows an almost complete disconnect between what has happened in the past and what is expected in the future. Whilst past trends are highly variable the overall pattern looking back over the past decade is one of a level of net in-migration. It is considered that this should be included in modelling of future demographic change.



Figure 44: Projection Migration 2011/12 to 2030/31

Source: ONS

- 5.16 To develop a trend-based demographic projection for Oxford, our analysis has looked at population change in the period from 2001 to 2011. This is a good timeframe to use, as both the start and end points are expected to be reasonably accurate given that they are informed by Census data. We have looked at the number of births and deaths and used the 'residual' population growth as an indication of the likely level of net-migration over the period.
- 5.17 Table 19 below shows that between 2001 and 2011 the population of the City grew by around 14,700 people. Of this an estimated 8,500 is due to natural change (i.e. more births than deaths) with the remaining 6,300 likely to be due to net in-migration to the City. It is possible that some of the change is due to inaccuracies in Census data or other changes in the population (such as the prison, armed forces population), but it is most likely that net migration was around 6,300 people over the decade studied.

Component	Figure - Oxford
Population 2001	135,509
Population 2011	150,245
Change in population (2001-11)	14,736
Natural change	8,469
Migration and other changes	6,267

Table 19: Population change in Oxford 2001-	11
---	----

Source: ONS

- 5.18 This information has been used to develop a 'trend-based' demographic model. In projecting forward, it is assumed that net migration will be around 600 people per annum. This is consistent with past trends and is significantly above levels of expected migration in the latest (2011-based) ONS Projections.
- 5.19 For the model it is also important to establish levels of internal migration (i.e. from one part of the Country to another) as well as international migration. Again we have looked at ONS mid-year population estimate data from 2001 to 2011. This source suggests an average level of net out-migration to other parts of the Country of about 1,600 people per annum; being offset by net international in-migration of about 1,900 people. These two figures together suggest a net in-migration of about 300 people per annum. However, the ONS data also includes a category of population growth called 'Unattributable Population Change' (UPC).
- 5.20 The UPC is a change in population which is evident from the population data but is unknown in terms of the component for which it comes. ONS note that the UPC could be caused by a range of factors including mis-recording of migration or errors in the Census database (either in 2001 or 2011). In Oxford (and in consultation with the City Council) it has been agreed that the difference between the overall estimate of migration (600 per annum) and the various components (which come to 300 per annum) will be largely due to an over-estimate of international out-migration this is the component which is recognised as the most problematic for ONS to record. Hence for analysis it is assumed that there will be an additional 300 people net international migration over and above the figures recorded by ONS.
- 5.21 Overall, therefore the analysis assumes net international in-migration of 2,200 people per annum and net internal out-migration of 1,600 people each year. These levels have been assumed to be constant throughout the projection period (which is generally consistent with the ONS projected patterns but at a substantially different level).

Understanding Migration Patterns to/from other areas in Oxfordshire

- 5.22 For other areas in the County a similar process has been undertaken (i.e. to study more recent releases of migration data along with any differences in past population growth once calibrated to Census information). This does see some changes to projections in each area although when compared with Oxford the differences are comparatively minor.
- 5.23 The revisions are in part based on an adjustment due to the UPC which, as described above, is likely to be as a result of mis-recording of migration in the past; as well as data about migration for 2010/11 and 2011/12 which post-dates the information feeding into the latest ONS projections.

5.24 In Cherwell the updating of the SNPP see estimated net in-migration from 2011 to 2021 decrease from 323 per annum to 34. In South Oxfordshire the average level of net migration increases from 215 to 379 per annum; with an increase from 324 to 419 being observed in Vale of White Horse. In West Oxfordshire there is a small decrease in the level of migration from 720 per annum to 593. For the whole of Oxfordshire our revised demographic projection sees net in-migration of over 2,000 people per annum. This compares with just 300 if we were to simply take the latest ONS projections. These figures are all shown in Table 20 below. High migration to West Oxfordshire again stands out (and underpins the relatively stronger household growth projected for the District).

Area	Average net migration (ONS)	Revised average net migration	Difference
Cherwell	323	34	-289
Oxford	-1,273	600	+1,873
South Oxfordshire	215	379	+164
Vale of White Horse	324	419	+95
West Oxfordshire	720	593	-127
HMA Total	309	2,025	+1,716

 Table 20:
 ONS Migration Assumptions and Revised Position

Source: Derived from ONS data

5.25 Standing back from these figures we can also consider this against the data in Table 15. This showed higher household growth for Cherwell and West Oxfordshire along with lower figures in South Oxfordshire and the Vale of White Horse. Our updated interrogation of migration data will therefore bring some of these differences closer together.

Updated Demographic-based Assessment of Housing Need to 2021

5.26 Table 21 below shows the outputs of our adjusted migration modelling when converted through into household growth (linked to headship rate assumptions in the 2011-based CLG household projections). The data now suggests household growth across the County of 9.5% over the decade, with the big difference from earlier figures being the projection output for Oxford. The table also confirms some closing of the gap between projected household growth rates in other areas in the County, although South Oxfordshire and Vale of White Horse still show the lowest levels of household growth.

Area	Households 2011	Households 2021	Change in households	% change from 2011
Cherwell	56,882	62,586	5,703	10.0%
Oxford	54,999	60,841	5,843	10.6%
South Oxfordshire	54,391	58,554	4,164	7.7%
Vale of White Horse	49,781	53,985	4,204	8.4%
West Oxfordshire	43,509	48,345	4,836	11.1%
HMA Total	259,562	284,311	24,750	9.5%

Table 21: Projected Household Growth 2011-21 – based on Amended Migration Levels

Source: CLG 2011-based Household Projections & JGC Modelling

5.27 For these projections to be useful for planning purposes, it is however necessary to extend the projection period to beyond 2021 and the analysis in the report now moves on to look at housing requirements in the period from 2011 to 2031. Below we have set out the methodology for carrying out the longer-term projections.

Overview of Methodology for Demographic Projections

5.28 To assess future housing need, it is necessary to establish is the current population and how will this change in the period to 2031. This involves working out how likely it is that women will give birth (the fertility rate); how likely it is that people will die (the death rate); and how likely it is that people will move into or out of each local authority. These are the principal components of population change and are used to construct the population projections. Figure 45 shows the key stages of the projection analysis used to derive an assessment of housing need.



Figure 45: Overview of Methodology

Migration Level Assumptions to 2031

- 5.29 Our projection uses information in the ONS 2010- and 2011-based Sub-National Population Projections (SNPP) which has been amended to take account of more recent data on migration and population growth. The broad methodology for the updating of these projections is described above and has been applied consistently to all areas in the County, with the exception of Oxford where a bespoke view about migration has been developed.
- 5.30 Figure 46 shows the levels of net migration assumed by our projections from 2011/12 to 2030/31 in each of the five areas. For the whole County the projections start in 2011/12 with a net in-migration figure of around 1,870. This is expected to increase over time and finishes with a net in-migration of around 2,920 people by 2030/31. For the projection period studied as a whole, the average level of net migration is an in-migration of 2,440 people per annum.

5.31 For individual areas however the figures do vary. In Oxford we have set net migration at a constant level for the whole projection period. In all other areas levels of net in-migration are expected to increase over time. There is some logic to this pattern. As populations grow the number of people in other areas who could in-migrate to the Districts will increase and so in-migration could go up. Regarding out-migration, it is the case that the population of Oxfordshire is ageing with older people tending to be less migrant. The projection that the gap between in- and out-migration will increase slightly over the projection period is therefore perfectly plausible.



Figure 46: Projection Migration 2011/12 to 2030/31

Source: ONS 2010- and 2011-based Subnational Population Projections; JGC Modelling

5.32 It should be noted that the 2011-based SNPP only projects for a ten-year period to 2021. Beyond 2021 we have used 2010-based SNPP data, but adjusted this to take account of the differences shown between the 2010- and 2011-based versions of the SNPP in 2020/21. In other words the migration levels in the 2010-based Projections have been rebased to be consistent with the last year of data in the 2011-based version. This rebasing is in addition to the updating carried out to take account of more recent migration and population change data. Again the approach taken in Oxford is different with a constant level and profile of migration being assumed for the whole of the projection.

Baseline Population

5.33 The baseline for the projections is taken to be 2011 with the projections run for each year over the period up to 2031. The estimated population profile as of 2011 has been taken from the 2011-based Mid-Year Population Estimates (from ONS). The overall population in 2011 for the whole County was estimated to be 654,791 with slightly more females than males.



Figure 47: Population of Oxfordshire (5 year age bands) – 2011

Source: ONS Mid-Year Population Estimates

Fertility, Mortality and Migration Profile Assumptions

- 5.34 Fertility and mortality rates contained within the ONS 2010-based Sub-National Population Projections have been used in the modelling – these are the same as used by ONS in the 2011based projections. In all areas these are consistent with past trend data and future expected patterns as published by ONS on a national basis.
- 5.35 For Oxford, changes have been made to the assumed fertility levels to take account of an underestimate of population growth although mortality rates are consistent with the latest ONS data. Table 22 shows fertility and mortality patterns for key periods of the projection. The figures shown are for total fertility rate (TFR) and life expectancy at birth (e0).

Age group	Cherwell	Oxford	South Oxfordshire	Vale of White Horse	West Oxfordshire
TFR – 2011/12	2.20	1.39	2.29	2.21	2.30
TFR – 2030/31	2.00	1.23	2.10	2.02	2.09
Male e0 – 2011/12	79.4	78.8	80.4	80.8	80.5
Male e0 – 2030/31	83.0	82.3	84.0	84.4	84.2
Female e0 – 2011/12	83.5	83.1	84.1	84.6	84.1
Female e0 – 2030/31	86.7	86.5	87.3	87.8	87.2

Table 22:	Fertility and Mortality	Assumptions	(kev periods)
	i or thirty arreading		

Source: ONS 2010-based SNPP

- 5.36 For the purposes of understanding the profile of migrants the modelling has again drawn on the ONS 2010- and 2011-based Sub-National Population Projections with the adjustments made to overall levels of migration feeding into migration profiles (by age and sex) on a pro-rata basis. Although we have made some changes to overall migration levels, we have no evidence that the general profile in the SNPP is fundamentally wrong. The exception to this is in the case of Oxford where a migration profile has been based on understanding population change in the 2001 to 2011 (linking to earlier analysis about overall population change in the City).
- 5.37 Figure 48 below shows levels of net migration by age group in each area. The data is dominated by an in-migration of people aged 15-19 to Oxford, with strong levels of net out-migration for age groups 20-34. In all other areas the data shows a net out-migration of those aged 15-19 with in-migration of most other age groups. The trends are strongly linked to the student population.
- 5.38 When projecting migration patterns for the various projection scenarios we have used the migration data and adjusted levels of net migration to match the requirements of our scenario (e.g. when testing what level of migration is required to support a workforce of a particular size). This approach has consistently been adopted across all analysis.



Figure 48: Estimated annual level of net migration by five-year age band (2011-2031)

Age group

Source: Derived from ONS 2010-based Population Projections and population change data for Oxford

Assumptions for Economic-Led Projections

- 5.39 Much of the analysis earlier in this section has concentrated on past demographic trends and projected these forward to consider future housing need. It sets out that where the supply of working age population that is economically active (labour force supply) is less than the projected job growth, this could result in unsustainable commuting patterns (depending on public transport accessibility and other sustainable options such as walking and cycling) and could reduce the resilience of local businesses. In such circumstances, plan makers will need to consider how the location of new housing and infrastructure development could help to address these problems.
- 5.40 Paragraph 158 in the NPPF sets out that evidence and strategies for housing and employment in local plans should align with one another.
- 5.41 Alongside work on the SHMA, Cambridge Econometrics and SQW have worked with the local authorities to develop three sets of economic projections. These were:
 - Baseline projections from their LEFM Model;
 - Alternative Population Scenario which takes account of our demographic projections;
 - Committed Economic Growth Scenario.
- 5.42 The process and basis of developing these three scenarios has been described in Section 4. The Alternative Population Scenario adjusts the baseline LEFM Projections to take account of the above analysis regarding projected population growth and makes an additional adjustment to reflect the specific drivers of employment in the education sector in Oxfordshire.

- 5.43 The Committed Economic Growth Scenario incorporates the estimated and indirect economic impacts of key investment projects. This scenario builds on the alternative population scenario. It takes account of the intended expansion of Oxford University, potential for growth in research activities in Science Vale, and enhanced growth across a range of sectors including space science and satellite technologies, bioscience, health, advanced manufacturing and environmental technologies. Further details were set out in Section 4.
- 5.44 Two economic-driven scenarios for population growth have been developed:
 - PROJ 3: Economic Baseline this models the demographic implications of the Alternative Population Scenario developed by Cambridge Econometrics and SQW;
 - PROJ 4: Committed Economic Growth this models the demographic implications of this scenario developed by Cambridge Econometrics and SQW.
- 5.45 Table 23 below shows the assumptions regarding levels of growth in employment in each local authority. It shows figures for projected growth in total jobs (job growth), which is measured on a workplace basis; and for residents in employment which take into account commuting (as considered further below).

Area	Commuting ratio	Baseline Job growth (2011-31)	Growth in residents in employment	Committed economic growth (2011- 31)	Growth in residents in employment
Cherwell	1.07	10,752	11,505	21,580	23,091
Oxford	0.70	8,768	6,138	24,325	17,028
South Oxfordshire	1.20	9,113	10,936	11,455	13,746
Vale of White Horse	1.04	10,628	11,053	22,982	23,901
West Oxfordshire	1.18	6,074	7,167	7,867	9,283
Oxfordshire	-	45,335	46,798	88,209	87,048

Table 23: Forecast Job Growth and Changes to Residents in Employment

5.46 In relating population and employment growth there are two key issues: what changes we may see in commuting patterns and in employment rates.

Figure 49: Relating Population and Employment Growth



5.47 These issues are considered further below.

5.48 It should be recognised that the relationship between overall economic growth, growth in employment and population growth will also be influenced by changes to productivity and to the balance between full- and part-time working. The projections are sensitive to these factors. The demographic projections in this report have assumed that a similar scale of growth in the workforce to projected growth in total jobs would be necessary.

Employment Rates

- 5.49 Changes in the demographic structure result in alterations in the number of people who are working (as the population of people of working age changes). The next stage of the projection process estimates how employment levels change under each of the projections and to consider the demographic implications of different levels of employment growth.
- 5.50 The methodology applied here includes consideration of recent trends in economic activity rates along with the likelihood that some people will work longer due to changes in pensionable age. Profiles for the proportion of people working were developed for a series of broad age groups (by sex) from 16 to 74.
- 5.51 Figure 50 below shows past trends in economic activity rates (nationally) based on Census data. A similar analysis using Labour Force Survey data shows almost exactly the same pattern. The data shows that there have been some notable increases in activity rates for older age groups over the past decade.
- 5.52 To project these rates forward, it has been assumed that there will be some continuation of the trends shown below although these have been tempered slightly. The overall assumption is that rates will change moving forward at a rate which is around half of the rate seen over the past decade. For older age groups (and females for most age groups) this sees some increase in employment rates. For those aged 16-24 the rates are assumed to continue declining, but at a lesser rate to that observed over the 2001-11 decade.



Figure 50: Past Trends and Projected Change to Economic Activity Rates

Source Census

5.53 Although the overall employment rates in the analysis will vary depending on the scenario being run Table 24 below provides an indication of how employment rates (measured as the proportion of the population aged 16-74 who are working) are projected to change in each area. The analysis is based on the projection linked to updated demographics (PROJ 2) with very small differences to be observed with alternative scenarios. The data shows increases in employment rates in the region of 1%-2% in all areas.

Age group	Cherwell	Oxford	South Oxfordshire	Vale of White Horse	West Oxfordshire
Male – 2011	76.5%	61.7%	76.8%	76.3%	78.5%
Male – 2031	76.2%	61.5%	76.7%	76.0%	78.4%
Female – 2011	68.0%	57.8%	67.1%	66.9%	69.0%
Female – 2031	70.7%	61.4%	70.6%	70.4%	71.7%
Total – 2011	72.3%	59.7%	71.9%	71.6%	73.7%
Total – 2031	73.5%	61.4%	73.6%	73.2%	75.0%

Table 24:	Employment Rate	Assumptions	(key periods)
-----------	-----------------	-------------	---------------

Source: Derived from LFS and Census data

Commuting Patterns

5.54 The commuting ratio describes the relationship between the number of people working in an area and the residents in employment. A number over 1 suggests some net out-commuting; with a figure below 1 suggesting that some employment growth in the area is expected to be supported by people commuting into the area to work. 5.55 In this updated assessment we have used the following commuting ratios (based on figures from the 2001 Census). No adjustment has been made to these – we consider that we would need a strong justification to do so At this stage. Table 25 shows the commuting ratios. It indicates net incommuting to Oxford, and net out-commuting from other authorities.

Commuting Ratio
1.07
0.70
1.20
1.04
1.18

Table 25: Commuting Ratios

Source: 2001 Census

Projecting Household Growth

- 5.56 Having estimated the population size and the age/sex profile of the population the next step in the process is to convert this information into estimates of the number of households in the area. To do this we use the concept of headship rates. Headship rates can be described in their most simple terms as the number of people who are counted as heads of households (or in this case the more widely used Household Reference Person (HRP)).
- 5.57 In calculating headship rates, the population living in 'communal establishments' is excluded. This includes those living in nursing homes, halls of residence, military barracks and prisons.
- 5.58 Figure 51 shows the estimated average household size in Oxfordshire in 2001 and 2011 along with estimated household sizes derived from CLG Projections. The data shows that household sizes have increased slightly over the past decade whereas the 2008-based Projections expected a moderate fall. Moving forward the 2011-based Household Projections expect to see a decline in average household sizes; although this again is not as rapid as expected by the 2008-based Projections.
- 5.59 In interpreting this data it is important to consider the extent to which household formation may have been constrained in the past (possibly due to mortgage finance constraints) and we have looked at this issue to develop the most realistic scenario moving forward.
- 5.60 Firstly, whilst the 2011-based CLG projections suggest a decrease in average household sizes, moving forward an analysis of individual rates when attached to a constant age structure suggest that household sizes would actually go up. This suggests that the CLG projections are expecting some degree of constraint in the future (which is likely to have been driven by past trends). Whilst

this analysis is not perfect as headship rates themselves will be influenced by other factors in the future (such as people living longer), there is a case for adjusting the assumptions used to ensure that the projections are not planning for continued constraints in household formation.

- 5.61 An alternative view has therefore been developed (which is shown as the brown line with diamonds in Figure 51). This trend is based on household formation rates across all ages being roughly in balance in 2011 and then through to 2031. Some headship rates do change (particularly as a result of people living longer and there being more 'elderly' couple households), but overall this trend would see no further suppression of household formation.
- 5.62 It is interesting to note that the headship rate assumptions when converted into average household sizes broadly track expected changes in the 2008-based CLG projections and are also quite similar to the outputs we might expect if we exactly replicated 2008-based figures rebased to the 2011 start point. The projections therefore move away from 2011-based CLG figures as these appear to include some degree of suppression in headship rates.
- 5.63 The projections which follow in the report are based on these assumptions about headship rates which use household formation rates from the 2008-based projections, rebased to 2011.
- 5.64 For the purposes of the projection it is assumed that average household sizes start at about 2.52 in 2011 and reduce down to 2.41 in 2031 (although exact figures do vary depending on the projection being run).



Figure 51: Past and projected trends in Average Household Size – Oxfordshire

Source: Derived from ONS and CLG data

Converting Households to Dwellings

5.65 In converting an estimated number of households into requirements for additional dwellings a small allowance for vacant and second homes is included. For the analysis it is assumed that between about 3% and 5% of additional stock will comprise vacant or second homes. The figures used are based on analysis of the proportion of household spaces shown in the 2011 Census with no usual residents and are summarised in the figure below.

Table 26:	Vacant and Second Home Assumptions
-----------	------------------------------------

Area	Assumed Rate
Cherwell	4.0%
Oxford	4.0%
South Oxfordshire	4.5%
Vale of White Horse	3.2%
West Oxon	5.2%
Oxfordshire	4.2%

Source: 2011 Census

Projection Results

Population Projections

5.66 Table 27 and Figure 52 summarise the expected growth in the population at the HMA level. The Updated SNPP Projection (PROJ 2) indicates 16.4% population growth. In contrast the committed economic growth scenario indicates stronger population growth, of 28.1% over the 2011-31 period.

	-				
	2011	2016	2021	2026	2031
PROJ 1 – SNPP	654,791	675,719	694,287	713,456	731,554
	0.0%	3.2%	6.0%	9.0%	11.7%
PROJ 2 – SNPP	654,791	683,477	711,360	737,657	762,255
(updated)	0.0%	4.4%	8.6%	12.7%	16.4%
PROJ 3 –	654,791	684,856	711,254	739,771	764,386
Economic baseline	0.0%	4.6%	8.6%	13.0%	16.7%
PROJ 4 –	654,791	707,241	761,557	802,318	838,724
Committed economic growth	0.0%	8.0%	16.3%	22.5%	28.1%

Table 27: Population Estimates 2011 to 2031





Labour Supply and Employment

5.67 The Updated SNPP Projection (PROJ 2) results in a growth in residents in employment of 13.5% across Oxfordshire over the 2011-31 period. In contrast the committed economic growth scenario indicates demand for employment of 26.0%.

	2011	2016	2021	2026	2031
PROJ 1 – SNPP	334,419	338,672	344,316	351,635	361,108
	0.0%	1.3%	3.0%	5.1%	8.0%
PROJ 2 – SNPP	334,419	344,186	355,042	366,328	379,487
(updated)	0.0%	2.9%	6.2%	9.5%	13.5%
PROJ 3 –	334,419	345,632	355,439	367,880	381,217
Economic baseline	0.0%	3.4%	6.3%	10.0%	14.0%
PROJ 4 –	334,419	358,182	383,858	402,355	421,468
Committed economic growth	0.0%	7.1%	14.8%	20.3%	26.0%

Table 28: Residents in Employment 2011 to 2031





Household Growth

5.68 Table 29 and Figure 54 outline projected household growth in Oxfordshire in each of the projections.
 The Updated SNPP scenario sees 21.4% growth. The committed economic growth scenario shows 31.7% household growth.

	2011	2016	2021	2026	2031
PROJ 1 – SNPP	259,562	268,770	277,803	286,951	295,999
	0.0%	3.5%	7.0%	10.6%	14.0%
PROJ 2 – SNPP	259,562	273,739	287,601	301,271	314,996
(updated)	0.0%	5.5%	10.8%	16.1%	21.4%
PROJ 3 –	259,562	274,742	288,058	301,968	315,230
Economic baseline	0.0%	5.8%	11.0%	16.3%	21.4%
PROJ 4 –	259,562	281,686	304,625	323,667	341,802
Committed economic growth	0.0%	8.5%	17.4%	24.7%	31.7%

Table 29: Household Estimates 2011 to 2031

Figure 54: Household Change, 2011 – 2031



Dwellings

5.69 The analysis above concentrated on the number of additional households. In reality there are always likely to be some vacant homes in the area and so the number of properties required to house all of these households will be slightly greater than the projected household numbers. A vacancy allowance of around 3%-5% (depending on area) has therefore been applied to all of the above figures to make estimated housing need.

5.70 Table 30 shows an annual housing need for between 1,900 and 4,280 homes depending on the scenario used – about 37,990 to 85,593 over the 20-year period to 2031. Housing need in the Committed Economic Growth Scenario is notably higher than for either of the demographic scenarios.

Area	Annual household growth	Annual requirement with vacancy allowance	Requirement over 20-years	
PROJ 1 – SNPP	1,822	1,900	37,990	
PROJ 2 – SNPP (updated)	2,772	2,887	57,748	
PROJ 3 – Economic baseline	2,783	2,900	57,996	
PROJ 4 – Committed Economic Growth	4,112	4,280	85,593	

Table 30: Estimated Housing Need with Vacancy Allowance (to 2031)

Summary Projection Results: Oxfordshire HMA

5.71 For the HMA as a whole, the demographic-led projections indicate a need for up to 2,900 homes a year. This would support 0.7% per annum growth in employment. The Committed Economic Growth Scenario is higher than this (showing a need for 4,300 homes per annum).

Table 31: Summary of Projections 2011 to 2031 – Annual – HMA

Projection	Population growth		Housing numbers		Employment growth	
	Per	%	Per	%	Per	%
	annum	change	annum	change	annum	change
PROJ 1 – SNPP	3,838	0.6%	1,900	0.7%	1,334	0.4%
PROJ 2 – SNPP (updated)	5,373	0.8%	2,887	1.1%	2,253	0.7%
PROJ 3 – Economic baseline	5,480	0.8%	2,900	1.1%	2,340	0.7%
PROJ 4 – Committed						
economic growth	9,197	1.4%	4,280	1.6%	4,352	1.3%

Table 32: Summary of Projections 2011 to 2031 – Total – HMA

Projection	Population growth		Housing numbers		Employment growth	
	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%
		change		change		change
PROJ 1 – SNPP	76,763	11.7%	37,990	14.0%	26,689	8.0%
PROJ 2 – SNPP (updated)	107,464	16.4%	57,748	21.4%	45,068	13.5%
PROJ 3 – Economic baseline	109,595	16.7%	57,996	21.4%	46,798	14.0%
PROJ 4 – Committed						
economic growth	183,933	28.1%	85,593	31.7%	87,049	26.0%

Summary Projection Results by Authority

Cherwell

5.72 For Cherwell, PROJ 2 results in a housing need for 641 dwellings per annum which would represent a 1.1% annual growth rate in the housing stock. The PROJ 3 economic baseline figures are around 100 per annum above this with the Committed Economic Growth Scenario being significantly higher (1,142 homes per annum).

Projection	Population growth		Housing numbers		Employment growth	
	Per	%	Per	%	Per	%
	annum	change	annum	change	annum	change
PROJ 1 – SNPP	1,191	0.8%	656	1.1%	597	0.8%
PROJ 2 – SNPP (updated)	918	0.6%	641	1.1%	426	0.6%
PROJ 3 – Economic baseline	1,184	0.8%	743	1.3%	575	0.8%
PROJ 4 – Committed economic growth	2,224	1.6%	1,142	1.9%	1,155	1.5%

Table 33: Summary of Projections 2011 to 2031 – Annual - Cherwell

Table 34: Summary of Projections 2011 to 2031 – Total – Cherwell

Projection	Population growth		Housing numbers		Employment growth	
	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%
		change		change		change
PROJ 1 – SNPP	23,827	16.7%	13,126	22.2%	11,947	16.0%
PROJ 2 – SNPP (updated)	18,369	12.9%	12,830	21.7%	8,513	11.4%
PROJ 3 – Economic baseline	23,677	16.6%	14,862	25.1%	11,505	15.4%
PROJ 4 – Committed						
economic growth	44,485	31.3%	22,841	38.6%	23,091	30.9%

Oxford

5.73 For Oxford, PROJ 2 results in a housing need for 755 dwellings per annum representing a 1.3% growth rate in the housing stock. Given the population age structure this supports a reasonable growth in employment – indeed above both the baseline and committed economic growth scenarios. This reflects the City's younger age structure.

		/ unitual	ONIOIG			
Projection	Population growth		Housing	numbers	Employment growth	
	Per	%	Per	%	Per	%
	annum	change	annum	change	annum	change
PROJ 1 – SNPP	149	0.1%	-2	0.0%	-81	-0.1%
PROJ 2 – SNPP (updated)	1,840	1.2%	755	1.3%	956	1.4%
PROJ 3 – Economic baseline	616	0.4%	289	0.5%	307	0.4%
PROJ 4 – Committed economic growth	1,649	1.1%	700	1.2%	851	1.2%

Table 35: Summary of Projections 2011 to 2031 – Annual - Oxford

Table 36: Summary of Projections 2011 to 2031 – Total – Oxford

Projection	Population growth		Housing numbers		Employment growth	
	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%
		change		change		change
PROJ 1 – SNPP	2,980	2.0%	-34	-0.1%	-1,622	-2.3%
PROJ 2 – SNPP (updated)	36,792	24.5%	15,105	26.4%	19,124	27.4%
PROJ 3 – Economic baseline	12,326	8.2%	5,781	10.1%	6,138	8.8%
PROJ 4 – Committed						
economic growth	32,979	22.0%	14,008	24.5%	17,027	24.4%

- 5.74 The household projections do not take account of growth in students living in university accommodation there are looking only at students living in households. Students living in colleges, halls or purpose-build student accommodation are counted within the demographic projections as part of an institutional population.
- 5.75 The 2001 and 2011 Census results show that the number of student only households increased by 79 (from 1,793 to 1,872 households) in Oxford over the 2001-11 decade. The total number of household reference persons who were students in Oxford however fell from 3,827 to 3,799 (a fall of a marginal 0.7%) and declined as a proportion of all households. We estimate the impact of student growth on the projections would thus be marginal and at most of 8 homes per year in Oxford. When looking across Oxfordshire the impact is of less than 1 home per year.

South Oxfordshire

5.76 In South Oxfordshire, PROJ 2 results in a housing need for 482 dwellings per annum representing a
 0.8% growth rate in the housing stock. The economic-driven scenarios result in a (significantly) higher level of need, ranging from between 655-749 dwellings per annum.

			Annua		Juginic	
Projection	Population growth		Housing numbers		Employment growth	
	Per	%	Per	%	Per	%
	annum	change	annum	change	annum	change
PROJ 1 – SNPP	687	0.5%	366	0.6%	218	0.3%
PROJ 2 – SNPP (updated)	821	0.6%	482	0.8%	284	0.4%
PROJ 3 – Economic baseline	1,295	1.0%	655	1.2%	547	0.8%
PROJ 4 – Committed economic growth	1,550	1.1%	749	1.3%	687	1.0%

Table 37: Summary of Projections 2011 to 2031 – Annual – South Oxfordshire

Table 38: Summary of Projections 2011 to 2031 – Total – South Oxfordshire

Projection	Population growth		Housing numbers		Employment growth	
	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%
		change		change		change
PROJ 1 – SNPP	13,733	10.2%	7,318	12.9%	4,359	6.2%
PROJ 2 – SNPP (updated)	16,417	12.2%	9,634	17.0%	5,686	8.1%
PROJ 3 – Economic baseline	25,898	19.2%	13,105	23.1%	10,936	15.6%
PROJ 4 – Committed						
economic growth	31,004	23.0%	14,972	26.3%	13,746	19.6%

Vale of White Horse

5.77 For the Vale of White Horse, the PROJ 2 figures result in a housing need for 468 homes per annum, representing a 0.9% growth rate in the housing stock. The economic scenarios in contrast indicate much higher levels of provision – ranging from 623 – 1,028 homes per annum.

Table 39: Summary of Projections 2011 to 2031 – Annual – Vale of White Horse

Projection	Population growth		Housing numbers		Employment growth	
	Per	%	Per	%	Per	%
	annum	change	annum	change	annum	change
PROJ 1 – SNPP	791	0.6%	367	0.7%	262	0.4%
PROJ 2 – SNPP (updated)	873	0.7%	468	0.9%	301	0.5%
PROJ 3 – Economic baseline	1,331	1.1%	623	1.2%	553	0.9%
PROJ 4 – Committed economic growth	2,528	2.1%	1,028	2.0%	1,195	1.9%

Projection	Population growth		Housing numbers		Employment growth	
	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%
		change		change		change
PROJ 1 – SNPP	15,828	13.0%	7,335	14.3%	5,238	8.3%
PROJ 2 – SNPP (updated)	17,453	14.3%	9,365	18.2%	6,012	9.5%
PROJ 3 – Economic baseline	26,621	21.8%	12,453	24.2%	11,053	17.5%
PROJ 4 – Committed						
economic growth	50,556	41.5%	20,559	40.0%	23,901	37.8%

Table 40: Summary of Projections 2011 to 2031 – Total – Vale of White Horse

West Oxfordshire

5.78 For West Oxfordshire, the PROJ 2 figures result in a housing need for 541 dwellings per annum.
This is relatively close to the need derived from the economic baseline projection for 590 dwellings per annum representing a growth rate of 1.3%. The Committed Economic Growth Scenario (PROJ 4) indicates a higher housing need for 661 homes per annum.

Population growth		Housing numbers		Employment growth	
Per	%	Per	%	Per	%
annum	change	annum	change	annum	change
1,020	1.0%	512	1.1%	338	0.6%
922	0.9%	541	1.2%	287	0.5%
1,054	1.0%	590	1.3%	358	0.6%
1,245	1.2%	661	1.4%	464	0.8%
	Per annum 1,020 922 1,054	Per % annum change 1,020 1.0% 922 0.9% 1,054 1.0%	Per % Per annum change annum 1,020 1.0% 512 922 0.9% 541 1,054 1.0% 590	Per%Per%annumchangeannumchange1,0201.0%5121.1%9220.9%5411.2%1,0541.0%5901.3%	Per%Per%Perannumchangeannumchangeannum1,0201.0%5121.1%3389220.9%5411.2%2871,0541.0%5901.3%358

Table 41: Summary of Projections 2011 to 2031 – Annual – West Oxfordshire

Table 42: Summary of Projections 2011 to 2031 – Total – West Oxfordshire

Projection	Population growth		Housing numbers		Employment growth	
	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%
		change		change		change
PROJ 1 – SNPP	20,396	19.3%	10,246	22.4%	6,767	12.0%
PROJ 2 – SNPP (updated)	18,432	17.5%	10,815	23.6%	5,733	10.1%
PROJ 3 – Economic baseline	21,074	20.0%	11,794	25.8%	7,167	12.7%
PROJ 4 – Committed						
economic growth	24,909	23.6%	13,213	28.9%	9,283	16.4%

Interpreting the Demographic Projections and Moving Forward

- 5.79 The SHMA needs to draw conclusions, based on the range of evidence on the overall need for housing.
- 5.80 The Planning Practice Guidance indicates is that the starting point for this is the latest official household projections, but it makes clear that it is appropriate to test the robustness of these at a local level, to take account of more recent demographic evidence and to extend the projections to support longer-term strategic planning. This is what we have done in developing PROJ 2.
- 5.81 The demographic projections consider need looking from 2011 forwards. They do not take account of any delivery shortfall or over-provision of housing which arose prior to this (although they do adjust household formation rates so as to ensure that constrained household formation is not projected forwards). On this basis it is appropriate to consider whether adjustments to housing provision need to be made to take these factors into account. Past housing provision against targets was considered in Section 3.
- 5.82 The Guidance then sets out that a number of other factors should be considered, particularly in exploring whether there is a case for an upwards adjustment to the projections. These factors are:
 - Evidence of affordability pressures or market signals indicating that consideration should be given to increasing housing supply;
 - Economic projections and consideration of the balance between projected economic growth and projected growth in labour supply;
 - Affordable housing needs evidence, with considering needing to be given to increasing housing supply where it can help to deliver more affordable housing to meet identified need.
- 5.83 We paraphrase the guidance here, but these are what we consider to be the core tests therein.
- 5.84 The projections considered in this chapter include economic-led projections which seek to interrogate what level of population and housing growth might be necessary to support future economic performance.
- 5.85 Section 3 has considered evidence related to market signals. Section 6 considers the need for affordable housing. These are brought together with the projections presented in Section 9 in drawing overall conclusions regarding future housing need.

6 AFFORDABLE HOUSING NEED

Introduction

- 6.1 In this section we discuss levels of affordable housing need in Oxfordshire and each of the five districts. Affordable housing need is defined in SHMA guidance as "*the quantity of housing required for households who are unable to access suitable housing without financial assistance*²¹." These households will be eligible for affordable housing. Affordable housing is defined in the National Planning Policy Framework as social rented, affordable rented and intermediate housing provided to eligible households whose needs are not met by the market.
- 6.2 Government guidance on Strategic Housing Market Assessments sets out a model for assessing housing need (known as the Basic Needs Assessment Model). This model has been used herein. This considers the need for affordable housing which includes:
 - Social rented housing;
 - Affordable rented housing; and
 - Intermediate housing (including shared ownership and shared equity homes).
- 6.3 Definitions of the different categories of affordable housing are set out in Appendix 3.
- 6.4 The analysis is based on secondary data sources. It draws on a number of sources of information including 2011 Census data, demographic projections, house prices/rents and income information. The approach is consistent with the Planning Practice Guidance (CLG March 2014)²² which says that local authorities 'should avoid expending significant resources on primary research... as this will in many cases be a disproportionate way of establishing an evidence base. They should instead look to rely predominantly on secondary data (e.g. Census, national survey) to inform their assessment'. The approach taken has been applied consistently across all five local authority areas and as such may differ from previous affordable housing needs research (particularly where this is based on survey information).

Housing Needs Assessment

6.5 The analysis in this section considers the need for affordable housing. It considers the need for affordable housing by considering the numbers of households with a current housing need, and those which are expected to fall into housing need over time. This is compared against the stock of affordable housing available to meet need, taking account of relets of current affordable housing and stock from homes in the development pipeline. The approach follows the Basic Needs Assessment Model, in accordance with the CLG Practice Guidance. This model is summarised in Figure 55 below.

²¹ CLG (Aug 2007) Strategic Housing Market Assessments – Practice Guidance, Version 2

²² CLG (March 2014) Planning Practice Guidance: Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessments



Figure 55: Overview of Basic Needs Assessment Model

- 6.6 The figures presented in this report for affordable housing needs have been based on secondary data sources including analysis of 2011 Census data. The housing needs modelling undertaken provides an assessment of housing need covering the 18-year period from 2013 to 2031. Each of the stages of the housing needs model calculation are discussed in more detail in the remainder of this section.
- 6.7 Key definitions related to housing need, affordability and affordable housing are set out in Appendix4.

Understanding the Context to the Housing Needs Model

- 6.8 The housing needs model is based largely on housing market conditions (and particularly the relationship of housing costs and incomes) at a particular point in time the time of the assessment as well as the existing supply of affordable housing (through relets of current stock) which can be used to meet housing need.
- 6.9 As such the figures derived from it should be used with caution when looking over longer planning timeframes. It is not designed to (or necessarily suitable) for considering overall housing need, given that the findings are informed by a range of factors such that:
 - An improvement in overall housing supply over time (for instance through higher housing provision against demographics) could result in improvements in the affordability of market housing affecting the level of 'affordable need' identified in the model;
 - Similarly an improvement in housing supply will over time reduce the supply of affordable housing through re-lets, meaning for instance that stronger housing provision will progressively reduce the level of annual need for affordable housing. This is relevant when extrapolating model findings over 15+ years.

- 6.10 Furthermore affordable housing numbers include some households who are already housed in numerical terms (albeit that it may be in unsuitable housing or PRS properties where there is insecurity of tenure).
- 6.11 The assessment is intended to consider whether there is a shortfall or surplus of affordable housing provision. Given the nature of the model, we would recommend that the evidence is reviewed periodically (typically in five years). On-going monitoring and review will be important in consider how the affordability of market housing changes, and ensuring that housing supply is able to respond to market signals.

Income Thresholds to Afford Different Housing Tenures

- 6.12 A detailed exercise has been undertaken to compare the costs of different types of housing. This is set out in Appendix 5 and considers the costs of:
 - Market housing to buy (at lower quartile prices);
 - Market housing to rent (at lower quartile rents);
 - Costs of affordable housing (at social rent and affordable rent levels).
- 6.13 This is considered in each case for different sizes of property, and is informed by a market survey of housing costs undertaken in June 2013.
- 6.14 This analysis can be used to consider what income households would typically need to have to be able to access different housing tenures. Table 43 below estimates how current prices and rents in Oxfordshire might equate to income levels required to afford such housing. The analysis considers four different tenures (buying, private rent, affordable rent and social rent) and compares housing costs taken as the lower quartile price/rent across the whole stock of housing available (i.e. including all property sizes).
- 6.15 The data clearly indicates a gap between the costs of 'entry-level' market housing and the social rented sector demonstrating the potential for intermediate and affordable rented housing to meet some of the affordable need.

Area	Lower quartile purchase price	Lower quartile private rent	Affordable rent	Lower quartile social rent
Cherwell	£52,900	£24,900	£19,900	£15,600
Oxford	£61,700	£36,900	£29,500	£15,800
South Oxon	£67,100	£30,700	£24,500	£16,700
VoWH	£56,900	£26,600	£21,300	£17,600
West Oxon	£53,700	£26,600	£21,300	£18,000

Table 43: Indicative income required to purchase/rent without additional subsidy

Source: Online Estate and Letting Agents Survey (June 2013) and CORE

6.16 For illustrative purposes the calculations are based on 3.5 times household income for house purchase and 35% of income to be spent on housing for rented properties (depending on location). For social rents a figure of 30% of income has been used – this is to reflect a lower residual income linked to the lower housing cost. The figures for house purchase are based on a 100% mortgage for the purposes of comparing the different types of housing.

Income Thresholds used in the Core Analysis

- 6.17 The main analysis presented in this section of whether or not a household can afford market housing has been based on an assumption that they should not spend more than 35% of gross income on housing costs. The figure of 35% is upwards from the start point suggested in 2007 CLG SHMA Guidance (of 25%) but has been agreed to reflect the higher cost of housing in the County. The 2007 Guide states that 'local circumstances could justify a figure other than 25 per cent of gross household income being used'. The Planning Practice Guidance is not specific in this respect. Essentially, using a lower threshold such as 25% would potentially be modelling households with relatively high incomes as being in need. The reality is that such households would have far higher levels of residual income (to spend on things other than housing costs) and might not in reality be reasonably be considered as being in housing need.
- 6.18 In Table 44 below we work through a simple example to explain this further. The key inputs are an understanding of how entry-level rents vary in different locations. Across Oxfordshire our analysis identifies an average lower quartile rent of about £925 per month, this can be compared with a figure for England (from VOA data) of just £455. The table below considers what sort of level of residual income this might equate to. The data shows that at a national level using a 25% threshold leaves a household with £16,380 after housing costs but in Oxfordshire this same threshold would leave £33,300. Whilst the general cost of living in the County may be higher than nationally, this is a notable difference. If the threshold is increased to 35% then the levels of residual income would still remain notably higher than the national figure.

Area	Rent (pa)	% of income	Income required	Residual
	(p.,)	used		income
England	£5,460	25%	£21,840	£16,380
Oxfordshire	£11,100	25%	£44,400	£33,300
Oxfordshire	£11,100	35%	£31,710	£20,610

Table 44: Indicative income required and residual income at different affordability thresholds

Source: Online Estate and Letting Agents Survey (June 2013) and VOA data

6.19 Overall, this analysis does provide support for moving away from a 25% of income on housing threshold given the significantly higher housing costs in Oxfordshire. The use of a 35% threshold is based on professional judgement and arguably on the basis of the analysis above is could be

pushed higher to retain the same level of residual income. However, we would recognise that a) the cost of living in Oxfordshire is likely to be higher than nationally and b) that the figures above are based on gross income and so actual levels of residual income would be lower once tax contributions are taken into account (with these affecting households in Oxfordshire more than nationally at the levels of income discussed above).

6.20 Overall, we would consider that the use of a 35% threshold is realistic in local circumstance and reflects a pragmatic approach given the available data about housing costs and how this might translate into the affordability of housing. Across the whole County, the analysis is essentially assuming that a household with an income below about £31,700 is unable to afford market housing. This figure is significantly above our national estimate of £21,800. Additional analysis has been provided in this section about the levels of need with other thresholds although all of our main analysis is based on the 35% figure.

Income Distribution

- 6.21 Data about total household income has been modelled on the basis of a number of different sources of information to provide both an overall average income and the likely distribution of incomes in each area. The key sources of data include:
 - CACI from *Wealth of the Nation 2012* to provide an overall national average income figure for benchmarking
 - English Housing Survey to provide information about the distribution of incomes (taking account of variation by tenure in particular)
 - Annual Survey of Hours and Earnings (ASHE) to assist in looking at differences between local authority area (but recognising that this source only provides data about people in employment)
 - ONS modelled income estimates to assist in providing more localised income estimates
- 6.22 The methodology essentially uses the national data from CACI as a start point for 2012 the CACI Wealth of the Nation Report estimates an average (mean) household income of £35,900 (this is estimated on the basis of gross income including benefits). The EHS shows a similar mean income (of £34,700) but is on a very slightly different basis (the data being based on the head of household and their partner but not other household members). The EHS is however used to construct a distribution of incomes.
- 6.23 At the local level the ASHE and ONS data is then used to estimate how much each area varies from the national position. The analysis is not an exact science as it is recognised that the sources used are imperfect; the ONS data being modelled on a range of socio-economic variables (and being slightly dated (2008)) whilst the ASHE does not look at household income but just earnings. These sources are however broadly consistent and do give a clear direction of differences between locations which we have used to provide a view about how income levels vary at a local area level.

6.24 Drawing all of this data together we have therefore been able to construct an income distribution for the whole of the County and individual districts for 2013. Figure 56 below shows the distribution of household incomes for the whole of the County. The data shows that around a quarter of households have an income below £20,000 with a further third in the range of £20,000 to £40,000. The overall median income of all households in the County was estimated to be around £34,700 with a mean income of £45,800 – this is about 28% higher than the national estimate from CACI.



Figure 56: Distribution of Household Income in Oxfordshire

Source: Derived from ASHE, Experian, SEH, CACI and ONS data

6.25 Table 45 shows how the distribution of income varies for each of the five districts. Incomes were found to be highest in South Oxfordshire and Vale of White Horse with the lowest incomes estimated to be in Oxford.
Income band	Cherwell	Oxford	South Oxon	VoWH	West Oxon	County	
Under £10k	2.3%	4.0%	1.6%	1.8%	2.1%	2.3%	
£10k to £20k	22.9%	26.8%	19.7%	20.3%	22.3%	22.5%	
£20k to £30k	18.1%	18.5%	18.0%	18.0%	18.1%	18.1%	
£30k to £40k	14.3%	13.9%	13.9%	14.1%	14.2%	14.1%	
£40k to £50k	10.4%	10.9%	10.5%	10.4%	10.4%	10.5%	
£50k to £60k	8.8%	7.3%	9.0%	9.0%	8.9%	8.6%	
£60k to £80k	9.4%	7.5%	11.0%	10.7%	9.7%	9.6%	
£80k to £100k	4.7%	4.3%	5.5%	5.2%	4.8%	4.9%	
Over £100k	9.2%	6.9%	10.9%	10.6%	9.6%	9.4%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Mean	£45,254	£40,007	£49,477	£48,558	£46,097	£45,800	
Median	£34,419	£30,429	£37,632	£36,933	£35,061	£34,729	

Table 45: Gross Household Income Distribution by District

Source: Derived from ASHE, Experian, SHE, CACI and ONS data

- 6.26 To assess affordability we have looked at households ability to afford either home ownership or private rented housing (whichever is the cheapest which in all areas is private rented housing), without financial support. The distribution of household incomes, within each area, is then used to estimate the likely proportion of households who are unable to afford to meet their needs in the private sector without support, on the basis of existing incomes. This analysis brings together the data on household incomes with the estimated incomes required to access private sector housing.
- 6.27 Different affordability tests are applied to different parts of the analysis depending on the group being studied (e.g. recognising that newly forming households are likely on average to have lower incomes than existing households). Assumptions about income levels are discussed for relevant analyses where relevant in the analysis that follows.

Affordable Housing Needs Model

6.28 This section considers the various steps which inform the assessment of affordable housing need.

Current Housing Need (Backlog)

6.29 In line with CLG guidance, the backlog of affordable housing need has been based on estimating the number of households living in unsuitable housing along with consideration of their current tenure and affordability. One problem with guidance is that Councils are encouraged to use secondary data sources and yet the list of reasons for housing need include a number which cannot readily be established without conducting a survey (e.g. households with insecure tenancies or

housing that is too expensive compared to disposable income). For this reason the analysis in this section draws on secondary data but also makes estimates of likely need through the modelling of data based on the profile of households in each area where secondary data is unable to provide the required outputs.

- 6.30 An assessment of households living in unsuitable housing has been undertaken based on the number of households shown to be overcrowded in the 2011 Census along with an estimate of other needs which have been modelled by comparing the tenure profile in each area with information from previous surveys about households in need. The previous surveys utilised are a range of housing needs surveys carried out by GL Hearn and JGC over the past three years regardless of location, these surveys show surprisingly consistent outputs in terms of housing need such as the proportion of private tenants who have problems with insecure tenancies or housing costs. The other needs analysed (in-line with guidance) were harassment, insecure tenure and housing being too expensive. The analysis of households in unsuitable housing therefore focuses only on estimating the number of households who would also need to move home to resolve their problems (i.e. to exclude those who might be expected to have an in-situ solution such as due to a dwelling being in a poor state of repair).
- 6.31 The data modelling estimates households living in unsuitable housing by tenure and from these figures households living in affordable housing are excluded (as these households would release a dwelling on moving and so no net need for affordable housing will arise). The analysis also excludes all outright owners, under the assumption that they will have sufficient equity to move, and 90% of owners with a mortgage. It is assumed that the vast majority of owners with a mortgage are able to afford housing once savings and equity are taken into account. The implied figures for owner-occupiers have again been based on studying typical patterns from surveys carried out across the Country. A final adjustment (which mainly impacts on Oxford) is to slightly reduce the unsuitability figures to take account of student-only households such households could technically be over-crowded but would be unlikely to be considered as being in housing need.
- 6.32 At the time of the assessment there were an estimated 7,159 households living in unsuitable housing (excluding current social tenants and the majority of owner-occupiers) this represents 2.7% of all households in the County. The figure below shows the current locations of these households the data suggests a similar level of unsuitability in most areas with Oxford standing out as having a much higher level of unsuitability; this is linked to higher levels of overcrowding and a relatively large private rented sector.

Area	In unsuitable Total number of housing households		% in unsuitable housing	
Cherwell	1,513	58,253	2.6%	
Oxford	2,600	55,956	4.6%	
South Oxon	1,145	55,354	2.1%	
VoWH	973	50,839	1.9%	
West Oxon	928	44,649	2.1%	
County	7,159	265,051	2.7%	

Source: Census (2011) and data modelling

6.33 In modelling the current need in Oxford, it is not possible to specifically disaggregate student households / student household incomes from those of all households based on secondary data sources. We have sought to estimate and address the impact, reducing current need figures derived from Census-based modelling by 12% to reflect the proportion of private rented properties which house student households. Our estimated level of backlog need is therefore 7,159. We can however additionally consider that a number of these households might be able to afford market housing without the need for subsidy. Analysis of housing needs survey data across the Country identifies that households living in unsuitable housing have an average income which is lower than for other households: we estimate that their incomes are on average around 69% of the figure for all households in an area (this figure has been established by studying past survey information which is remarkably consistent between different areas). Overall, around 37% of households with a current need are estimated to be likely to have sufficient income to afford market housing and so our estimate of the total backlog need is reduced to 4,483 households.

Area	In unsuitable % Unable to housing Afford		Revised Gross Need (including Affordability)	
Cherwell	1,513	52.4%	793	
Oxford	2,600	77.1%	2,003	
South Oxon	1,145	58.4%	668	
VoWH	973	52.2%	508	
West Oxon	928	55.0%	510	
County	7,159	62.6%	4,483	

Source: Census (2011), data modelling and income analysis

6.34 The findings above can be compared with information about the number of people on the Housing Register in each area. To do this we have accessed data from the Local Authority Housing Statistics (LAHS) for 2013. This source shows a total of 4,880 households as registered and in a reasonable preference category. This figure is of a similar order of magnitude to the estimated

number of households in housing need. The Housing Register data however shows a slightly lower level of 'need' in Cherwell and Oxford and higher levels in other areas than is shown by our analysis.

- 6.35 Care should be taken when using Housing Register data to assess need as different areas will have different allocation policies (which will impact on the assessment of a reasonable preference category). In addition, the Housing Register will include households living with family/friends such households are included in our assessment of need arising in the future from newly forming households. The Housing Register may also exclude households in need where they are not registered due to the lack of affordable housing in the areas they would be seeking to live.
- 6.36 Overall, however, Housing Register data for the HMA supports the levels of need being shown by our analysis but with a slightly different spatial distribution which in turn may be influenced by local factors such as the availability of affordable housing and different allocations policies.

Newly-Arising Need

- 6.37 To estimate newly-arising (projected future) need we have looked at two key groups of households based on the CLGs SHMA Guidance. These are:
 - Newly forming households; and
 - Existing households falling into need.

Newly-Forming Households

- 6.38 For newly-forming households we have estimated (through our demographic modelling) the number of new households likely to form per annum and then applied an affordability test. This has been undertaken by considering the changes in households in specific 5-year age bands relative to numbers in the age band below 5 years previously to provide an estimate of *gross* household formation. This differs from numbers presented in the demographic projections which are for net household growth. The number of newly-forming households are limited to households forming who are aged under 45. This methodology is recognised in guidance as a robust method for assessing the number of newly forming households which notes that *'at 45 it is assumed headship rates plateau'* (CLG SHMA guidance 2007 (Annexes, page 19, para 17)).
- 6.39 The estimates of gross new household formation have been based on outputs from our projection linked to the adjusted SNPP (past demographic trends in the case of Oxford). In looking at the likely affordability of newly-forming households we have drawn on data from previous surveys carried out nationally by GL Hearn and JGC. This establishes that the average income of newly-forming households is around 84% of the figure for all households. This figure is remarkably consistent across areas (and is also consistent with analysis of English Housing Survey data at a national level).

6.40 We have therefore adjusted the overall household income data to reflect the lower average income for newly-forming households. The adjustments have been made by changing the distribution of income by bands such that average income level is 84% of the all household average. In doing this we are able to calculate the proportion of households unable to afford market housing without any form of subsidy (such as LHA/HB). Our assessment suggests that overall around 50% of newly-forming households will be unable to afford market housing – there is no great difference in assessed affordability in the different sub-areas other than a significantly higher proportion unable to afford in Oxford.

Area	Number of new households	% unable to afford	Total in need
Cherwell	1,148	42.7%	491
Oxford	1,331	67.7%	900
South Oxon	973	48.5%	472
VoWH	908	42.4%	385
West Oxon	793	45.0%	356
County	5,153	50.5%	2,604

Table 48: Estimated Level of Housing Need from Newly Forming Households (per annum)

Source: Projection Modelling/Income analysis

Existing Households falling into Housing Need

- 6.41 The second element of newly arising need is existing households falling into need. To assess this we have used information from CORE. We have looked at households who have been housed over the past five years this group will represent the flow of households onto the Housing Register over a five year period. From this we have discounted any newly forming households (e.g. those currently living with family) as well as households who have transferred from another social rented property.
- 6.42 An affordability test has also been applied, although relatively few households are estimated to have sufficient income to afford market housing. The test has again been based on studying past survey information (including the SEH) and is based on understanding the incomes of households living in the social rented sector (which is taken as a proxy for those entering the sector). The various sources used establish that the incomes of these households are about 42% of the 'all household' average.
- 6.43 This method for assessing existing households falling into need is consistent with the SHMA guide which says on page 46 that *Partnerships should estimate the number of existing households falling into need each year by looking at recent trends. This should include households who have entered*

the housing register and been housed within the year as well as households housed outside of the register (such as priority homeless households applicants)'.

6.44 Table 49 below therefore shows our estimate of likely new need from existing households per annum based on past trends. The data shows an additional need arising from 1,011 households, with nearly half of these being in Oxford.

Area	Number of Existing Households falling into Need	% of Need
Cherwell	153	15.1%
Oxford	476	47.1%
South Oxon	138	13.6%
VoWH	138	13.6%
West Oxon	106	10.5%
County	1,011	100.0%

Table 49: Estimated level of Housing Need from Existing Households (per annum)

Source: CORE/affordability analysis

6.45 Estimates of total future housing need which is likely to arise each year are shown below, by combining the estimates of need arising from newly-forming households and from existing households falling into need. Total newly-arising need is estimated at 3,615 households each year moving forward.

Area	Newly-forming Households in Need	Existing Households falling into Need	Total Newly- Arising Need (per annum)	
Cherwell	491	153	644	
Oxford	900	476	1,377	
South Oxon	472	138	610	
VoWH	385	138	523	
West Oxon	356	106	462	
County	2,604	1,011	3,615	

Table 50:	Estimated Future Housing Need (per annum)
-----------	---

Supply of Affordable Housing

- 6.46 The future supply of affordable housing is the flow of affordable housing arising from the existing stock that is available to meet future need. It is split between the annual supply of social relets and the annual supply of relets/sales within the intermediate sector.
- 6.47 The Practice Guidance suggests that the estimate of likely future relets from the social rented stock should be based on past trend data which can be taken as a prediction for the future. We have

used information from the Continuous Recording system (CORE) to establish past patterns of social housing availability. Our figures include general needs and supported lettings but exclude lettings to new properties plus an estimate of the number of transfers from other social rented homes. These exclusions are made to ensure that the figures presented reflect relets from the existing stock. Additionally for Oxford City a significant number of supported lettings (mainly those on a license agreement) have been excluded.

6.48 On the basis of past trend data is has been estimated that 1,385 units of social rented housing are likely to become available each year moving.

Table 51:	Analysis of past Social Rented Housing Supply (per annum based on past 5
	years)

	Cherwell	Oxford	South Oxon	VoWH	West Oxon	County
Total lettings	478	630	460	483	400	2,450
% as non-newbuild	86.4%	92.5%	82.8%	83.0%	80.2%	85.6%
Lettings in existing stock	413	583	381	401	321	2,098
% non-transfers	63.8%	72.8%	63.7%	64.4%	61.5%	66.0%
Total lettings to new tenants	263	424	242	258	197	1,385
		Source: (

Source: CORE

- 6.49 The supply figure is for social rented housing only and whilst the stock of intermediate housing in Oxfordshire is not significant compared to the social rented stock it is likely that some housing does become available each year (e.g. resales of shared ownership). For the purposes of this assessment we have estimated the likely size and turnover in the intermediate stock on the basis of 2011 Census data. From this it is estimated that around 110 additional properties might become available per annum.
- 6.50 The total supply of affordable housing is therefore estimated to be 1,494 per annum. The table below shows the locations where supply is expected to arise.

Area	Social rented relets	Intermediate housing 'relets'	Total supply (per annum)
Cherwell	263	17	280
Oxford	424	34	459
South Oxon	242	18	260
VoWH	258	20	278
West Oxon	197	20	217
County	1,385	110	1,494

Source: Derived from CORE and Census (2011) analysis

Net Housing Need

Excluding Commitments for New Affordable Housing

- 6.51 Table 53 below shows our overall calculation of housing need. This excludes supply arising from sites with planning consent (the 'development pipeline'). The analysis has been based on meeting housing need over the 18-year period from 2013 to 2031. Whilst most of the data in the model are annual figures the backlog has been divided by 18 to make an equivalent annual figure.
- 6.52 The data shows an overall need for affordable housing of 42,658 units over the next 18-years (2,370 per annum). The net need is calculated as follows:

Net Need = Backlog Need + Need from Newly-Forming Households + Existing Households falling into Need – Supply of Affordable Housing

Table 53: Estimated level of Housing Need (2013-31)

	Per annum	18-years
Backlog need	249	4,483
Newly forming households	2,604	46,878
Existing households falling into need	1,011	18,196
Total Gross Need	3,864	69,558
Supply	1,494	26,899
Net Need	2,370	42,658

Source: Census (2011)/CORE/Projection Modelling and affordability analysis

6.53 Table 54 below shows the annualised information for individual districts. The analysis shows a need for additional affordable housing in all areas with Oxford seeing the highest need (about 42% of the total).

Area	Backlog need	Newly forming household s	Existing household s falling into need	Total Need	Supply	Net Need (per annum)
Cherwell	44	491	153	688	280	407
Oxford	111	900	476	1,488	459	1,029
South Oxon	37	472	138	647	260	386
VoWH	28	385	138	551	278	273
West Oxon	28	356	106	491	217	274
County	249	2,604	1,011	3,864	1,494	2,370

Table 54: Estimated level of Housing Need (per annum)

Source: Census (2011)/CORE/Projection Modelling and affordability analysis

Taking account of Affordable Housing with Planning Consent

- 6.54 The final stage in the analysis of affordable need is to take account of the supply of affordable housing in the development pipeline. Information has been provided by each Council about the number of affordable units with planning permission and which therefore can be expected to be built. These can be taken off the net need figures shown above to provide an indication of the number of additional homes required to meet need.
- 6.55 Table 55 below shows the estimated level of need taking account of the development pipeline in the period to 2031. The analysis suggests an annual need for around 2,054 units to be delivered if all affordable housing needs were to be met through delivery of new affordable homes.

	Gross Current Need	Supply of Affordable Housing in Developm ent Pipeline	Net Backlog	Annual Newly- Arising Need	Annual Supply through Relets	Net Need per Annum
Cherwell	793	2602	-1809	644	280	264
Oxford	2,003	722	1281	1376	459	988
South Oxon	668	1019	-351	610	260	331
VoWH	508	1054	-546	523	278	215
West Oxon	510	292	218	462	217	257
County	4,483	5689	-1208	3615	1494	2054

Table 55: Assessed Affordable Housing Need (2013-31) – including Development Pipeline

Sensitivity to Period over Which Backlog is Addressed

- 6.56 Table 54 above showed the overall estimated level of affordable need from 2013 to 2031 and an annualised figure for the 18-year period. It is possible to take this data and consider what the annual level of need would be if a different period were taken to clear the backlog.
- 6.57 In Table 56 we have analysed clearing the backlog over a 5- and 10-year period (as well as showing the core data for the full 18-year period). The data shows with the backlog being met over 18-years that some 2,370 dwellings per annum are required; with a 10-year period for the backlog this rises to 2,569 and clearing the backlog over just 5-years shows a higher figure again (of 3,017).

Table 56: Estimated annual level of Housing Need with different 'backlog' periods (all figures per annum)

Backlog cleared over	5-years	10-years	18-years
Backlog need	897	448	249
Newly forming households	2,604	2,604	2,604
Existing households falling into need	1,011	1,011	1,011
Total Gross Need	4,512	4,064	3,864
Supply	1,494	1,494	1,494
Net Need	3,017	2,569	2,370

Source: Census (2011)/CORE/Projection Modelling and affordability analysis

Sensitivity to Income Thresholds

- 6.58 The analysis above is based on using a 35% threshold of income for affordability. This is justified by an analysis of the cost of entry-level housing and likely residual incomes for households to spend on non-housing costs. It is however of interest to see how this figures change under a range of different scenarios. The analysis below looks at levels of need with thresholds of 25% (in-line with the start point in the 2007 SHMA Guidance) up to 40%. This is set out to illustrate the sensitivity of the affordable need analysis to different income thresholds.
- 6.59 Table 57 below summarises the findings. In particular, we can see that an assumption of households spending 40% gross income on housing costs sees need fall to 1,969 households per annum (from 2,370 in the main analysis). At the other end of the spectrum, with a 25% threshold the figure increases significantly (to 3,346 per annum).

Table 57: Estimated level of Housing Need (2013-31) at variant income thresholds (per annum) @25% @30% @35% @40%

	@25%	@30%	@35%	@40%
Backlog need	305	275	249	223
Newly forming households	3,423	2,995	2,604	2,285
Existing households falling into need	1,113	1,063	1,011	956
Total Need	4,841	4,333	3,864	3,463
Supply	1,494	1,494	1,494	1,494
Net Need	3,346	2,839	2,370	1,969

Sensitivity to Student Numbers

6.60 We have also sought to explore the potential influence of student households in Oxford on the affordable housing needs analysis. In the modelling above, we have estimate and address the impact, reducing current need figures derived from Census-based modelling by 12% to reflect the proportion of private rented properties which house student households.

6.61 In regard to newly-forming student households in Oxford, of the total of 1,331 newly-forming households per year, we estimate that at most 0.5% reflect the growth in student households. The impact of this would suggest that the affordable need identified in Oxford could be inflated by up to 10 households per annum.

Role of the Private Rented Sector in Meeting Housing Need

- 6.62 In considering the true dynamics of the local housing market, it is important to consider the role played by the private rented sector (through the Housing Benefit/Local Housing Allowance (LHA) system) in meeting housing needs. To do this, we have analysed data from the Department of Work and Pensions (DWP) in order to identify the number of LHA supported private rented homes and estimate its role in augmenting the supply of homes for households in need.
- 6.63 The data shows that, as of February 2013 it is estimated that there were 10,895 benefit claimants in the private rented sector in Oxfordshire. This is a 5% increase on the number observed two years earlier (February 2011). Comparing this to the total private rented sector stock in the County suggests that benefit claimants account for around a quarter of the sector.

Area	February 2011	February 2013	Absolute change	% change
Cherwell	2,690	2,645	-45	-1.7%
Oxford	3,500	3,670	170	4.9%
South Oxon	1,550	1,712	162	10.5%
VoWH	1,200	1,406	206	17.2%
West Oxon	1,400	1,462	62	4.4%
County	10,340	10,895	555	5.4%

Table 58:Number of people claiming LHA in private rented sector (February 2011 and
February 2013)

Source: Department of Work and Pensions

- 6.64 What this information does not tell us is how many lettings are made each year to tenants claiming benefit as this will depend on the turnover of stock. From English Housing Survey we estimate that the proportion of households within the private sector who are "new lettings" each year (i.e. stripping out the effect of transfers) is around 13%. Applying this to the private rented stock in Oxfordshire gives us an estimate of 5,877 private sector lettings per annum in the County.
- 6.65 Of these lettings, we assume 24% are to benefit claimants, consistent with their representation in the total private rented stock, giving a total of 1,416 lettings per annum to LHA claimants. As such, the overall estimated number of lettings in the LHA part of the PRS can be seen to be around two-thirds of the total net need derived through housing needs analysis (it should be noted that the number of claimants is individuals and in some cases there may be more than one claimant per

household. This reduces slightly the estimate of the number of lettings to 'unique' households (with the highest potential impact likely to be in Oxford). Whilst the private rented sector is not recognised as a genuine affordable housing product, it is important to recognise that, in practice, the sector makes a significant contribution to meeting housing need and filling a shortfall in genuine affordable housing products.

- 6.66 The extent to which the Councils wish to see this role in the future will clearly have implications for both affordable housing supply and by implication overall housing targets. However, this is ultimately a local policy decision which is beyond the scope of this study. We would however note the following considerations:
 - The private rented sector continues to grow (across Oxfordshire and the South East) and given the stock of affordable housing locally, as well as the future prospects in terms of grant funding for new affordable housing delivery, the reality is that there is likely to be comparatively greater availability in the private rented sector moving forward.
 - The private rented sector continues to grow significantly across Oxfordshire and the South East generally (as the earlier analysis demonstrates);
 - On the other hand the Government has capped the growth in LHA moving forwards to inflation
 as measured by the Consumer Price Index (CPI). It has also introduced caps on LHA payments
 and shifted the calculation of LHA rates from median rents to the 30% percentile (as described in
 Section 1). If rents rise faster than inflation this could limit the supply of private rented housing to
 those on LHA or reduce the proportion of rent which LHA will cover;
 - There is emerging evidence nationally that some landlords are concerned about the introduction
 of Universal Credit and the impact which this could have on tenants falling into rent arrears.
 Some landlords may move away from housing benefit supported households (or even the PRS
 generally) as demand improves within the market or due to uncertainties resulting from welfare
 reforms;
 - The sales market is however improving and if households who have rented in recent years begin to buy homes in greater volumes, this could affect the supply-demand balance within the private rented sector (in regard to both tenant volumes and levels of 'reluctant landlords' who may now choose to sell);
 - The delivery mechanisms and funding available to support delivery of new affordable housing are likely to influence how many households can have their needs met by provision of new affordable homes;
 - The 2011 Localism Act allows councils to discharge their statutory 'homeless duty' through offering households suitable accommodation in private rented properties;
 - However, the private rented sector provides less security than the affordable sector, standards can also be lower than for social rented properties and there are likely to be households with

specific housing needs who may not be able to find suitable accommodation within the Private Rented Sector.

Need for Different Types of Affordable Housing

- 6.67 Having studied housing costs, incomes and housing need the next step is to make an estimate of the proportion of affordable housing need that should be met through provision of different housing products. We therefore use the income information presented earlier in this section to estimate the proportion of households who are likely to be able to afford intermediate housing and the number for whom only social or affordable rented housing will be affordable. There are three main types of affordable housing that can be studied in this analysis:
 - Intermediate (shared ownership/equity)
 - Affordable rent
 - Social rent
- 6.68 Whilst the process of separating households into different income bands for analytical purposes is quite straightforward, this does not necessarily tell us what sort of affordable housing they might be able to afford or occupy.
- 6.69 For example a household with an income close to being able to afford market housing might be able to afford intermediate or affordable rent but may be prevented from accessing certain intermediate products (such as shared ownership) as they have an insufficient savings to cover a deposit. Such a household might therefore be allocated to affordable rented or intermediate rented housing as the most suitable solution. However we would expect that few Registered Providers would build intermediate rented homes, given that the level of potential occupants for affordable rented homes is greater (as it includes households who could claim housing benefit to supplement their incomes).
- 6.70 The distinction between social and affordable rented housing is also complex. Whilst rents for affordable rented housing would be expected to be higher than social rents, this does not necessarily mean that such a product would be reserved for households with a higher income. In reality, as long as the rent to be paid falls at or below LHA limits then it will be accessible to a range of households (many of whom will need to claim housing benefit). Local authorities' tenancy strategies might set policies regarding the types of households which might be allocated affordable rented homes; and many authorities will seek to avoid where possible households having to claim higher levels of housing benefit. This however needs to be set against other factors, including viability and the availability of grant funding. Over the current spending period to 2015 (and beyond

this to 2018²³) grant funding is primarily available to support delivery of affordable rented homes. A significant level of affordable housing delivery is delivered through developer contributions (Section 106 Agreements).

6.71 For these reasons it is difficult to exactly pin down what proportion of additional affordable homes should be provided through different affordable tenure categories. In effect there is a degree of overlap between different affordable housing tenures, as the figure below shows. At present, Registered Providers are only developing one- and two-bedroom units due to concerns over high rents on larger units – as such this tenure will not currently be meeting needs across the full range of households.



Figure 57: Overlap between Affordable Housing Tenures

- 6.72 Given this overlap, for analytical purposes we have defined the following categories:
 - Households who can afford 80% or more of market rent levels;
 - Households who afford no more than existing social rent levels (or would require housing benefit, or an increased level of housing benefit to do so);
 - Households which fall in between these parameters, who would potentially be able to afford more than existing social rent levels but could not afford 80% of market rents.
- 6.73 Table 59 shows the proportion of households who fall into these broad income categories (based on analysis of the distribution of household incomes). Overall, around a sixth can afford 80% or more of market rent levels with only around 29% of households being in the band between social

²³ See HCA (Jan 2014) National Affordable Housing Programme 2015-18, Prospectus

rents and 80% of market rents. This reflects the fact that there is a notable gap between housing costs for these two types of 'product'.

Area	Can afford 80%+ Market Rents	Can afford between Social and 80% Market Rents	Can only afford at Social Rent or Below
Cherwell	21.2%	22.8%	56.0%
Oxford	12.0%	38.1%	49.9%
South Oxon	19.4%	32.1%	48.4%
VoWH	20.7%	17.7%	61.6%
West Oxon	20.2%	15.1%	64.7%
County	17.2%	28.6%	54.3%

Table 59: Proportion of Households who Cannot afford Market Housing by Income Category

Source: Housing Needs Analysis

- 6.74 We do not have detailed information on households' savings. We have assumed that around half of households with an income which would allow them to afford 80% or more of market rents would represent the potential market for equity-based intermediate products such as shared ownership and shared equity homes.
- 6.75 Taking the gross numbers for housing need and comparing this against the supply from relets of existing stock, the following net need arises within the different categories. The categories shown are as follows:
 - 1. Equity-based Intermediate Housing: estimate of proportion of net need which could be satisfied by provision of shared ownership or equity homes;
 - 2. Need from Households who could afford more than existing social rents without benefit but not Equity-Based Intermediate Housing: estimate of net need which could be satisfied by housing priced between social rent levels and 80% of market rents, without increasing levels of Housing Benefit received;
 - 3. Rented Housing at Social Rent Levels: estimate of net need from households who would not be able to afford more than existing social rent levels witout increased support from Housing Benefit.
- 6.76 The analysis shows an estimated level of need for equity-based intermediate housing as well as showing (in affordability terms) that affordable rented housing may have a reasonable role to play in meeting need. Affordable rent seems least affordable in West Oxfordshire and most affordable in Oxford. This latter finding is more substantially related to the larger gap between social rents and 80% of market costs than income levels in the City

Area	Equity-based Intermediate Housing (Shared Ownership / Shared Equity)	Need from Households who could afford more than existing social rents without benefit but not Equity-Based Intermediate Housing	Rented Housing at Social Rent Levels
Cherwell	13.7%	32.3%	54.0%
Oxford	5.3%	44.4%	50.2%
South Oxon	11.6%	41.0%	47.4%
VoWH	13.5%	27.1%	59.4%
West Oxon	10.8%	25.0%	64.2%
County	9.4%	37.5%	53.1%
	Source: Housing	Needs Analysis	

Table 60: Net Need Within different Affordability Categories	Table 60:	Net Need within different Affordability Categories
--	-----------	--

Source: Housing Needs Analysis

Conclusions and Implications

- 6.77 The NPPF (and the Planning Practice Guidance) sets out that plans should be prepared on the basis of meeting full needs for market and affordable housing. In assessing needs for affordable housing, we have adopted the methodology set out in the Planning Practice Guidance.
- 6.78 In following this approach, we have identified a net affordable housing need in Oxfordshire of 42,660 households over the period from 2013 to 2031, equivalent to 2,370 households each year. This assumes that a backlog of need is met over the period to 2031. If the backlog of need is addressed over a shorter-period, the level of affordable need would be higher initially.
- 6.79 There is thus a significant need for new affordable housing in Oxfordshire and we therefore consider the Councils are justified in seeking to secure the maximum viable level of affordable housing.
- 6.80 However, whilst a significant quantitative shortfall is identified, there are in reality two key factors against which the assessment must be put into context. Firstly, in practice there are likely to be households who are adequately housed whilst paying more than the 35% of income threshold used in this report, particularly in a relatively affluent area such as Oxfordshire.
- 6.81 Secondly, in considering the true dynamics in the affordable sector, it is important that the role played by the private rented sector is recognised, particularly insofar as it provides adequate and affordable housing when supported by the LHA. In this regard, our evidence shows that in Oxfordshire the private rented sector makes a potentially significant contribution to meeting affordable housing needs with an estimated 1,416 lettings per annum in sector to claimants supported by LHA.

- 6.82 Clearly the private rented sector is not a recognised form of affordable housing and the extent to which the Councils wish to see it being used to make up for shortages of affordable housing is ultimately a local policy decision which is outside the scope of this study. If we assume (for modelling purposes) that the role the Private Rented Sector plays continues but that the number of LHA claimants in the sector does not rise further, our analysis indicates a need to deliver around 950 affordable homes per annum. This could be deliverable taking account of affordable housing policy requirements if overall housing provision is in excess of about 2,500 homes per annum.
- 6.83 In establishing an objective assessment of overall housing need, the outputs of this assessment will be considered alongside market signals as well as the demographic projections.
- 6.84 With respect to tenure mix, our assessment indicates a County-wide mix target of 25% intermediate: 75% rent would be appropriate. In need terms, the rent composition is slightly skewed towards social rent; however, the deliverability of this in the context of national affordable housing policy and funding availability should be considered. We also identify some variation at local authority level which could feed into local policies and would suggest that the types of tenure that will be sought is a policy decision to be made through the Local Plan. A policy to house those in the greatest need might therefore see a higher proportion of social rented housing being sought.

Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment: April 2014

7 NEED FOR DIFFERENT SIZES OF HOMES

Introduction

- 7.1 As discussed in Section 4, there are a range of factors which influence housing demand. These factors play out at different spatial scales and influence both the level of housing demand (in terms of aggregate household growth) and the nature of demand for different types, tenures and sizes of homes.
- 7.2 In this section we consider the implications of demographic drivers on demand for different housing products. The assessment is intended to provide an understanding of the implications of demographic dynamics on need and demand for different sizes of homes. This however needs to be brought together with an understanding of wider factors including:
 - The need and opportunity to develop the housing offer;
 - The findings of the housing needs analysis which provide a short-term view of requirements;
 - Expected future economic growth and how this may influence demographics and demand for different housing products;
 - Local evidence regarding supply and demand imbalances, such as identified in the analysis in Section 4; and
 - Local policy objectives.
- 7.3 The analysis in this section seeks to use the information available about the size and structure of the population and household structures; and consider what impact this may have on the sizes of housing required in the future. It is about understanding the implications of demographic change. For the purposes of this analysis we have looked at the demographic change as indicated in a projection linked to the midpoint of the range of need identified delivery of 5,003 additional homes per annum from 2011 to 2031.

Methodology

7.4 Figure 58 describes the broad methodology employed in the housing market modelling. Data is drawn from a range of sources including the 2011 Census and our demographic projections.



Figure 58: Summary of Housing Market Model

Understanding how Households Occupy Homes

- 7.5 Whilst the demographic projections provide a good indication of how the population and household structure will develop it is not a simple task to convert the net increase in the number of households in to a suggested profile for additional housing to be provided. The main reason for this is that in the market sector households are able to buy or rent any size of property (subject to what they can afford) and therefore knowledge of the profile of households in an area does not directly transfer into the sizes of property to be provided. The size of housing which households occupy relates more to their wealth and age than the number of people which they contain.
- 7.6 For example, there is no reason why a single person cannot buy (or choose to live in) a four bedroom home as long as they can afford it and hence projecting an increase in single person households does not automatically translate in to a need for smaller units.
- 7.7 In the affordable sector this issue is less relevant in the affordable sector (particularly since the introduction of the 'bedroom tax') although there will still be some level of under-occupation moving forward with regard to older person and working households who may be able to continue to under-occupy their current homes.
- 7.8 The general methodology is to use the information derived in the projections about the number of household reference persons (HRPs) in each age and sex group and apply this to the profile of

housing within these groups. The data for this analysis has been formed from a commissioned table by ONS (Table C1213 which provides relevant data for all local authorities in England) with data then calibrated to be consistent with 2011 Census data (e.g. about house sizes in different tenure groups and locations).

7.9 Figure 59 below shows an estimate of how the average number of bedrooms rooms varies by different ages of HRP and different sexes by broad tenure group. In the market sector the average size of accommodation rises over time to typically reach a peak around the 45-54 age groups. In the affordable sector this peak appears earlier. After sizes peak the average dwelling size decreases – possibly due to a number of people down-sizing as they get older. It is also notable that the average size for affordable housing dwellings are lower than those for market housing whilst in market housing male HRPs live in larger accommodation for all age groups (with no strong trend being seen in the affordable sector).



Figure 59: Average Bedrooms by Age, Sex and Tenure - Oxfordshire

Source: Derived from ONS Commissioned Table C1213 and 2011 Census

Establishing a Baseline Position

7.10 As of 2011 it is estimated that there were 259,562 households living in the Oxfordshire area. Analysis of Census data linked to the demographic baseline provides us with an estimate of the profile of the housing stock in 2011, as shown in the table below. The table shows that an estimated 15.3% of households live in affordable housing with 84.7% being in the market sector (the size of the affordable sector has been fixed by reference to an estimate of the number of occupied social rented and shared ownership homes in 2011). The data also suggests that homes in the market sector are generally bigger than in the affordable sector with 71% having three or more bedrooms compared to 40% for affordable housing.

7.11 These figures are for households rather than dwellings due to information about the sizes of vacant homes across the whole stock (i.e. market and affordable) not being readily available. For the purposes of analysis this will not make any notable difference to the. We have however translated the household projections into dwelling figures by including a vacancy allowance when studying the final outputs of the market modelling.

Size of housing	Market		Affordable		lotal	
Size of housing	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1 bedroom	15,846	7.2%	10,345	26.0%	26,191	10.1%
2 bedrooms	48,926	22.3%	13,513	34.0%	62,439	24.1%
3 bedrooms	90,008	40.9%	14,069	35.4%	104,077	40.1%
4+ bedrooms	65,025	29.6%	1,829	4.6%	66,855	25.8%
Total	219,806	100.0%	39,756	100.0%	259,562	100.0%
% in tenure	84.	7%	15.	3%	100	.0%

Table 61: Estimated Profile of Dwellings in 2011 by Size

Source: Derived from 2011 Census

Tenure Assumptions

- 7.12 The housing market model has been used to estimate future requirements for different sizes of property over the next 20-years. The model works by looking at the types and sizes of accommodation occupied by different ages of residents, and attaching projected changes in the population to this to project need and demand for different sizes of homes. However the way households of different ages occupy homes differs between the market and affordable sectors (as shown earlier). Thus it is necessary to consider what mix of future housing will be in the market and affordable sectors.
- 7.13 To consider what mix of housing might be delivered as affordable housing we have considered various factors. The affordable housing needs analysis in this report provides evidence of considerable housing need which would support any target although the viability of providing affordable housing will limit the amount that can be delivered. The current or proposed affordable housing policy in each authority is summarised below:
 - Cherwell 30% in Banbury and Bicester and in 35% Kidlington and Rural Areas on sites over 10 homes in urban areas and 3 in rural areas;
 - Oxford a minimum 50% affordable housing on sites 0.25 ha/ 10 dwellings;
 - South Oxfordshire 40% on all sites where net gain of 3+ dwellings;
 - Vale 40% on all sites where net gain of 3+ dwellings; and
 - West 50% in the higher value zone, 40% in the medium value zone, and 35% in the lower value zone on sites of over 5 dwellings, with a financial contribution on sites of 1-5 dwellings.

- 7.14 Some development schemes will fall below thresholds, whist on others scheme viability can reduce affordable housing delivery. However this can be offset by delivery on housing sites owned by Registered Providers and public sector bodies and on Rural Exception Sites.
- 7.15 It is necessary for modelling purposes (given the differences in occupancy patterns between tenure categories) to make a broad assumption for modelling purposes on the potential balance between delivery of market and affordable housing. On the basis of information available we have assumed in Cherwell that 33% of new housing delivered over the period to 2031 is affordable housing with a figure of 40% for South Oxfordshire, Vale of White Horse and West Oxfordshire and 50% in Oxford. This is based on the relative viability of affordable housing delivery in different parts of the county, based on current evidence and policies. It should be stressed that these figures are not intended to pre-judge the Councils setting out future policies. The figures have been applied simply for the purposes of providing outputs from the modelling process.

Key Findings: Affordable Housing

- 7.16 The table and figure below show estimates of the sizes of affordable housing required based on our understanding of demographic trends. The data suggests in the period between 2011 and 2031 that around 63% of the requirement is for homes with one- or two-bedrooms with around 37% of the requirement being for larger homes with three or more bedrooms.
- 7.17 This analysis provides a longer-term view of requirements for affordable housing and does not reflect any specific priorities such as for family households in need rather than single people. In addition we would note that smaller properties (i.e. one bedroom homes) typically offer limited flexibility in accommodating the changing requirements of households, whilst delivery of larger properties can help to meet the needs of households in high priority and to manage the housing stock by releasing supply of smaller properties. That said, there may in the short-term be an increased requirement for smaller homes as a result of welfare reforms limiting the amount of housing benefit being paid to some working-age households.

Size	2011	2031	Additional households 2011- 2031	% of additional households
1 bedroom	10,345	21,584	11,239	28.4%
2 bedrooms	13,513	27,252	13,739	34.7%
3 bedrooms	14,069	27,020	12,951	32.7%
4+ bedrooms	1,829	3,517	1,687	4.3%
Total	39,756	79,373	39,617	100.0%

Table 62: Impact of Demographic Trends on the Size of Dwellings Required 2011 to 2031 – Affordable Housing

Source: Housing Market Model

7.18 Figure 60 below shows how our estimated affordable requirement compares with the stock of affordable housing in 2011 – the figures are based on households (i.e. before adding in a vacancy allowance). The data shows that relative to the current stock there is a slight move towards a greater proportion of smaller homes being required – this makes sense given that in the future household sizes are expected to drop whilst the population of older people will increase – older person households (as shown earlier) are more likely to occupy smaller dwellings. However, the analysis still identifies a requirement for more larger units (particularly three bedroom accommodation).



Figure 60: Impact of Demographic Trends on Affordable Housing Requirements by House Size, 2011 to 2031 - Oxfordshire

Source: Housing Market Model

Key Findings: Market Housing

- 7.19 As we have previously identified there are a range of factors which can be expected to influence demand for housing. This analysis specifically looks at the implications of demographic drivers. It uses a demographic-driven approach to quantify demand for different sizes of properties over the 20-year period from 2011 to 2031.
- 7.20 Table 63 and Figure 61 below shows estimates of the sizes of market housing required from 2011 to 2031 based on demographic trends for the whole of the Oxfordshire area. The data suggests a requirement for homes for 56,532 additional households with the majority of these being two- and three-bedroom homes.

Size	2011	2031	Additional households 2011- 2031	% of additional households
1 bedroom	15,846	19,779	3,933	7.0%
2 bedrooms	48,926	62,738	13,812	24.4%
3 bedrooms	90,008	114,242	24,234	42.9%
4+ bedrooms	65,025	79,578	14,553	25.7%
Total	219,806	276,337	56,532	100.0%

Table 63: Impact of Demographic Trends on the Size of Dwellings Required – Market Housing

Source: Housing Market Model

7.21 Figure 61 shows how our estimated market requirement compares with the current stock of housing (based on households (i.e. excluding the vacancy allowance)). The data suggests that housing requirements reinforce around the existing profile of stock, but with a slight shift towards a requirement for smaller dwellings relative to the distribution of existing housing. This is understandable given the fact that household sizes a projected to fall slightly in the future (which itself is partly due to the ageing of the population).

Figure 61: Impact of Demographic Trends on Market Housing Requirements by House Size, 2011 to 2031 - Oxfordshire



Source: Housing Market Model

Interpreting the Analysis

7.22 The graphs and statistics are based upon our modelling of demographic trends. As we have identified, it should be recognised that a range of factors including affordability pressures and market signals will continue to be important in understanding market demand; this may include an increased demand in the private rented sector for rooms in a shared house due to changes in

housing benefit for single people. In determining policies for housing mix, policy aspirations are also relevant.

- 7.23 The analysis herein needs to be brought together the evidence presented in Section 3 relating to localised supply-demand imbalances or gaps in the housing offer. These are however particularly short-term issues with the focus of analysis in this chapter on informing strategic long-term planning.
- 7.24 We are of the view that it is appropriate through the planning system to seek to influence the balance of types and sizes of market housing through considering the mix of sites allocated for development rather than specific policies relating to the proportion of homes of different sizes which are then applied to specific sites. This approach is implicit within NPPF which requires local planning authorities to *'identify the size, type, tenure and range of housing that is required'*.
- 7.25 At the strategic level, a local authority in considering which sites to allocate, can consider what type of development would likely be delivered on these sites. It can also provide guidance on housing mix implicitly through policies on development densities.

Overall Housing Mix

7.26 Table 64 below summarises the above data in both the market and affordable sectors under the modelling exercise. We have also factored in a vacancy allowance in moving from household figures to estimates of housing requirements (ranging from about 3%-5% depending on area).

Number of bedrooms	Households	Market Dwellings	% of dwellings	Households	Affordable Dwellings	% of dwellings
1 bedroom	3,933	4,092	7.0%	11,239	11,695	28.4%
2 bedrooms	13,812	14,381	24.4%	13,739	14,303	34.7%
3 bedrooms	24,234	25,221	42.9%	12,951	13,475	32.7%
4+ bedrooms	14,553	15,139	25.7%	1,687	1,755	4.3%
Total	56,532	58,832	100.0%	39,617	41,228	100.0%

Table 64:	Implications of	Demographic Pro	pjections on Overall Housin	g Mix
-----------	-----------------	------------------------	-----------------------------	-------

Source: Housing Market Model

7.27 Whilst the outputs of the modelling provide estimates of the proportion of homes of different sizes that should be provided there are a range of factors which should be taken into account in setting policies for provision. Regard should also be had to issues relating to the turnover and management of stock, recognising for instance that 1-bed units can provide limited flexibility to meet households' changing circumstances, whist delivery of larger homes can help to meet needs of households with a high priority need and help to release supply of smaller properties for other households.

- 7.28 It should also be recognised that local authorities have statutory homeless responsibilities towards families with children and would therefore prioritise the needs of families over single person households and couples. On this basis the profile of affordable housing to be provided would be further weighted to two or more bedroom housing. In the short-term however there may be a need to increase the supply of one-bedroom homes due to the 'bedroom tax'.
- 7.29 For these reasons we would suggest in converting the long-term modelled outputs into a profile of housing to be provided (in the affordable sector) that the proportion of one bedroom homes required is reduced slightly from these outputs with a commensurate increase in four or more bedroom homes also being appropriate.
 - 1-bed properties: 25%-30%
 - 2-bed properties: 30%-35%
 - 3-bed properties: 30%-35%
 - 4-bed properties: 5%-10%
- 7.30 Our strategic conclusions recognise the role which delivery of larger family homes can play in releasing supply of smaller properties for other households; together with the limited flexibility which one-bed properties offer to changing household circumstances which feed through into higher turnover and management issues.
- 7.31 In setting policies regarding the mix of affordable housing sought in each district, the findings of the SHMA should be brought together with other evidence (including where appropriate up-to-date housing needs assessments).
- 7.32 The need for affordable housing of different sizes will vary by location across the County and over time. In considering the mix of homes to be provided within specific development schemes, the information herein should be brought together with details of households currently on the Housing Register in the local area and the stock and turnover of existing properties.
- 7.33 In the market sector we would suggests a profile of housing that more closely matches the outputs of the modelling. The recommendations take some account of the time period used for the modelling and the fact that the full impact of the ageing population will not be experienced in the short-term.
- 7.34 On the basis of these factors we consider that the provision of market housing should be more explicitly focused on delivering smaller family housing for younger households. On this basis we would recommend the following mix of market housing be sought:
 - 5% 1-bed properties
 - 25% 2-bed properties

- 45% 3-bed properties
- 25% 4+ bed properties
- 7.35 Although we have quantified this on the basis of the market modelling and our understanding of the current housing market we do not strongly believe that such prescriptive figures should be included in the plan making process and that the 'market' is to some degree a better judge of what is the most appropriate profile of homes to deliver at any point in time. The figures can however be used as a monitoring tool to ensure that future delivery is not unbalanced when compared with the likely requirements as driven by demographic change in the area.

Outputs at an Authority-Level

- 7.36 Whilst the analysis above has focussed on outputs for the whole Oxfordshire area the data itself has been built up from analysis at a smaller area level (local authorities). The tables below provide the outputs of this analysis in terms of the sizes of accommodation estimated to be required in each of the affordable and market sectors for the five different areas.
- 7.37 The analysis suggests a broadly similar mix of housing being required in all areas for both market and affordable housing. The only exception is in Oxford where the dwelling profile shown is more biased towards larger units. This is driven by population dynamics with the City not expected to see the same degree of ageing within the population and therefore not expected to see as many households downsize as they get older. These population dynamics are expected to play out in both the market and affordable sectors.

Sub-area	1 bedroom	2 bedrooms	3 bedrooms	4+ bedrooms
Cherwell	6.2%	23.1%	46.2%	24.6%
Oxford	10.7%	24.9%	38.9%	25.5%
South Oxfordshire	5.7%	26.7%	43.4%	24.2%
Vale of White Horse	5.9%	21.7%	42.6%	29.8%
West Oxfordshire	4.8%	27.9%	43.4%	23.9%
OXFORDSHIRE	7.0%	24.4%	42.9%	25.7%

 Table 65: Estimated dwelling requirement by number of bedrooms (2011 to 2031) – Market

 Sector

Source: Housing Market Model

Sub-area	1 bedroom	2 bedrooms	3 bedrooms	4+ bedrooms
Cherwell	28.3%	31.0%	36.9%	3.7%
Oxford	29.0%	32.7%	32.2%	6.1%
South Oxfordshire	32.6%	35.5%	29.3%	2.7%
Vale of White Horse	27.3%	35.1%	33.6%	3.9%
West Oxfordshire	23.3%	43.7%	30.4%	2.6%
OXFORDSHIRE	28.4%	34.7%	32.7%	4.3%

Table 66: Estimated dwelling requirement by number of bedrooms (2011 to 2031) – Affordable Sector

Source: Housing Market Model

Conclusions and Implications

7.38 There are a range of factors which will influence demand for different sizes of homes, including demographic changes; future growth in real earnings and households' ability to save; economic performance and housing affordability. Our analysis linked to long-term (20-year) demographic change concludes that the following represents an appropriate mix of affordable and market homes.

Table 67: Conclusions regarding Mix of Homes, HMA Level

	1-bed	2-bed	3-bed	4+ bed
Market	5%	25%	45%	25%
Affordable	25-30%	30-35%	30-35%	5-10%
All dwellings	15%	30%	40%	15%

- 7.39 Our strategic conclusions in the affordable sector recognise the role which delivery of larger family homes can play in releasing supply of smaller properties for other households; together with the limited flexibility which one-bed properties offer to changing household circumstances which feed through into higher turnover and management issues.
- 7.40 The mix identified above should inform strategic HMA-wide policies. In applying these to individual development sites regard should be had to the nature of the development site and character of the area, and to up-to-date evidence of need as well as the existing mix and turnover of properties at the local level.
- 7.41 Based on the evidence, we would expect the a balanced profile of market homes of different sizes, including smaller two- and three-bedroom homes for younger households and those looking to downsize; as well as larger family homes. The mix identified is focused more towards smaller properties than the existing mix of homes.
- 7.42 The analysis of an appropriate mix of dwellings should also inform the 'portfolio' of sites which are considered through the Local Plan process, including: Site Allocations, Neighbourhood Plans and other planning documents. Equally it will be of relevance to affordable housing negotiations.

Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment: April 2014

8 HOUSING NEEDS OF SPECIFIC GROUPS IN THE POPULATION

Introduction

- 8.1 This section considers the housing needs of specific groups within the population, whose needs may differ from those of the wider population. Estimates of household groups who have particular housing needs is a key output of the SHMA Guidance whilst the National Planning Policy Framework identifies that local planning authorities should plan for a mix of housing which takes account of the needs of different groups in the community.
- 8.2 The following key groups have been identified which may have housing needs which differ from those of the wider population:
 - Older Persons;
 - People with disabilities;
 - Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) households;
 - Households with children;
 - Young people;
 - Students;
 - Self-builders.

Housing Needs of Older People

- 8.3 The SHMA Guidance recognises the need to provide housing for older people as part of achieving a good mix of housing. A key driver of change in the housing market over the next 20 years is expected to be the growth in the population of older persons.
- 8.4 Indeed as population projections show, the number of older people is expected to increase significantly over the next few years. In this section we draw on a range of sources including our population projections and data from POPPI (Projecting Older People Population Information).
- 8.5 The context to older persons housing provision can be summarised as below:
 - A need to provide housing for older people as part of achieving a good mix of housing, but recognizing that many older people are able to exercise choice and control over housing options – e.g. owner occupiers with equity in their homes;
 - Falling demand for residential care in some areas, and a rapidly rising average age of people living in sheltered housing over 20 years, requiring higher levels of support. However many local authorities have struggled to contain expenditure on services for older people;
 - New models of enhanced and extra care housing have emerged. These aim to meet the needs
 of those who require high levels of care and support alongside those who are still generally able
 to care for themselves. These models often allow for changing circumstances in situ rather than
 requiring a move; and
 - Providing choice, including supporting people to stay in their own homes including through supporting adaptations to properties and through provision of floating support.

Current Population of Older Persons

- 8.6 Below we have provided some baseline population data about older persons and compared this with other areas. The data for has been taken from the published ONS mid-year population estimates and is provided for age groups from 55 and upwards. In reality, those aged 55 might not be considered as 'old' but we have started the analysis from this age group due to the fact that some housing developments are specifically targeted at the over 55 age group.
- 8.7 The data shows that, when compared with both the South East (Region) and England, the County has a lower proportion of older persons. In 2011 it is estimated that 27.3% of the population of Oxfordshire was aged 55 or over compared with 29.2% in the South East (Region) and 28.0% for the whole of England.
- 8.8 The lower level of older persons can largely be explained by the population profile of Oxford City which has only 19.2% of its population aged 55 and over. All other areas have at least 27% of their population aged 55 and over with the highest figure (of 31%) being seen in West Oxfordshire.

Age group	Oxfor	dshire	South	n East	Eng	land
	Population	% of popn	Population	% of popn	Population	% of popn
Under 55	476,227	72.7%	6,127,449	70.8%	38,211,710	72.0%
55-64	73,925	11.3%	1,029,865	11.9%	6,165,792	11.6%
65-74	54,924	8.4%	771,829	8.9%	4,592,171	8.6%
75-84	34,849	5.3%	503,979	5.8%	2,944,178	5.5%
85+	14,866	2.3%	219,662	2.5%	1,193,318	2.2%
Total	654,791	100.0%	8,652,784	100.0%	53,107,169	100.0%
Total 55+	178,564	27.3%	2,525,335	29.2%	14,895,459	28.0%

Table 68: Older person population (2011)

Source: ONS 2011 mid-year population estimates

Future Changes in the Population of Older Persons

- 8.9 As well as providing a baseline position for the proportion of older persons in the County we can use our population projections to provide an indication of how the numbers might change in the future compared with other areas. The data provided below is based on a demographic model linked to the midpoint housing number of 5,003 units per annum.
- 8.10 The data shows that Oxfordshire is expected to see a substantial increase in the older person population with the total number of people aged 55 and over expected to increase by 49% over just 20-years. A particularly high increase is expected in Cherwell with a lower figure being seen in Oxford. The finding for Oxford is mainly linked to the younger population age profile in the City and the fact that migration patterns tend to focus on younger people. In the case of Cherwell the

findings are to some degree related to the higher overall population growth projected for the area. For all areas we are also expected to see significant population growth in the oldest age groups with the population aged 85 and over expected to increase by 127% over the next 20-years. To some degree the pattern in Oxfordshire follows that expected nationally although the overall growth in the population aged 55 and over (and age groups within this) is higher than projected nationally in the last completed set of subnational population projections (the 2010-based version).

Age group	Cherwell	Oxford	South Oxon	VoWH	West Oxon	Oxfordshire
Under 55	21.2%	50.8%	14.3%	38.1%	9.9%	28.7%
55-64	32.4%	27.6%	23.0%	22.9%	21.0%	25.5%
65-74	61.6%	44.3%	41.0%	49.2%	52.3%	49.7%
75-84	76.7%	39.1%	64.7%	67.4%	79.2%	66.4%
85+	142.8%	47.9%	134.6%	142.8%	159.4%	126.8%
Total	31.1%	48.1%	24.0%	41.7%	23.6%	34.3%
Total 55+	58.0%	36.6%	46.0%	49.7%	54.1%	49.3%

 Table 69:
 Projected Change in Population of Older Persons (2011 to 2031)

Source: Population projections

Characteristics of Older Persons Households

8.11 The table below shows data from the 2011 Census about the number of pensioner households (defined as people aged 65 and over regardless of sex) compared with other areas. The data shows in 2011 that around 21% of households were comprised entirely of pensioners. This is the same as the regional figure and very slightly lower than the national average. Of the total number of pensioner households some 56% are single person households.

Table 70:	Pensioner hous	eholds (Census 2011)
-----------	----------------	----------------------

Pensioner households	Oxfordshire	South East	England
Single pensioner	29,852	2,725,596	449,969
2 or more pensioners	23,635	1,851,180	329,263
All households	258,855	22,063,368	3,555,463
Single pensioner	11.5%	12.4%	12.7%
2 or more pensioners	9.1%	8.4%	9.3%
All households	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total % pensioner only	20.7%	20.7%	21.9%

Source: Census (2011)

8.12 The figure below shows the tenure of older person households – the data has been split between single pensioner households and those with two or more pensioners (which will largely be couples). The data shows that pensioner households are relatively likely to live in owner-occupied accommodation (77%) and are also slightly more likely than other households to be in the social

rented sector. The proportion of pensioner households living in the private rented sector is relatively low (4% compared with 21% of all households in the County).

- 8.13 There are however notable differences for different types of pensioner households with single pensioners having a much lower level of owner-occupation than larger pensioner households this group also has a much higher proportion living in the social rented sector (and relatively more in private rented accommodation).
- 8.14 Given that the number of older people is expected to increase in the future and that the number of single person households is expected to increase this would suggest (if occupancy patterns remain the same) that there will be a notable demand for affordable housing from the ageing population.



Figure 62: Tenure of older person households - Oxfordshire

8.15 At local authority level the data shows that patterns by tenure differ slightly. Oxford sees a lower proportion living in the owner-occupied sector; with the City also seeing a large proportion living in social rented housing (over a quarter of all pensioner-only households). The proportion of pensioner households living in owner-occupied housing ranges from about 67% in Oxford up to 81% in the case of South Oxfordshire. The figures shown below are for all pensioner households (with the data for the whole County being shown as the middle column in the figure above). The data about the current tenure profile has been used to inform analysis of the potential requirements for specialist housing later in this section.

Source: 2011 Census





Source: 2011 Census

8.16 A key theme that is often brought out in Housing Market Assessment work is the large proportion of older person households who under-occupy their dwellings. Data from the Census allows us to investigate this using the bedroom standard. The Census data suggests that older person households are more likely to under-occupy their housing than other households in the County. In total 61% have an occupancy rating of +2 or more (meaning there are at least two more bedrooms than are technically required by the household). This compares with 35% for non-pensioner households. Further analysis suggests that under-occupancy is far more common in households with two or more pensioners than single pensioner households.



Figure 64: Occupancy rating of older person households - Oxfordshire

Source: 2011 Census

8.17 It is of interest to study the above information by tenure. The table below shows the number of pensioner households who had an occupancy rating of +2 or more in each of three broad tenure groups in 2011. Whilst the majority of older person households with an occupancy rating of +2 or more were in the owner-occupied sector, there were around 1,800 properties in the social rented sector occupied by pensioner only households with an occupancy rating of +2 or more. This may therefore present some opportunity to reduce under-occupation although to achieve this it may be necessary to provide housing in areas where households currently live and where they have social and community ties

Owner-occupied12,78616,18228,968Social rented1,1746551,829Private rented8224311,253All tenures14,78217,26832,050	Tenure	Single pensioner	2 or more pensioners	All pensioner only households
Private rented 822 431 1,253	Owner-occupied	12,786	16,182	28,968
	Social rented	1,174	655	1,829
All tenures 14,782 17,268 32,050	Private rented	822	431	1,253
	All tenures	14,782	17,268	32,050

 Table 71:
 Pensioner households with occupancy rating of +2 or more by tenure

Source: 2011 Census

8.18 It should however be recognised that many older households in the private sector will have built up equity in their existing homes. In the private sector many older households may be able to afford a larger home than they need (and thus under-occupy housing). Some may look to downsize to release equity from homes to support their retirement (or may move away from the area); however we would expect many older households to want to retain family housing with space to allow friends and relatives to come to stay.

Health-related Population Projections

- 8.19 In addition to providing projections about how the number and proportion of older people is expected to change in the future we can look at the likely impact on the number of people with specific illnesses or disabilities. For this we have used data from the Projecting Older People Information System (POPPI) website which provides prevalence rates for different disabilities by age and sex. For the purposes of the SHMA analysis has focussed on estimates of the number of people with dementia and mobility problems.
- 8.20 For both of the health issues analysed the figures relate to the population aged 65 and over. The figures from POPPI are based on prevalence rates from a range of different sources and whilst these might change in the future (e.g. as general health of the older person population improves) the estimates are likely to be of the right order.
- 8.21 The table below shows that both of the illnesses/disabilities are expected to increase significantly in the future although this would be expected given the increasing population. In particular there is
projected to be a large rise in the number of people with dementia (up 98%) along with an 82% increase in the number with mobility problems.

Type of illness/disability	2011	2031	Change	% increase		
	Ch	erwell				
Dementia	1,525	3,189	1,663	109.0%		
Mobility problems	4,006	7,711	3,705	92.5%		
	0	xford	•			
Dementia	1,284	1,877	593	46.2%		
Mobility problems	3,248	4,638	1,390	42.8%		
South Oxfordshire						
Dementia	1,735	3,457	1,722	99.3%		
Mobility problems	4,523	8,170	3,646	80.6%		
	Vale of V	Vhite Horse	-	-		
Dementia	1,573	3,252	1,679	106.7%		
Mobility problems	4,067	7,642	3,575	87.9%		
	West O	xfordshire	-	-		
Dementia	1,404	3,089	1,685	120.0%		
Mobility problems	3,615	7,197	3,582	99.1%		
	Oxfo	ordshire	-	-		
Dementia	7,521	14,864	7,343	97.6%		
Mobility problems	19,459	35,358	15,898	81.7%		

Table 72: Estimated population change for range of health issues (2011 to 2031)

Source: Data from POPPI and demographic projections

8.22 The table below shows this information by age band for the whole of Oxfordshire. Consistent with overall demographic change the analysis shows particularly large increases in the population aged 85 and over with the health issues studied.

Table 73:	Estimated population (change for range of healt	h issues (2011 to 2031) – by age
-----------	------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------------

Dementia						Mobility	problems	
Age band	2011	2031	Change	% increase	2011	2031	Change	% increase
65-69	381	564	182	47.8%	2,621	3,866	1,245	47.5%
70-74	661	1,007	346	52.4%	3,172	4,834	1,662	52.4%
75-79	1,158	1,743	585	50.6%	3,321	5,010	1,689	50.8%
80-84	1,798	3,352	1,554	86.4%	3,647	6,767	3,120	85.5%
85+	3,523	8,198	4,675	132.7%	6,697	14,881	8,184	122.2%
Total	7,521	14,864	7,343	97.6%	19,459	35,358	15,898	81.7%

Source: Data from POPPI and demographic projections

Indicative Requirements for Specialist Housing

8.23 Given the ageing population and higher levels of disability and health problems amongst older people there is likely to be an increased requirement for specialist housing options moving forward. The analysis in this section draws on data provided by the County Council and the Housing Learning and Information Network (Housing LIN) along with our demographic projections to provide an indication of the potential level of additional specialist housing that might be required for older people moving forward.

Current stock of housing

8.24 The table below shows the current supply of specialist housing for older people. At present it is estimated that there are 6,633 units; this is equivalent to 133 units per person aged 75 and over. The majority (63%) of this housing is in the affordable sector with only a third being market housing (even though the majority of retired households are owner-occupiers). Looking at individual areas it is clear that the supply relative to the population is particularly high in Cherwell and Oxford with much lower figures in West Oxfordshire and to a lesser extent the Vale of White Horse. West Oxfordshire also stands out as the only area where the supply of market units is higher than in the affordable sector.

Affordable	Market	Total	Supply per 1,000 aged 75+
1,374	587	1,961	192
1186	349	1,535	185
1,023	489	1,512	132
531	480	1,011	96
91	523	614	66
4,205	2,428	6,633	133
	1,374 1186 1,023 531 91	1,37458711863491,02348953148091523	1,3745871,96111863491,5351,0234891,5125314801,01191523614

Table 74: Current supply of specialist housing for old	older people	
--	--------------	--

Source: Housing LIN

Projected future need for specialist housing

8.25 The analysis above showed a total of 133 specialist units per 1,000 people aged 75 and over; this figure is lower than the national average of about 170. In projecting forward how many additional units might be required we have modelled on the basis of maintaining the 133 position and also the implications of increasing this to 170. The analysis is based on achieving these levels in all areas by 2031. The analysis has been linked to a demographic projection taking the midpoint of the housing need (some 5,003 units per annum).

- 8.26 Oxfordshire County Council currently works on the basis of seeking to provide 55 units of extra care housing per 1,000 people aged 75 and over as part of the overall specialist housing need.
- 8.27 The analysis shows to maintain the current level of provision there would need to be a further 5,564 units provided this figure increases to 8,958 if the level of provision were to get to the national average. The analysis also shows relatively little need for additional provision in Oxford and a relatively high level in West Oxfordshire. The low figure in Oxford is driven by the high current supply along with a less rapidly growing older person population. The higher figures in west Oxfordshire are for exactly the opposite reasons.

Area	0	2 133 per 1,00	0	@ 170 per 1,000			
	Need	Supply	Net need	Need	Supply	Net need	
Cherwell	2,657	1,961	696	3,397	1,961	1,436	
Oxford	1,568	1,535	33	2,004	1,535	469	
South Oxon	2,821	1,512	1,309	3,606	1,512	2,094	
VoWH	2,646	1,011	1,635	3,382	1,011	2,371	
West Oxon	2,505	614	1,891	3,202	614	2,588	
County	12,197	6,633	5,564	15,591	6,633	8,958	

Table 75: Projected need for specialist housing for older people

Source: Derived from demographic projections

- 8.28 It should be stressed that the analysis above is based on modelling data on a series of assumptions and should therefore be treated as indicative.
- 8.29 The figures in Table 75 reflect the net need for additional stock. They do not take account of 'replacement' provision for existing specialist accommodation which is no longer fit-for-purpose. It is expected that a significant proportion of the current specialist housing stock may need replacing or remodelling to meet modern requirements and disability standards. The gross need for specialist housing is thus expected to be higher than shown.

Types and tenures of specialist housing

- 8.30 The analysis above is not specific about the types of specialist housing that might be required; we would consider that decisions about mix should be taken at a local level taking account of specific needs and the current supply of different types of units available. There may also be the opportunity moving forward for different types of provision to be developed as well as the more traditional sheltered and Extra-Care housing.
- 8.31 Within the different models and assumptions made regarding the future need for specialist retirement housing (normally defined as a form of congregate housing designed exclusively for older people which usually offers some form of communal space, community alarm service and

access to support and care if required), there may for example be an option to substitute some of this specialist provision with a mix of one and two bedroomed housing aimed to attract 'early retired' older people which could be designated as age specific or not. Such housing could be part of the general mix of one and two bedroom homes but built to Lifetime Homes standards in order to attract retired older people looking to 'down size' but perhaps not wanting to live in specialist retirement housing.

- 8.32 It is however worth noting that at present the County Council work on the basis of providing around 55 units of extra-care housing per 1,000 people aged 75 and over. Information about the provision of extra-care housing is set out in Market Position Statements (MPS) which can be found on the Source Oxfordshire website www.sourceoxfordshire.org.uk; the County Council will keep the content of the MPS up to date.
- 8.33 Stakeholder work carried out as part of the SHMA has also identified that there is likely to be a demand for bungalows. Where developments including bungalows were found it was clear that these were very popular to older people downsizing. In reality it may be difficult to provide a significant number of bungalows moving forward given the typical plot size compared to floorspace however, there may be some merit in considering providing bungalows in locations where a specific demand for a household to downsize can be established.
- 8.34 Regarding the tenure mix; we have noted that at present there is a much higher level of supply in the affordable sector than for market housing whereas the majority of older person households are owner-occupiers. This would suggest moving forward that a greater emphasis could be placed on market specialist provision than has been the case in the past.
- 8.35 Decisions on the type and tenure of specialist housing should be arrived at through joint working between the County Council, district council and other stakeholders. Extra care is an example of the different types of provision which can be developed as well as the more traditional sheltered housing. Local plans should consider setting the framework for what provision of specialist housing is needed, and might consider seeking provision of specialist accommodation on strategic sites.

Registered Care Homes

8.36 As well as the need for specialist housing for older people the analysis needs to consider registered care home places. At present (according to Housing LIN) there are around 4,400 spaces in nursing and residential care homes. At the current time the County Council does not have plans to increase the number of bedspaces it funds in Registered Care Homes and is seeking to provide more community based alternatives such as Extra-Care housing. There is however a recognition that

there will be some additional need for particular groups such as those requiring care homes offering specialist nursing or for people with dementia.

Older Person Households - Conclusions

- 8.37 The older person population of Oxfordshire is about average when compared with national figures and is projected to increase significantly up until 2031.
- 8.38 Older persons are more likely to under-occupy homes. In the affordable sector, there may be potential to reduce (or seek to limit potential growth in) under-occupation and the Councils may wish to consider providing support and incentives to social housing occupiers to downsize. This will help to release larger affordable homes for younger households. An analysis of older person households suggest that they are more likely to live in social rented housing (especially single pensioner households). With the projected increases in older persons there may therefore be additional pressure on the affordable housing stock from such households.
- 8.39 Our analysis also suggests that the growing older population (particularly in the oldest age groups) will result in growth in households with specialist housing needs. Typically the greatest support needs are for alterations to properties (such as to bathrooms, showers and toilets, provision of emergency alarms or help maintaining homes). Many of these can be resolved in situ through adaptations to existing properties and the resource implications of this will need to be planned for.
- 8.40 The growing older population will however likely lead to some increase in requirements for specialist housing solutions. The analysis above suggests a 98% growth in older population with dementia, and an 82% increase in the older population with mobility problems. From a planning point of view, some of these people will require specialist housing such as sheltered or extra care provision. Increasing numbers of older people with health problems will also require joint-working between housing and health (Council and NHS).
- 8.41 Our analysis suggests a potential requirement for around 280-450 additional housing units to be specialist accommodation across the County to meet the needs of the older person population each year moving to 2031.

People with Disabilities

8.42 This section concentrates on the housing situation of people/households that contain someone with some form of disability. We have again drawn on Census data although at the time of writing the level of available Census data was quite limited. It should also be recognised that an analysis of people with disabilities is very strongly linked with the above analysis about older people.

- 8.43 The table below shows the proportion of people with a long-term health problem or disability (LTHPD) and the proportion of households where at least one person has a LTHPD. The data suggests that across Oxfordshire some 21.7% of households contain someone with a LTHPD. This figure is notably below the regional and national average. The figures for the population with a LTHPD again show a lower proportion when compared with regional and national figures (an estimated 13.7% of the population of Oxfordshire have a LTHPD).
- 8.44 There is some variation by location with a relatively low proportion of the population of Oxford having a LTHPD; the highest proportion was found in West Oxfordshire. Spatial differences are largely driven by different age structures in different locations. When looking at households with a LTHPD the differences between locations are less marked.

Area	Households containing someone with health problem			with health blem
	Number	%	Number	%
Cherwell	12,522	22.1%	20,072	14.1%
Oxford	11,986	21.6%	18,851	12.4%
South Oxon	11,595	21.4%	18,500	13.8%
VoWH	10,746	21.7%	17,184	14.2%
West Oxon	9,415	21.8%	15,149	14.5%
Oxfordshire	56,264	21.7%	89,756	13.7%
South East	839,086	23.6%	1,356,204	15.7%
England	5,659,606	25.7%	9,352,586	17.6%

 Table 76:
 Households and people with Long-Term Health Problem or Disability (2011)

Source: Census (2011)

8.45 It is likely that the age profile of the area will heavily impact upon the numbers of people with a LTHPD, as older people tend to be more likely to have a LTHPD. Therefore the table below shows the age bands of people with a LTHPD. It is clear from this analysis that those people in the oldest age bands are more likely to have a LTHPD – for example some 81% of people aged 85 and over have a LTHPD. It should be noted that the base for the figure below is slightly different to the above table in that it excludes people living in communal establishments.



Figure 65: Population with LTHPD in each Age Band

Source: Census (2011)

- 8.46 The age specific prevalence rates shown above can be applied to the demographic data to estimate the likely increase over time of the number of people with a LTHPD. In applying this information to our main demographic projections it is estimated that the number of people with a LTHPD will increase by around 47,100 (a 50% increase). The vast majority of this increase (76%) is expected to be in age groups aged 65 and over. The population increase of people with a LTHPD represents 21% of the total increase in the population projected by the demographic modelling.
- 8.47 Many people with disabilities are likely to want to live in their own homes, rather than in institutions. Properties are likely to require adaptations in some instances, whilst other households may require more specialist housing designed to meet their needs. Providing a choice of suitable housing (including in a range of tenures and a greater range of self-contained flats) will continue to be important to meeting the needs of households with disabilities.

Specialist housing for adults with care and support needs

8.48 The analysis above highlights that the number of people with a disability is expected to increase notably over the next few years. This appears to largely be due to an ageing population and a greater number of older people. It is however also worthwhile reflecting on the need for specialist housing for a changing adult population. For this analysis we have drawn on information provided by the County Council and included in the document 'A strategy for delivering an increased supply

of Specialist Housing for adults with care and support needs in Oxfordshire' (May 2013). The table below shows a summary of estimated requirements for the period 2013 to 2020.

Area	Number	%
Cherwell	82	21%
Oxford	102	26%
South Oxon	74	19%
VoWH	70	18%
West Oxon	63	16%
Oxfordshire	391	100%

 Table 77:
 Specialist Housing Requirements 2013-20 – adult population

Source: Oxfordshire County Council

8.49 The table shows a need for an additional 391 units of accommodation (about 56 per annum on average). Of these, around 38% are for people with physical disabilities, 25% for those with learning disabilities and 38% for people with mental health problems. More information about the specific types of accommodation, locations and client groups can be found in the County Council report.

People with disabilities - conclusions

8.50 Currently 22% of households contain someone with a long-term health problem or disability. Demographic trends are expected to lead to a significant growth in the population and number of households with disabilities over the period to 2031. Housing support services, including provision of adaptations to properties, will need to be adequately resources to take account of this.

BME Households

- 8.51 Black or Minority Ethnic (BME) households, as a group, are quite often found to have distinct characteristics in terms of their housing needs, or may be disadvantaged in some way.
- 8.52 From 2011 Census data we find that around 15% of the population of Oxfordshire came from a non-White (British/Irish) background. This figure is slightly lower than found across England but higher than the comparative regional figure (of 14%). The key BME groups in Oxfordshire are Other-White (which is likely to contain a number of Eastern European migrants) and Asian the Asian population makes up 4.8% of all people in the County.
- 8.53 Additional data analysis shows some large differences by location with the proportion of the population from a non-White (British/Irish) group varying from 7% in West Oxfordshire up to 35% in Oxford.

Ethnic Group	Cher- well	Oxford	South Oxon	VoWH	W Oxon	Oxford- shire	South East	Englan d
White:	87.1%	65.2%	91.7%	90.6%	93.2%	84.6%	86.1%	80.7%
British/Irish	07.170	00.270	91.770	30.070	90.270	04.070	00.170	00.7 70
White: Other	5.1%	12.4%	4.3%	4.4%	3.6%	6.3%	4.6%	4.7%
Mixed	1.8%	4.0%	1.3%	1.3%	1.2%	2.0%	1.9%	2.3%
Asian	4.3%	12.4%	1.8%	2.4%	1.4%	4.8%	5.2%	7.8%
Black	1.4%	4.6%	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%	1.7%	1.6%	3.5%
Other ethnic	0.4%	1.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.5%	0.6%	1.0%
group								
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total population	141,868	151,906	134,257	120,988	104,779	653,798	8,634,750	53,012,456
% non-White	12.9%	34.8%	8.3%	9.4%	6.8%	15.4%	13.9%	19.3%
(British/Irish)								

Source: ONS (2011 Census)

- 8.54 Since 2001 the BME population in the County can be seen to have increased significantly as shown in the figure below. The data shows that the overall population of Oxfordshire has risen by about 48,000 over the 10-year period with the White: British/Irish population making up just 1,000 of this increase.
- 8.55 Looking at particular BME groups we see that the largest rise in terms of population has been for the Asian population increasing by 17,300 over the ten years. The White: Other population has increased by a similar amount (17,000 more people).

Figure 66: Changes in the BME population 2001 to 2011 - Oxfordshire



Source: Census 2001 and 2011

BME Household Characteristics

- 8.56 Census data can also be used to provide some broad information about the household and housing characteristics of the BME population in the County. The figures below look at the household composition of six broad groups in the County using data from the 2011 Census.
- 8.57 The age profile of the BME population is striking when compared with White: British/Irish people (as shown in the figure below). All BME groups are considerably younger than the White (British/Irish) group with people from a Mixed background being particularly likely to be aged under 15 when compared with any other group. The proportions of older persons are also notable with 24.4% of White; British/Irish people being age 60 or over compared with all BME groups showing proportions of 8.4% or less



Figure 67: Population Age Profile (2011)

Source: Census (2011)

8.58 We have used 2011 Census data to provide an indication of the characteristics of BME households. Table 79 below shows estimates of the number of households in each BME group. Whilst the data broadly follows patterns for population it is notable that BME households make up a lower proportion of total households when compared with population proportions. This suggests higher average household sizes for most BME groups (as shown in the last column of the table).

Populatior	1	Household	ls	Average
No.	%	No.	%	hh size
553,092	84.6%	227,484	87.9%	2.43
40,912	6.3%	14,699	5.7%	2.78
13,233	2.0%	2,564	1.0%	5.16
31,657	4.8%	8,705	3.4%	3.64
11,424	1.7%	4,198	1.6%	2.72
3,480	0.5%	1,205	0.5%	2.89
653,798	100.0%	258,855	100.0%	2.53
	No. 553,092 40,912 13,233 31,657 11,424 3,480	553,092 84.6% 40,912 6.3% 13,233 2.0% 31,657 4.8% 11,424 1.7% 3,480 0.5%	No.%No.553,09284.6%227,48440,9126.3%14,69913,2332.0%2,56431,6574.8%8,70511,4241.7%4,1983,4800.5%1,205	No.%No.%553,09284.6%227,48487.9%40,9126.3%14,6995.7%13,2332.0%2,5641.0%31,6574.8%8,7053.4%11,4241.7%4,1981.6%3,4800.5%1,2050.5%

Table 79:	Number of Households by Ethnic Group (2011) and Average Household Size
-----------	--

Source: Census (2011)

8.59 Figure 68 shows the tenure split of households in each of six broad ethnic groups. The data shows that White (British/Irish) households are the most likely to be owner-occupiers with no mortgage (and owner-occupiers more generally). Black households show high proportions living in social rented housing whilst all BME groups are more likely than average to live in the private rented sector. Levels of outright ownership amongst BME households are very low.





Source: 2011 Census

8.60 Figure 69 shows 'occupancy ratings' by BME group; this is based on the bedroom standard where a positive figure indicates under-occupancy and negative figures suggest some degree of overcrowding. The data clearly shows that all BME groups are more likely to be overcrowded (i.e. have a negative occupancy rating) than White (British/Irish) households. In particular, the Census data suggests that 15% of Asian households are overcrowded - this compares with only 2.4% of the White (British/Irish) group. Levels of under-occupation are also very low amongst BME groups.



Figure 69: Occupancy Rating by Ethnic Group - Oxfordshire

Source: 2011 Census

BME Conclusions

- 8.61 The BME population in Oxfordshire has grown strongly since 2001. The 2011 Census shows that BME groups make up 15% of the County's population (up from 9% in 2001). The Asian and White: Other populations (which includes Eastern European migrants) have both grown notably.
- 8.62 BME households appear to be typically younger and less likely to be owner occupiers than the White (British/Irish) population; there is also a greater reliance on the private rented sector. BME households are also more likely to be overcrowded and less likely to under-occupy dwellings.
- 8.63 The implications of this are more for housing strategy than planning, and suggest a need to consider particularly how the needs of different groups are met within the local housing market, to explore the reasons for higher levels of overcrowding in BME communities and how this can be addressed. It will also be important to consider the role which the Private Rented Sector plays in meeting needs of new migrant communities and the standards of housing in this sector. Investigating these issues in greater detail may assist development of strategic housing policies.

Households with Children (Family Households)

8.64 The number of families in Oxfordshire (defined for the purpose of this assessment as any household which contains at least one dependent child) currently totals 75,920 accounting for 29.3% of households. A demographic projection linked to the midpoint housing need (for 5,003 units per annum) suggests that the number of children (aged Under 15) is expected to increase markedly from 2011 to 2031 (an increase of around 38,300 – 33%).

Table 80:	Households with Dependent Children (2011)	
-----------	---	--

Household type	Number	%
Married couple	46,781	18.1%
Cohabiting couple	9,268	3.6%
Lone parent	14,257	5.5%
Other households	5,614	2.2%
All other households (no dependent children)	182,935	70.7%
Total	258,855	100.0%
Total with dependent children	75,920	29.3%
Source: ONS (2011 Census)	÷	-

Source: ONS (2011 Census)

Figure 70 below shows the current tenure of households with dependent children. There are some 8.65 considerable differences by household type with lone parents having a very high proportion living in the social rented sector and also in private rented accommodation. Around 35% of lone parent households are owner-occupiers compared with 75% of married couples with children.



Figure 70: Tenure of Households with Dependent Children - Oxfordshire

Source: 2011 Census

8.66 Overcrowding is often a key theme when looking at the housing needs of households with children and the figure below shows that households with children are about four times more likely than other households to be overcrowded. In total, some 6.8% of all households with dependent children are overcrowded and included within this the data shows 9% of lone parent households are overcrowded along with 30% of 'other' households with dependent children. Other than for married couple households levels of under-occupancy are also very low.



Figure 71: Occupancy Rating and Households with Dependent Children

Source: 2011 Census data (from NOMIS)

Households with Children - Conclusions

8.67 Overall, the somewhat limited data available about family households suggests that this group may be quite polarised. Whilst married couple households have high levels of owner-occupation and may well be slightly better off than the general population the data does point to lone parent (and other) households being more disadvantaged. Given that households with children should be seen as a priority for the Councils this points towards ensuring that the housing offer meets the needs of such households and in particular the need to ensure a reasonable quality of housing in the private rented sector.

Young people

- 8.68 Providing for the needs of younger person households is an important consideration for the Councils. Given ageing populations the ability to retain young people in an area can assist in providing a more balanced demographic profile as well as providing a vital part of the local workforce. Young people may however find barriers to accessing housing given typically low incomes and potential difficulties in securing mortgage finance due to deposit requirements.
- 8.69 The demographic projections suggest that in 2011 there were around 45,000 households headed by someone aged under 35 and that this is set to increase by around 9,800 over the period from 2011 to 2031 (based on a midpoint demographic projection linked to delivery of 5,003 homes per annum across the County).
- 8.70 As well as households headed by a younger person there will be others living as part of another household (typically with parents). The table below shows the number of households in the County

with non-dependent children. In total, some 9% of households (23,100) contain non-dependent children. This may to some degree highlight the difficulties faced by young people in accessing housing. Ineligibility for social housing, lower household incomes and the unaffordability of owner occupation for such age groups all contribute to the current trend for young people moving in with or continuing to live with parents.

Household type	Number	%
Married couple	14,420	5.6%
Cohabiting couple	1,121	0.4%
Lone parent	7,517	2.9%
All other households	235,797	91.1%
Total	258,855	100.0%
Total with non-dependent children	23,058	8.9%

Table 81: Households with non-dependent children (2011)

Source: ONS (2011 Census)

8.71 Moving back to study households that are currently headed by a younger person (taken for this analysis as being aged under 34) we can use Census data to look at some key characteristics. The figure below shows the tenure groups of these households (compared with other age groups). The data clearly shows that very few younger households are owner-occupiers with a particular reliance on the private rented sector and to a lesser degree social rented housing.



Figure 72: Tenure by Age of HRP - Oxfordshire

Source: 2011 Census

- 8.72 Census data can also be used to look at economic activity rates; including employment and unemployment levels. Data about this is shown in the table below (again based on the head of household/household reference person age). The data shows that whilst the vast majority of HRPs aged 16 to 34 are in employment there are a notable proportion unemployed or not economically active. A total of 3.2% of HRPs aged 16-34 are unemployed compared with a County-wide figure of just 1.7%.
- 8.73 The figure however does not tell the full story around unemployment as the data is based on people who are already living in their own household (or in this case are considered as the HRP or head of household). Additional Census data shows that of the population aged 16-24 who are economically active some 12% are unemployed.



Figure 73: Economic activity by age of HRP - Oxfordshire

Young People - Conclusions

8.74 Analysis of younger person households shows a high reliance on rented housing. Younger age cohorts may therefore be forced into private rented (including shared) housing as the only means of meeting their housing needs, aside from residing with parents, where they would not form a head of household. Factors such as a balanced approach to housing in terms of bedroom sizes and property types, along with high standards for Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs) will help younger households to access housing.

Source: 2011 Census

Self- and Custom-Build

- 8.75 SHMAs need to investigate the contribution that self-build makes toward the local supply. It is referred to in the NPPF and in 'Laying the Foundations a Housing Strategy for England 2010'. The strategy states that only one in 10 new homes in Britain was self-built in 2010 that the barriers for the sector to grow are lack of land, limited finance and mortgage products, restrictive regulation and a lack of impartial information for potential custom home builders. However the Government aspires to make self-build a 'mainstream housing option' by making funding available to support self-builders and by asking local authorities to champion the sector. Up to £30m of funding has been made available via the Custom Build programme administered by the HCA to provide short-term project finance to help unlock group custom build or self-build schemes. The fund can be used to cover eligible costs such as land acquisition, site preparation, infrastructure, S106 planning obligations etc.
- 8.76 Recent research into the self-build market is limited. The Joseph Rowntree Foundation report '*The current state of the self-build housing market* (2001)'. However market conditions have changed since then and government schemes such as help to buy and increased competition amongst house-builders has arguably removed some of the motivation from potential self-builders.
- 8.77 Interestingly, the media has focussed on custom build. We are aware of many popular radio and TV programmes that have made case studies of custom build projects. The genre is also significant from an investment point of view given that there have been very poor returns on personal or business deposits since 2008.
- 8.78 Since the publication of the NPPF we have carried out bespoke qualitative research into self-build as part of our SHMA methodology. We have sought information from local authority planning departments; estate agents, the internet and a small number of self-builders. We have adopted a wide definition of self-build and conclude that the term 'custom build' is probably a better description of the sector. This is because the initiator can be involved in construction, produce or project manage the project or simply commission a project for professionals and house builders to deliver. The initiator may not be the occupier, seeing the project as an investment for sale or rent.
- 8.79 Quantitative information is hard to come by. Planning officers are not required to keep records as and frequently draw our attention to the fact that a custom build classification is not included on the national 1APP planning forms and/or the building regulations forms. Information from local authority planning officers is therefore anecdotal. Most officers tell us that activity as a percentage of completions is low, ranging from 'next to nothing' to 10% although most say that the level is under 5%. The evidence from our discussions with officers at the Oxfordshire authorities confirms this. They tell us that dwellings can have design merit but can require more input from planning and

building control officers. Two local authorities have told us about estate level self-build schemes completed in the 1980's where the local authority made serviced land available. We were told in one case that the project resulted in an 'an eclectic mix' of dwellings being built. Officers also refer to the construction of annexes. These will be standalone self-contained dwellings and are often constructed for employees or family members some of whom may be frail or disabled in some way. Planning officers told us that planning conditions are mostly applied to ensure that occupancy is connected to the main dwelling in some way.

- 8.80 Estate agents tell us that they are frequently involved in valuations where there is potential for 'intensification', for example the potential for constructing dwellings on large gardens or corner plots. Local planning policy may be adopted to restrict this if it is considered that an area is in danger of being over developed. Lack of open space and problematic car parking and infrastructure capacity have been cited as considerations. Agents involved in the re-sale of custom built housing tell us that they rarely encounter problems with lenders as surveyors are always involved in the process. Estate agents tell us that older low density estates can attract custom builders. They have cited areas where small low value dwellings on large plots have been demolished and new dwellings established. They tell us that custom build tends to occur mostly in areas that are becoming fashionable, within rural settlements and in coastal areas and some will be second homes.
- 8.81 Whilst most new housing is constructed by the volume house builders we have come across many local house builders who develop small plots for individual clients many for the rental market. Landlords have told us that this is a more cost effective route than purchasing off volume builders. Some landlords generate significant cash surpluses from their portfolio and choose to invest in this way as returns are more attractive than other investments.
- 8.82 At the other end of the scale we have interviewed entrepreneurs and individuals with large savings who will use their local knowledge and skill to acquire individual vacant plots and custom build. Two such entrepreneurs we came across were planning to use the dwelling in the tourist rather than residential sector. We have also come across a medium size regional house builder whose key selling point is that he will customise his products for the purchaser and was offering dwellings within the Help to Buy scheme.
- 8.83 Finally we have reviewed a number of websites dedicated to advising and assisting people to selfbuild. Some assist in the brokerage of individual building plots and this is part of our SHMA information gathering.
- 8.84 Overall the evidence we have collected across England, and looking more specifically at Oxfordshire, suggest that this is a niche sector, but one that is not necessarily only delivering high value bespoke homes. It is clear that much activity is undertaken by entrepreneurs aimed at more

modest homes for sale or rent and in doing so making better use of land that may detract from the local environment. It is also clear that the role of a local champion for the sector is a step that needs to be taken if further interest is to be generated. It is noteworthy that all of the examples of custom build we have come across have been commissioned by individuals or entrepreneurs with savings, rather than borrowings. These points will need to be addressed if custom build is to become 'a mainstream option'.

8.85 In policy terms there is some potential to encourage through policy developers of larger schemes to designate parts of these scheme as serviced plots which can be developed as self-build. There is some, albeit limited evidence of this. It is difficult to demonstrate concrete evidence of demand.

Student Population Growth and Accommodation Needs

- 8.86 Understanding student housing demand in Oxfordshire and its impact on the overall housing market is an important part of this SHMA. In doing so we have drawn on existing studies and data, supplemented by stakeholder consultation.
- 8.87 The key issues which need to be understood are:
 - Expected growth in student numbers (overall and relative to past trends); and
 - The balance between development of this and new student bedspaces.
- 8.88 Understanding this will allow to consider the implications of students on the private rented sector and the wider housing market.

Student Numbers

8.89 Figure 74 below shows the increase of the number of students at Oxford University and Oxford Brookes University between 2002/3 – 2011/12 rising from approximately 41,500 students to 44,015 (an increase of 6%). The increase in student numbers over this period has been much more pronounced in Oxford University (increasing by 11% to over 25,500 in 2011/12) than Oxford Brookes University where the student numbers have been broadly stable (18, 425 in 2011/12).



Figure 74: Total Student Numbers 2002/3 – 2011/12

Source: Higher Education Statistics Agency

- 8.90 There has been an increase in full time students (15%) since 2002/3 whilst the number of part time students has decreased (-6%).
- 8.91 At the University of Oxford, the number of full time (14%) and part time students (5%) has increased over the decade to 2012. At Oxford Brookes, the increase in full- time students has been slightly higher (16%) but there has been a fairly significant decrease in the number of part time students (-28%).
- 8.92 The higher education sector has been turbulent over the past year with university fee increases from September 2012. It is currently unclear what the impact of this will be on student numbers, albeit that we would expect Oxford University in particular to be less impacted than other UK higher education institutions.
- 8.93 Over the decade to 2012, Oxford University saw a small increase in the number of UK students (3%) and saw the percentage of EU and Non EU students increase by over a third (55% and 36% respectively). Oxford Brookes, on the other hand, saw decreases in the UK (-1%) and non EU population (-6%) with an increase in the EU population 27%.
- 8.94 The overall increase in EU and non–EU students in Oxford reflects the high calibre University which attracts students from all over the world.



Figure 75: UK, EU and Non- Student Numbers 2002/3 - 2011/12

Source: Higher Education Statistics Agency

Student Accommodation

- 8.95 In 2008, a study entitled The Economic Role of the Higher Education, Health and Health Retail Sectors in the Oxford Economy written by NLP concluded that the space requirements of core academic and research activities could largely be accommodated on established or committed university sites, but sites may be needed for student accommodation.
- 8.96 The number of student accommodation units in halls of residence can be shown in Table 82 below.²⁴ It is clear from this table that the number of student accommodation units has risen for both Universities since 1998. Despite the increase in student accommodation provision, the vacancy rate of student accommodation for both universities is thought to be low.

²⁴ Student Numbers in Oxford Paper April, Tim Sadler (2012)

Year	Oxford University	Oxford Brookes University
1998 /99	13, 091	N / A
2000	N / A	2, 928
2004 /5	13, 650	3, 784
2005/ 6	13, 863	3, 639
2006/ 7	13, 799	3, 639
2007/ 8	13, 799	3, 742
2008/ 9	13, 720	3, 674
2009/ 10	14, 058	3, 516
2010/ 11	13, 852	4, 094

Source: Oxford City Council Annual Monitoring Reports Cited in Student Numbers in Oxford Paper (2012)

- 8.97 Encouraging the universities to develop new purpose-built halls of residence to reduce the impact of students on the private rented market has been a long-standing policy of Oxford City Council. Both universities have undertaken a considerable development programme in recent decades; however part of this has been for replacement of sub-standard provision.
- 8.98 In addition to spaces in halls, Oxford Brookes University has housed approximately 400 students in its Head Lease Scheme, whereby the University rents properties from private landlords.
- 8.99 Some students however live in the Private Rented Sector, the majority in Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs).
- 8.100 Oxford City Council has agreed with each of the universities that the number of students living in the City outside of University-provided accommodation should be limited to 3,000; and that no increase in university academic floorspace would be allowed if this level is exceeded.
- 8.101 As at December 2012, there were an estimated 3,508 Oxford University students living outside of University accommodation. The University had an additional 542 bedspaces under construction. For Oxford Brookes University, there were an estimated 3,836 students living outside of University accommodation.
- 8.102 Looking outside of purpose built student accommodation; a 2012 paper found that 50% of Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs) in the City are occupied by students from the University of Oxford and University of Oxford Brookes.

University Past and Future Growth

Oxford University

- 8.103 The University of Oxford has grown (in terms of space) significantly over the past decade. In 2008, a report by NLP²⁵ stated that the size of the estate had increased by 34% over the past 10 years. Looking forward, the development of science and other facilities are due to be developed in areas including the former Radford Infirmary site and the Science area (South Parks Road). In addition, colleges may apply for redevelopment / expansions.
- 8.104 Despite the potential for expansion of the University, The University plans to have a policy of a "19,400 celling" on full-time students. Within this, the proportion of post graduate students looks likely to increase more than the proportion of post graduates.²⁶ This was confirmed by a conversation with The University of Oxford, which indicates that overall future student numbers are not anticipated to increase looking forward. It follows that whilst there may be some refurbishment of existing accommodation, no large student residential schemes are planned over the next few years. Instead, student growth will be static or may increase incrementally.
- 8.105 Indeed, the only area that the University foresee growth in is associated with research (e.g. postdoctoral researchers working in experimental sciences). Growth is envisaged in this area, with plans for creation of 1,000 new research posts. This will create additional demand for housing.
- 8.106 The University expressed concern about the lack of suitable housing for these researchers and those working in university departments (e.g. the University Press and other University departments employ over 10,000 people). The University and colleges already subsidise housing through low interest mortgages and subsidised rents; and have expressed concern regarding the impact of high housing costs on their ability to attract and retain academics and support staff. The University stressed that many of these employees and researchers are on modest incomes and that high housing and transport costs are problematic. It was thought that the lack of housing and suitable accommodation is more acute for older students (in their mid to late 20s), such as post graduate students, rather than undergraduate students.
- 8.107 The University also mentioned the restriction of Policy CS25 in the adopted Oxford Core Strategy and touched on how the policy caps the number of students in the private rented sector at 3,000.
- 8.108 Oxford City Council has suggested that the University may follow the example of a number of American universities and Cambridge University, and develop its own housing directly.

²⁵ The Economic Role of the Higher Education, Health and Retail Sectors in the Oxford Economy, NLP (2008)

²⁶ Student Numbers in Oxford Paper April, Tim Sadler (2012)

Oxford Brookes University

- 8.109 The Headington campus at Oxford Brookes University grew in the 1990s. In the University's 'Our Strategy for 2020'²⁷²⁸ and 'Our Year Ahead' shows that Oxford Brookes in keen to expand further and improve its estate. For example, the University plans: to undertake essential works to Gypsy Lane.
- 8.110 A conversation with Oxford Brookes University showed that steady student growth is predicted looking forward. It is hoped the current number of students will be retained, rather than increase or decrease over the next few years. The University mentioned its partner colleges e.g. Solihull College may see increases in student numbers (possibly resulting in a slight decrease in the number of students at the University of Oxford Brookes) but this would not be significant.
- 8.111 Linked to the stable number of students looking forward, The University of Oxford Brookes does not have plans for the development of new halls. The University instead plans to manage and improve its existing accommodation. For example, the University said that there will be re-development of rooms at Harcourt Hill. It expects to move the residential element of its Wheatley campus in South Oxfordshire to Gypsy Lane in Oxford.
- 8.112 The University said that they were not expecting to have voids over the next few years. The University mentioned the policy restricting the number of students in the community to 3, 000. In the last academic year (2012/13) the university had just over 2,800 students looking for a place of residence outside of University accommodation. Looking forward, the university plans to ensure that the number of students in the community remain below 3, 000.
- 8.113 The University does not anticipate significant increases in the number of students living at home to save money as a result of the rise in tuition fees. It was thought that a movement of students living at home with their parents was more likely to be seen in major conurbations and cities e.g. Birmingham / Manchester rather than in Oxfordshire. However, it was noted that it is difficult to predict such changes.

Other Students

8.114 Other than the two universities, there are a number of language and business schools in Oxford which attract students to the City. The daily info website lists 48 English language schools in Oxford City, including some which offer wider tutoring. There are other institutions in addition to these providing business-focused skills and training, including Oxford Media and Business School and

²⁷ Student Numbers in Oxford Paper April, Tim Sadler (2012)

²⁸ http://www.brookes.ac.uk/about-brookes/strategy-2020/our-strategy-for-2020/

Oxford Business College, as well as wider vocational and further education courses. The latter includes Oxford College, the City of Oxford College.

8.115 This segment of the education sector is likely to have some impact on the housing market as well, particularly in creating demand for private rented accommodation (including HMOs) given the flexible and short-term tenancies which some students might seek.

Conclusions on Student Needs

- 8.116 The policy framework restricting the scale of students living outside of University accommodation is likely to moderate the impact of changes in student numbers on the housing market. The University of Oxford's proposals for growth particularly in the STEM subjects and medical sciences however may create an additional 1,200 jobs and 1,000 post graduate student places. Whilst some may live in student accommodation, the post graduate students may be more likely to exercise choice in the wider housing market. Some may for instance move with their families. This may have some impact on the housing market locally (and has been considered through the economic-driven projections).
- 8.117 Growth in student numbers from the two universities is likely to be controlled through the cap put in place through the Oxford Core Strategy which seeks to manage delivery of additional academic floorspace and student accommodation. However additional growth in non-university education could feasibly create additional demand pressures particularly within the private rented sector. The number of language schools and other institutions however makes it difficult to accurately judge and quantify the potential scale of impact. This may be an area in which the Council could consider further specific research.

Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment: April 2014

9 CONCLUSIONS

- 9.1 In this final section of the report we draw together the preceeding analysis to identify the key conclusions of the SHMA. The SHMA responds to the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), and is particularly focused on considering three questions:
 - How many homes might need to be developed in the future;
 - What mix of homes is needed;
 - What housing might be needed to meet the needs of specific groups within the population.

The Oxfordshire HMA

- 9.2 The SHMA identifies an Oxford-focused Housing Market Area which extends across much of the County, reflecting the economic influence of the City. The county still remains the most appropriate geography for analysis of housing markets in terms of the 'best fit' of local authority boundaries to a functional housing market area. It is thus appropriate for the Oxfordshire authorities to collaborate in the development of an SHMA which aligns to the County geography.
- 9.3 However there are links, in housing market and economic terms, between parts of Oxfordshire and surrounding areas, including major employment centres close to the county's boundaries, particularly Reading (the influence of which tends into South Oxfordshire including Henley-on-Thames) and Swindon (the influence of which extends into the Vale of White Horse). In the north of the county, the economic and housing market influence of Banbury and Bicester extend into South Northamptonshire and Aylesbury Vale respectively.

How many homes might be needed?

9.4 The NPPF sets out that plans should be based on meeting the full need for market and affordable housing where it is sustainable to do so. Paragraph 159 is clear that demographic projections, affordable housing need and market signals are all relevant in identifying the need for housing.

Latest National Household Projections

- 9.5 The Planning Practice Guidance sets out that the latest national household projections should be seen as a starting point for considering housing need. It sets out that these are statistically robust and based on nationally consistent assumptions.
- 9.6 The CLG 2011-based Household Projections indicate a net increase of 18,250 households between 2011-21, representing a 7.0% increase in households. This is notably below the average growth expected in the South East (10.8%) and nationally (10%). The lower level of growth is mainly due to a negative figure for projected household growth in Oxford, although growth in South Oxfordshire

and to a lesser extent Vale of White Horse is also low set against the wider market evidence and regional/ national projections. It is clear that the figures for Oxford are not realistic. In contrast the projections for West Oxfordshire are above the regional and national averages and correlate with stronger (above target) housing delivery over the 2005-10 period.

Area	Households 2011	Households 2021	Change in households	% change from 2011
Cherwell	56,881	63,765	6,884	12.1%
Oxford	54,997	53,613	-1,384	-2.5%
South Oxfordshire	54,387	57,989	3,602	6.6%
Vale of White Horse	49,781	53,656	3,875	7.8%
West Oxfordshire	43,510	48,784	5,274	12.1%
Oxfordshire	259,556	277,807	18,251	7.0%

Table 83: Projected Household Growth 2011-21 – CLG 2011-based Household Projections

Source: CLG 2011-based Household Projections

9.7 A further issue is that the 2011-based Household Projections only cover a ten year period to 2021. The Planning Practice Guidance is clear that plan makers would need to assess likely trends after 2021 to align with development plan periods. However it also sets out that account should be taken of the most recent demographic evidence.

Core Demographic Projections

- 9.8 The core demographic projections have been developed through a process of testing and updating the CLG 2011-based Interim Household Projections, specifically:
 - Updating assumptions on future migration to take account of 2011 Census, ONS revisions' to population components of change data for the 2001-11 period, and 2012 Mid-Year Population estimates;
 - Work with Oxford City Council to develop a bespoke projection for the City's population, taking
 account of the substantial under-recording of population growth in the City by ONS shown by
 the 2011 Census. This has looked at detailed population change between 2001-11 by single
 year of age and sex;
 - Remodelling headship rates based on constant headship rates (by age and sex) between 2011-31, as opposed to the 2011 based CLG projections which project reducing household formation rates. This adjustment has effectively removed the trend towards further constraints to household formation in the 2011-based Projections. Rates of household formation derived from this analysis are close to tracking the trends in household size in the 2008-based Household Projections.
- 9.9 These amendments ensure that an appropriate projection for population growth in Oxford is used which is consistent to past trends, and that the recessionary impact in constraining household formation is not projected forwards.
- 9.10 The outputs of these projections (shown in terms of annual need for housing over the 2011-31 period) are shown below:

	Households 2011	Households 2031	Change in households	% change from 2011	Housing Requirement	Housing Requirement (per annum)
Cherwell	56,882	69,219	12,336	21.7%	12,830	641
Oxford	54,999	69,523	14,524	26.4%	15,105	755
South Oxfordshire	54,391	63,610	9,219	17.0%	9,634	482
Vale of White Horse	49,781	58,856	9,074	18.2%	9,365	468
West Oxfordshire	43,509	53,789	10,280	23.6%	10,815	541
Oxfordshire	259,562	314,996	55,434	21.4%	57,748	2,887

Table 84: Demographic Trend-based Projection to 2031
--

- 9.11 These projections indicate a need for almost 2,900 homes per year across Oxfordshire. This represents the core demographic projection prepared.
- 9.12 For comparison purposes Table 85 below sets out the relevant national household projections (both the 2008-based Household Projections and the 2011-based Interim Household Projections).

	2008 CLG Household Projections	2011 CLG Household Projections	GLH Demographic- based Projections
	2011-31	2011-21	2011-31
Cherwell	671	688	617
Oxford	302	-138	726
South Oxfordshire	470	360	461
Vale of White Horse	412	388	465
West Oxfordshire	562	527	514
Oxfordshire	2416	1825	2772

Table 85: Comparison with National (CLG) Household Projections

9.13 For the Oxfordshire HMA, the core demographic projections indicate a level of housing need based on the most recent demographic evidence which is 15% above the 2008-based CLG Household Projections, and 52% above the 2011-based Household Projections (particularly as a result of the issues with the projections for Oxford).

Taking account of Past Housing Delivery

- 9.14 The next step in deriving an assessment of housing need is to consider past housing delivery against the South East Plan housing requirement, and to include provision to make good any past shortfall at a local authority level where appropriate.
- 9.15 Making good a past shortfall in housing provision will support more affordable housing delivery and workforce growth. It should similarly be recognised that a past shortfall in housing provision is likely

to have contributed to the affordable housing backlog (current need) and to the case and to market signals pointing towards a need to increase housing supply.

9.16 Drawing this together with the demographic projections can be used to define a revised baseline for future housing provision.

	GLH Demographic-based Projections, 2011-31	Past Shortfall	Revised Assessment of Need (Demographic- Driven)
		2006-11	2011-31
Cherwell	12,830	808	13,638 (682 pa)
Oxford	15,105	528 ²⁹	15,633 (782 pa)
South Oxfordshire	9,634	1398	11,032 (552 pa)
Vale of White Horse	9,365	801	10,166 (508 pa)
West Oxfordshire	10,815	0	10,815 (541 pa)
Oxfordshire	57,748	-	61,284 (3064 pa)

Table 86: Including Provision for Past Housing Delivery Shortfall – Housing Need per Year 2011-31

9.17 West Oxfordshire stands out as having delivered significantly higher housing provision relative to its South East Plan targets over the 2006-11 period. It delivered almost 1,400 additional homes over and above its housing target. This level of growth was a result of several urban extensions coming forward at the same time, resulting in high levels of in-migration which have influenced household projections moving forward. As such, the District Council may wish to further consider this in light of the Planning Practice Guidance which highlights the need to consider previous over-supply as well as under-supply. No adjustment to figures has been made at the SHMA, but there is potentially a good basis for doing so with reference to previous household projections and needs' assessments alongside the South East Plan targets.

Overlaying Economic Performance

- 9.18 The Planning Practice Guidance sets out that plan makers should assess likely growth in job numbers. Where labour supply is less than projected job growth, this could result in unsustainable commuting patterns and could reduce the resilience of local businesses (depending on public transport accessibility and other sustainable travel options).
- 9.19 Paragraph 158 in the NPPF sets out that evidence and strategies for housing and employment in local plans should align with one another.

²⁹ Including Strategic Development Area

- 9.20 The SHMA has considered two economic scenarios. The first (which we have called herein the baseline scenario) considers a 'business as usual' case, with some adjustments to take of the issues related to ONS Population Projections and the nature of the education sector in the County. In both cases these have the effect of increasing projected employment growth.
- 9.21 Table 87 profiles these projections alongside the demographic projections considered above.

Table 87: Overlaying the Baseline Scenario for Employment Growth – Housing Need, 2011-31 Demographic-Based Meeting Alternative

2011-31	Demographic-Based Need		Domographic Nood + Past		Meeting Alternative Population Economic Projection	
	2011-31	PA	2011-31	PA	2011-31	PA
Cherwell	12,830	642	13,638	682	14,862	743
Oxford	15,105	755	15,633	782	5,781	289
South Oxfordshire	9,634	482	11,032	552	13,105	655
Vale of White Horse	9,365	468	10,166	508	12,453	623
West Oxfordshire	10,815	541	10,815	541	11,794	590
Oxfordshire	57,748	2,887	61,284	3,064	57,996	2,900

- 9.22 The analysis shows that across the Oxfordshire HMA, an upwards adjustment to housing provision would not be necessary to support the baseline scenario for employment growth.
- 9.23 Oxfordshire Local Enterprise Partnership's Strategic Economic Plan does not however seek to plan on a 'business as usual' basis, and indeed there are a significant number of economic investment projects in the pipeline which mean that economic performance is likely to be stronger than that indicated in the Baseline Scenario.
- 9.24 Key investment projects and sector growth opportunities have been considered in the development of the Committed Economic Growth Scenario for employment growth. This has fed into both the LEP's Strategic Economic Plan and the SHMA.
- 9.25 The NPPF is clear (in Paragraph 158) that the evidence base and policies for housing and employment should be aligned with one another.
- 9.26 To support the Committed Economic Growth Scenario, the SHMA indicates that provision of 4,280 homes per year over the period to 2031 would be needed. This is a significant 40% uplift on the assessed housing need based on past demographic trends (with the inclusion of provision to redress past shortfalls in housing delivery where applicable).

- 9.27 This level of housing provision compares to delivery of an average of 2,415 homes per annum between 2002-12, and 2,468 homes per annum over the 2001-8 period prior to the credit crunch. Even compared to the pre credit-crunch figures, it would represent an uplift of over 70% on annual delivery rates. Given that this is likely to be some lead in time to increasing housing supply, in the middle and latter parts of the 20 year period to 2031 significantly higher levels of housing delivery would need to be achieved.
- 9.28 Past housing delivery is not however necessarily a good benchmark of future performance; and the projections are based on what is considered to be a realistic scenario for future economic performance.

2011-31	Demographic Need + Past Shortfall		Meeting Alternative Population Economic Projection		Meeting Committed Economic Growth Forecasts	
	2011-31	PA	2011-31	PA	2011-31	PA
Cherwell	13,638	682	14,862	743	22,841	1142
Oxford	15633	782	5,781	289	14,008	700
South Oxfordshire	11,032	552	13,105	655	14,972	749
Vale of White Horse	10,166	508	12,453	623	20,559	1028
West Oxfordshire	10,815	541	11,794	590	13,213	661
Oxfordshire	61,284	3,064	57,996	2,900	85,593	4280

 Table 88: Overlaying the Committed Economic Growth Scenario for Employment Growth –

 Housing Need, 2011-31

- 9.29 Above trend economic performance and housing delivery could, in theory, however have some impacts in adjoining and competitor market areas, albeit recognising that a significant proportion of additional employment growth in the Committed Economic Growth Scenario is aligned to investment projects and sectors where there is a notable degree of additionally at a wider regional or national level. This recognises that there is some degree of competition between areas for economic investment.
- 9.30 Higher housing provision to meet these forecasts relative to the demographic-based projections would be necessary to support growth in the workforce. In planning for these higher levels of housing provision to support economic growth, we consider that there would be some headroom to contribute to meeting potential shortfalls in housing provision (assessed against demographic-based projections) from adjoining areas, should these arise. Current and emerging planning policies for surrounding areas are set out in Appendix 3. This is consistent with the approach in the Planning Practice Guidance on using a common starting point in nationally-based household projections; and its emphasis on economic factors influencing the location of housing development to support economic growth.

- 9.31 The Planning Practice Guidance is clear that national household projections provide the starting point for assessing housing need, not least as they are nationally consistent and their findings 'sum' at a national level. Whilst it is clearly appropriate to adjust these to ensure that supply and demand balance at an HMA level, in increasing the level of population growth which is expected relative to trend-based projections, we consider that there is some potential to meet unmet needs from adjoining HMAs which may not be able to support population growth in line with trends, whilst ensuring that across a wider area and nationally there is no overall shortfall.
- 9.32 The lower economic-driven projections in Oxford relative to the demographic-based projections are a function of the City's younger age structure relative to other parts of the County.

Taking Account of Market Signals

- 9.33 Market signals point towards an imbalance between housing supply and demand during the 1997-2002 period in which the affordability of market housing deteriorated significantly. Since 2005 the lower quartile house price to lower quartile earnings ratio has remained broadly stable.
- 9.34 The market signals indicate that Oxfordshire is a relatively high value market. They indicate strong house price growth over the pre-recession decade; and suggest that has been more resilient and is recovering more quickly than other parts of the region (and England more widely). In relative terms the analysis suggests that the strongest demand pressures are in Oxford; followed by the south of the county (Vale of White Horse and South Oxfordshire). In relative terms, the market signals suggest that there is less market pressure in Cherwell District and West Oxfordshire.
- 9.35 In Oxford in particular the analysis points towards significant affordability pressures, both in regard to the (un)affordability of market housing and in terms of an acute shortage of affordable housing. Oxford has some of the highest land values in the region. Lower quartile house prices are 10 times lower quartile earnings.
- 9.36 Reflecting the focus of the housing offer on larger properties, overcrowding is below average in the HMA with the exception of Oxford where 6.2% of households are overcrowded. The intensity of occupation of the housing stock appears to have increased between 2001-11, but to a lesser degree than across the South East or England.
- 9.37 The analysis above proposes a significant upward adjustment to housing provision across the HMA in order to make-good past under-delivery against South East Plan targets; and to support economic growth. It is realistic to assume that the 77% increase in housing provision envisaged in the Committed Economic Growth Scenario at the HMA level relative to delivery over the 2002-12 decade could potentially contribute to some improvement in affordability. In considering this issue,

we are mindful that house prices are influenced not just by local factors but by the supply-demand balance at a wider regional level.

9.38 The market signals do however provide some justification for considering the case of Oxford in more detail. We address this below taking account of the market signals alongside the affordable housing needs evidence.

Enhancing Affordable Housing Delivery

- 9.39 The Planning Practice Guidance sets out that the total affordable housing need should be considered in the context of its likely delivery as a proportion of mixed market and affordable housing developments, given the probable percentage of affordable housing to be delivered by market housing led developments. An increase in the total housing figures should be considered where it would help to deliver the required number of affordable homes.
- 9.40 The reality however is that not all affordable housing is delivered through S106 Agreements with some sites delivering higher than policy requirements; whilst on the other hand a proportion of sites will fall below the threshold requiring affordable housing provision whilst in some instances target levels of affordable housing provision may not be viable. On balance for the purposes of the SHMA, it is considered appropriate to take a middle ground by assuming delivery in line with the typical policy requirement of each authority.
- 9.41 Table 89 sets out some indicative modelling based on current policies for affordable housing delivery on what theoretical level of affordable housing might be necessary year-on-year to deliver the affordable housing need identified. This assumes 50% of housing delivered in Oxford is affordable housing; 33% in Cherwell District; and 40% in the other Districts (based broadly on the Councils' current affordable housing policies/ viability evidence). The percentage figures used are indicative and used to consider whether there is some case for uplift of the overall assessment of housing need. We have included the Committed Economic Growth Scenario in the table for comparison.

Area	Net Need (per annum)	Assumed % Affordable Delivered	Total Annual Delivery Required to Meet Affordable Need in Full	Supporting Committed Economic Growth
Cherwell	407	33%	1233	1142
Oxford	1,029	50%	2058	700
South Oxfordshire	386	40%	965	749
Vale of White Horse	273	40%	683	1028
West Oxfordshire	274	40%	685	661
County	2,370	-	5624	4280

Table 89: Housing Provision Required to Meet Affordable Need in Full – Housing Need per Annum, 2011-31

- 9.42 The affordable housing evidence points towards some basis for considering higher housing provision than in the Committed Economic Growth Scenario in Oxford and South Oxfordshire. In West Oxfordshire the difference is modest.
- 9.43 The figures in this table need to be considered in context expressed over a 20 year plan period they are likely to over-estimate the levels of housing provision necessary. The affordable housing needs model is based on evidence of need and supply of affordable housing as a point in time the time of the assessment. It is not designed to (or necessarily suitable) for considering overall housing need, given that the findings are informed by a range of factors such that:
 - An improvement in overall housing supply over time (for instance through higher housing provision against demographics) could result in improvements in the affordability of market housing affecting the level of 'affordable need' identified in the model;
 - Similarly an improvement in housing supply will over time increase the supply of affordable housing through re-lets, meaning for instance that stronger housing provision will progressively reduce the level of annual need for affordable housing. This is relevant when extrapolating model findings over 5+ years.
- 9.44 Furthermore affordable housing numbers include some households who are *already housed* in numerical terms (albeit that it may be in unsuitable housing or PRS properties where there is insecurity of tenure).
- 9.45 As the report has identified the affordable housing analysis furthermore does not take account of the role which the Private Rented Sector plays in meeting the needs of households who are defined in the Basic Needs Assessment model as requiring affordable housing. Our analysis indicated that based on current dynamics, the Private Rented Sector contributes a supply of around 1,400 properties to meeting the needs of households who require financial support. Taking this into account at the HMA level, the affordable housing evidence would not necessarily provide a basis for a further upwards adjustment to housing provision. However the Private Rented Sector does not

necessarily provide a solution which is affordable and secure of tenure for households in housing need.

- 9.46 It is also important to recognise that the ability to deliver affordable housing is influenced by funding mechanisms which support affordable housing delivery. Figures thus need to be treated with caution in looking at the need for affordable housing over 15-20 year timeframes, recognising that there are a range of factors which could influence the affordability of market housing and mechanisms for delivery of affordable housing over this period.
- 9.47 We conclude that the affordable housing evidence provides a basis for considering higher housing provision in particular in Oxford City. We consider that there is some basis for considering an upwards adjustment to the identified need in South Oxfordshire and West Oxfordshire.

Overall Conclusions on Housing Need

- 9.48 Table 90 brings together the evidence on housing need. Conclusions on housing need have been drawn using the following staged process in line with the Planning Practice Guidance:
 - The starting point is the assessment of housing need based on demographic trends, including where applicable provision for addressing the past shortfall in housing delivery against the South East Plan between 2006-11³⁰ (Column A).
 - Consideration is given to whether there is a need to adjust upwards the level of housing provision in order to support Committed Economic Growth. This is undertaken by comparing Columns A and B;
 - The results of this are then compared against the indicative modelling of the level of housing provision which might be required to meet affordable housing need in full (Column C); as well as the wider evidence of market signals. This is used to assess whether a further adjustment to the assessed housing need is necessary.
- 9.49 This process has been used to derive conclusions regarding housing need in each authority. The specific circumstances of Oxford in regard to both affordability pressures and need for affordable housing justify a substantial upwards adjustment to the assessed need relative to the projections based on past population change and committed economic growth. This upward adjustment aims to improve the supply-demand balance for housing and improve affordability over the longer-term.
- 9.50 A bigger range is identified for Oxford reflecting the difficulty in being precise regarding what scale of adjustment is necessary to support an improvement in affordability; and the difference in scale between the figures in Columns B and C. In the other local authorities, the range is determined by the evidence in Columns A-C. The top of the range is not expected to exceed the highest of the figures shown; whist the lower end is not expected to fall more than 5% below the Committed

³⁰ This does not apply to West Oxfordshire
Economic Growth Scenario to ensure that the Strategic Economic Plan and City Deal are supported across Oxfordshire.

9.51 A single figure is set out for the Vale of White Horse as this is directly aligned to the Committed Economic Growth Scenario and did not require further adjustment in relation to the affordable need scenario unlike the other districts within the housing market area.

Conclusions on Future Need for Housing

9.52 The SHMA concludes that across Oxfordshire, there is an identified need for provision of between 4,678 – 5,328 homes a year over the 2011-31 period. This level of housing provision is necessary to support committed economic growth; to support delivery of affordable housing; and to support an improvement in the affordability of housing over time. The figures for individual local authorities are set out in Table 90.

Housing Needed per Year (2011-31)	A. Demographic Base + Shortfall	B. To Support Committed Economic Growth	C. To Meet Affordable Housing Need in Full	D. Range: Housing Need per Year	E. Midpoint of Range
Cherwell	682	1142	1233	1090-1190	1140
Oxford	782	700	2058	1200 - 1600	1400
South Oxfordshire	552	749	965	725-825	775
Vale of White Horse	508	1028	683	1028	1028
West Oxfordshire	541	661	685	635-685	660
Oxfordshire	3064	4280	5624	4678 - 5328	5003

Table 90: Conclusions regarding Overall Housing Need

- 9.53 The level of need for housing identified is quite different from that delivered in the past. This reflects evidence that housing provision in the past has not kept pace with that needed; the need to house a growing older population (with people living longer); and the significant drivers particularly in terms of job creation which are expected to influence future need for homes.
- 9.54 The NPPF clearly sets out that the Government expects the planning system to do "everything it can to support sustainable economic growth" and that significant weight should be placed on the need to support economic growth through the planning system (Para 19).
- 9.55 The Planning Practice Guidance reaffirms this and outlines why the homes need to be provided to support the committed economic growth. It sets out that where there is an imbalance, this will result in unsustainable commuting patterns and could reduce the resilience of local businesses. An imbalance between housing need and supply over the longer-term could also reduce affordability and the ability of young households to form and get on the housing ladder. This is not compatible with achieving sustainable development.

- 9.56 The need for housing identified thus reflects the combined need to support population growth, to ensure that young people are able to form new households, that businesses are able to grow and recruit new staff who will be able to live locally, and to ensure that those households who need affordable housing are able to find it.
- 9.57 The midpoint of the identified range would see housing provision of around 5,000 homes a year across Oxfordshire. This represents a significant 17% uplift on the level of housing provision identified as necessary to support Committed Economic Growth. This upwards adjustment is principally to the assessed need for Oxford and reflects the particular affordability pressures and acute need for affordable housing within the City. It reflects the need to deliver a substantial scale of increase in housing provision to support an improvement in housing affordability in the City and the wider housing market area over the longer-term.
- 9.58 For Cherwell District the evidence indicates a need for 1,142 dwellings per annum (2011-31) to support the Strategic Economic Plan. This is based on supporting Committed Economic Growth. The range set out represents +/- 50 homes per annum either side of this.
- 9.59 For Oxford the evidence suggests a base demographic need (including provision for addressing the shortfall related to the SDA) for 780 homes per annum. However the evidence points to a clear need for higher housing delivery. We consider that provision of 1,400 homes per annum would be appropriate representing a housing growth rate of 2.0% per annum to 2031. This is at the higher end of the range of growth rates achieved nationally over the past 15 years and reflects the strong evidence from market signals and affordable housing needs of the need to significantly boost housing supply. This level of provision would meet two-thirds of the identified affordable housing needs. A bigger range is identified for Oxford (for between 1,200 1,600 homes per annum) reflecting the difficulty in being precise regarding what scale of adjustment is necessary to support an improvement in affordability; and the difference in scale between the figures in Columns B and C.
- 9.60 For South Oxfordshire the evidence indicates a need for 750 dwellings per annum (2011-31) to support employment growth. The affordable housing evidence provides some basis for considering higher housing provision. Drawing the evidence together we consider that housing need would fall between 725 825 homes per annum. The higher end of this range would support enhanced affordable housing delivery.
- 9.61 For the Vale of White Horse the evidence indicates a need for 1028 dwellings per annum (2011-31) to support the Strategic Economic Plan. This is based on the supporting Committed Economic Growth. This did not require further adjustment in relation to the affordable need scenario unlike the other districts within the housing market area.

9.62 For West Oxfordshire the evidence indicates a need for between 635 – 685 dwellings per annum (2011-31). The midpoint of this range is based on the Committed Economic Growth Scenario, with the higher end based on a modest upwards adjustment to enhance affordable housing delivery. The lower end reflects the sensitivity of the modelling of the relationship between economic performance and housing need. This assessment does not make any adjustment for past over-provision relative to previous household projections and targets for West Oxfordshire. The District Council may wish to further consider this issue in line of the Planning Practice Guidance which highlights the need to consider previous over-supply and provides some scope for adjustments to projections to take account of this. Strong past housing provision is likely to have influenced both the demographic projections and the economic forecasts, to some degree, as these take account of population trends in projecting employment growth in sectors where the population base influences demand³¹.

Taking the Assessment Forward

- 9.63 The SHMA does not set housing targets. It provides an assessment of the future need for housing. Government guidance and advice is explicit that the SHMA itself must not apply constraints to the overall assessment of need, such as environmental constraints or issues related to congestion and local infrastructure. This does not mean that these issues are not important. They are very relevant in considering how much development can be sustainably accommodated and where new development should be located.
- 9.64 In accordance with the duty to co-operate, the Oxfordshire councils will continue to work together through the Oxfordshire Spatial Planning and Infrastructure Partnership (SPIP) in order to identify the most appropriate locations to accommodate future development and to ensure that infrastructure provision is properly coordinated to address existing issues and support delivery of new homes.
- 9.65 It will be for each local authority to consider the extent to which it is able to meet its own identified needs through their individual local plan process. The authorities have signed up to a 'statement of co-operation' to help manage the outcomes of the SHMA should any authority be unable to accommodate its identified housing need in full.
- 9.66 Co-ordinated through SPIP, the authorities will continue to work together to consider how housing need can be sustainably accommodated. Some of this work will be carried out by individual authorities, some on a joint-basis. Key tasks are likely to include:

 $^{^{\}rm 31}$ This principally includes health, education, retail and other consumer-related services

- Capacity Assessment assessment of the capacity of different areas, including Oxford City, to accommodate new housing development, taking account of housing expected to be delivered on sites with planning consent and other sites which can accommodate housing;
- Testing Spatial Options drawing the assessment of capacity together to identify what additional land may be necessary to meet housing need, and to identify and test potential options for additional development to accommodate this; and
- 3. Deliverability Assessment considering and testing the deliverability of different levels and options for housing development.
- 9.67 This process will be used to refine and test what level of future housing development can be sustainably planned for across the Oxfordshire Housing Market Area and how this is distributed across different areas.

What Mix of Homes is Needed?

9.68 The NPPF also requires local planning authorities, through the SHMA, to identify the range of types and sizes of accommodation likely to be needed by the population in future, including that required by those groups with specific housing needs.

Types of Affordable Housing Needed

- 9.69 In regard to the mix of affordable housing sought on development sites, the assessment indicates that across the housing market area the housing needs evidence would support 25% intermediate housing and 75% rented. In need terms, the balance of the rented affordable housing need is focused slightly more towards social rent. We also identify some variation in the need for different types of affordable housing at local authority level which could feed into local policies.
- 9.70 However in setting policies for the mix of affordable housing, councils will need to consider both the evidence regarding housing needs as well as the viability of delivering affordable housing. The SHMA does not consider development viability, which is equally important in determining policies for affordable housing mix, and is intended to be addressed through Plan-wide Viability Studies.
- 9.71 Ultimately the types of affordable housing sought is also a policy decision to be made through the Local Plan and needs to balance these factors.

Sizes of Homes

9.72 There are a range of factors which will influence the need for different sizes of homes, including demographic changes; future growth in real earnings and households' ability to save; economic performance and housing affordability.

9.73 Our analysis linked to long-term (20-year) demographic change concludes that the following represents an appropriate strategic mix of housing at an HMA level.

	1-bed	2-bed	3-bed	4+ bed
Market	5%	25%	45%	25%
Affordable	25-30%	30-35%	30-35%	5-10%
All dwellings	15%	30%	40%	15%

 Table 91: Conclusions regarding Strategic Mix of Housing

- 9.74 Our strategic conclusions in the affordable sector recognise the role which delivery of larger family homes can play in releasing supply of smaller properties for other households; together with the limited flexibility which one-bed properties offer to changing household circumstances which feed through into higher turnover and management issues.
- 9.75 At an individual authority level, there is a greater need for one- and two-bed affordable homes in South Oxfordshire and West Oxfordshire; but a greater need for 3-bed properties in Cherwell.
- 9.76 In setting policies regarding the mix of affordable housing sought in each district, the findings of the SHMA should be brought together with other evidence (including where appropriate up-to-date housing needs assessments). Regard should also be had to issues relating to the turnover and management of stock, recognising for instance that 1-bed units can provide limited flexibility to meet households' changing circumstances, whist delivery of larger homes can help to meet needs of households with a high priority need and help to release supply of smaller properties for other households.
- 9.77 In applying policies for housing mix these to individual development sites, regard should be had to the nature of the development site and character of the area, and to up-to-date evidence of need as well as the existing mix and turnover of properties at the local level.
- 9.78 Based on the evidence, we would expect the a balanced profile of market homes of different sizes, including smaller two- and three-bedroom homes for younger households and those looking to downsize; as well as larger family homes. The mix identified is focused more towards smaller properties than the existing mix of homes in Oxfordshire.
- 9.79 At an individual authority level, there is a greater identified need for smaller (one- and two-bed) homes in Oxford, consistent with its younger age profile, with a greater demand for larger homes in the Vale of White Horse. In determining policies for housing provision at a local level, market signals, affordability pressures and gaps in the current housing offer should be considered.

9.80 The analysis of an appropriate mix of dwellings should also inform the 'portfolio' of sites which are considered through the Local Plan process, including: Site Allocations, Neighbourhood Plans and other planning documents. Equally it will be of relevance to affordable housing negotiations.

Meeting Specific Housing Needs

- 9.81 The SHMA has additionally considered the needs of different household groups within the population.
- 9.82 The older person population of Oxfordshire is projected to increase significantly up until 2031. Older persons are more likely to under-occupy homes.
- 9.83 In the affordable sector, there may be potential to reduce (or seek to limit potential growth in) underoccupation and the Councils may wish to consider providing support and incentives to social housing occupiers to downsize. This will help to release larger affordable homes for younger households. An analysis of older person households suggest that they are more likely to live in social rented housing (especially single pensioner households). With the projected increases in older persons there may therefore be additional pressure on the affordable housing stock from such households.
- 9.84 The SHMA analysis indicates that the growing older population (particularly in the oldest age groups) will result in growth in households with specialist housing needs. Typically the greatest support needs are for alterations to properties (such as to bathrooms, showers and toilets, provision of emergency alarms or help maintaining homes). Many of these can be resolved in situ through adaptations to existing properties and the resource implications of this will need to be planned for. Many older households are likely to want to remain in their existing homes.
- 9.85 The growing older population will however likely lead to some increase in requirements for specialist housing solutions. The analysis above suggests a 98% growth in older population with dementia, and an 82% increase in the older population with mobility problems. From a planning point of view, some of these people will require specialist housing such as sheltered or extra care provision. Increasing numbers of older people with health problems will also require joint-working between housing and health (Council and NHS). The SHMA analysis indicates a potential need for between 280-450 additional housing units to be specialist accommodation across the County to meet the needs of the older person population each year moving to 2031.
- 9.86 Currently 22% of households contain someone with a long-term health problem or disability. Demographic trends are expected to lead to a significant growth in the population and number of

households with disabilities over the period to 2031. Housing support services, including provision of adaptations to properties, will need to be adequately resources to take account of this.

- 9.87 The Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) population in Oxfordshire has grown strongly since 2001. The 2011 Census shows that BME groups make up 15% of the County's population (up from 9% in 2001). The Asian and White:Other populations (which includes Eastern European migrants) have both grown notably.BME households appear to be typically younger and less likely to be owner occupiers than the White (British/Irish) population; there is also a greater reliance on the private rented sector. BME households are also more likely to be overcrowded and less likely to under-occupy dwellings.The implications of this are more for housing strategy than planning, and suggest a need to consider particularly how the needs of different groups are met within the local housing market, to explore the reasons for higher levels of overcrowding in BME communities and how this can be addressed. It will also be important to consider the role which the Private Rented Sector. Investigating these issues in greater detail may assist development of strategic housing policies.
- 9.88 The data regarding family households suggests that their needs may be quite polarised. Whilst married couple households have high levels of owner-occupation and may well be slightly better off than the general population the data does point to lone parent (and other) households being more disadvantaged. Given that households with children should be seen as a priority for the councils, this points towards ensuring that the housing offer meets the needs of such households and in particular the need to ensure a reasonable quality of housing in the private rented sector.
- 9.89 Analysis of younger person households shows a high reliance on rented housing. Younger age cohorts may therefore be forced into private rented (including shared) housing as the only means of meeting their housing needs, aside from residing with parents. National trends illustrate a particular growth in people in the 20s and early 30s living with parents or in shared housing. This is also borne out in the Oxfordshire HMA. An increase in housing supply will be important in helping to address this in the longer-term. Factors such as a balanced approach to housing in terms of bedroom sizes and property types, along with high standards for Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs), will help younger households to access housing.
- 9.90 The SHMA has also looked at the self-build sector. Overall the evidence we have collected across England, and looking more specifically at Oxfordshire, suggest that self-build is a niche sector, but one that is not necessarily only delivering high value bespoke homes. It is clear that much activity is undertaken by entrepreneurs aimed at more modest homes for sale or rent and in doing so making better use of land that may detract from the local environment. It is also clear that the role of a local champion for the sector is a step that needs to be taken if further interest is to be generated. In policy terms there is some potential to encourage self-build through policy developers of larger

schemes to designate parts of these schemes as serviced plots which can be developed as selfbuild.

- 9.91 There is a significant student component to the housing market in Oxford. The policy framework restricting the scale of students living outside of University accommodation is likely to moderate the impact of changes in student numbers on the housing market. The University of Oxford's proposals for growth particularly in the STEM subjects and medical sciences however may create an additional 1,200 jobs and 1,000 post graduate student places. Whilst some may live in student accommodation, the post graduate students may be more likely to exercise choice in the wider housing market. Some may for instance move with their families.
- 9.92 Growth in student numbers from the two universities is likely to be controlled through the cap put in place through the Oxford Core Strategy which seeks to manage delivery of additional academic floorspace and student accommodation. However additional growth in non-university education and could feasibly create additional demand pressures particularly within the private rented sector. The number of language schools and other institutions however makes it difficult to accurately judge and quantify the potential scale of impact. This may be an area in which the Council could consider further specific research.

Monitoring and Review

- 9.93 Through a proactive monitoring process it will be possible to maintain and develop understanding of the housing market, building on the outcomes of the SHMA. It will allow the implementation of policies to be tailored to evolving circumstances and inform future policy development.
- 9.94 A monitoring framework is set out alongside the SHMA which considers demographic and economic trends which influence the operation of the housing market, alongside changes in market dynamics (including supply, demand, need and price variables). Long-term monitoring which addresses indicators of housing need, market signals relating to supply-demand balance, and the housing supply trajectory can inform future development and implementation of planning policies for housing provision.



Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment

Appendices

Final Report

April 2014

Prepared by

GL Hearn Limited 20 Soho Square London W1D 3QW

T +44 (0)20 7851 4900 F +44 (0)20 7851 4910 glhearn.com Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment, April 2014 Appendices, Final Report

Contents

Section		Page
1	DEFINING THE HOUSING MARKET AREA	5
2	REVIEW OF KEY HOUSING AND PLANNING POLICIES	19
3	REVIEW OF POLICIES FOR HOUSING PROVISION IN ADJOINING AUTHORITIES	29
4	AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEFINITIONS	33
5	SURVEY OF LOCAL PRICES & RENTS	35
6	MONITORING FRAMEWORK	41

List of Figures

FIGURE 1:	HOUSING MARKET AREAS IN THE SOUTH EAST	6
FIGURE 2:	CLG STRATEGIC HOUSING MARKET AREA	7
FIGURE 3:	CLG LOCAL HOUSING MARKET AREA	8
FIGURE 4:	AVERAGE HOUSE PRICES, AUTUMN 2013	10
FIGURE 5:	2001-BASED TRAVEL TO WORK AREAS	15
FIGURE 6:	ENTRY-LEVEL PURCHASE PRICE	36
FIGURE 7:	VOLUME OF PROPERTIES ADVERTISED FOR SALE	36
FIGURE 8:	ENTRY-LEVEL PRIVATE RENTS	37
FIGURE 9:	VOLUME OF PROPERTIES ADVERTISED TO RENT PRIVATELY	38
FIGURE 10:	MONITORING FRAMEWORK STRUCTURE	42
FIGURE 11:	MONITORING FRAMEWORK ANNUAL UPDATES	43
FIGURE 12:	SUMMARY OF STAGES IN ASSESSING HOUSING NEED	49

List of Tables

TABLE 1:	MIGRATION FLOWS, ANNUAL AVERAGE 2006-11	11
TABLE 2:	NET MIGRATION FLOWS, ANNUAL AVERAGE 2006-11	13
TABLE 3:	NET MIGRATION FLOWS WITH LONDON, ANNUAL AVERAGE 2006-11	14

Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment, April 2014 Appendices, Final Report

TABLE 4:	COMMUTING FLOWS WITHIN OXFORDSHIRE, 2001	15
TABLE 5:	TOP FIVE COMMUTING DESTINATIONS BY AUTHORITY, 2011	16
TABLE 6:	POLICIES FOR HOUSING PROVISION IN ADJOINING AUTHORITIES	29
TABLE 7:	MAXIMUM LHA PAYMENTS BY SIZE AND BROAD HOUSING MARKET AREA	39
TABLE 8:	MONTHLY AVERAGE SOCIAL RENT LEVELS	39
TABLE 9:	COST OF AFFORDABLE RENTED HOUSING BY SIZE AND SUB-AREA (PER MONTH)	40

Quality Standards Control

The signatories below verify that this document has been prepared in accordance with our quality control requirements. These procedures do not affect the content and views expressed by the originator.

This document must only be treated as a draft unless it is has been signed by the Originators and approved by a Business or Associate Director.

DATE April 2014 ORIGINATORS Emma Powell, Assistant Planner Justin Gardner, JGC

Emma Powell

APPROVED Nick Ireland, Planning Director

relono

Limitations

This document has been prepared for the stated objective and should not be used for any other purpose without the prior written authority of GL Hearn; we accept no responsibility or liability for the consequences of this document being used for a purpose other than for which it was commissioned.

1 DEFINING THE HOUSING MARKET AREA

National Guidance on Defining Housing Market Areas

- 1.1 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states that local planning authorities should use their evidence base to ensure their Local Plans meet the full, objectively assessed needs for market and affordable housing in their housing market area (HMA). An important part of the SHMA is therefore to provide clarity on what housing market areas cover Oxfordshire.
- 1.2 In simple terms, a housing market area is a geographical area in which the majority of people who move, will move within. It is defined in the August 2013 draft Practice Guidance on the 'Assessment of Housing and Economic Development Needs' as "a geographical area defined by household demand and preferences for all types of housing, reflecting the key functional linkages between where people live and work."
- 1.3 The draft Guidance identifies that there is no single source of information that can be used to identify HMAs; but sets out that housing market areas can broadly be defined using three sources of information:
 - House prices and rates of change in house prices, which reflect household demand and preferences for different sizes and types of housing in different locations;
 - Household migration and search patterns, reflecting preferences and the trade-offs made when choosing housing with different characteristics; and
 - Contextual data, such as travel to work areas, which reflects the functional relationships between places where people work and live.
- 1.4 This essentially reaffirms previous Government Guidance on defining housing market areas¹.

Previously-Defined Housing Market Areas

South East Research

- 1.5 Sub-regional housing markets within the South East of England were defined in the South East Plan based on a regional study was undertaken by DTZ for the South East Regional Assembly and Homes and Communities Agency in 2004² to define housing market areas across the South East. DTZ identified 21 housing market areas across the region.
- 1.6 The DTZ Study identified a sub-regional housing market area based on Oxford, which extended to across much of Oxfordshire as shown in Figure 1 below. This was based on analysis of migration

² DTZ (2004) Identifying the Local Housing Markets of the South East

and travel to work patterns and wider data, together with a programme of stakeholder engagement to agree the definitions of housing markets across the region. The 'Oxford City Region HMA' defined in the research extended to include the five Oxfordshire Districts as the 'best fit' of authorities to housing market areas for strategic planning purposes.





Source: DTZ (2004) Identifying the Local Housing Markets of the South East

National Research on Housing Market Areas

- 1.7 CLG published national level research on *the Geography of Housing Market Areas* in 2010³ which sought to consider the geographies of housing markets across England. This academic-driven project considered commuting and migration dynamics, and standardized house prices. This was brought together to define a three-tiered structure of housing markets across England, as follows:
 - Strategic (Framework) Housing Markets based on 77.5% commuting self-containment;
 - Local Housing Market Areas based on 50% migration self-containment; and
 - Sub-Markets which would be defined based on neighbourhood factors and house types.
- 1.8 This provides a useful starting point for looking at housing market geographies, not least as it uses a standard approach across the country. The strategic and local housing market areas identified are based on aggregating wards.

³ Jones, C., Coombes, M. and Wong, C. (2010) The Geography of Housing Markets in England – Summary Report

Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment, April 2014 Appendices, Final Report

- 1.9 The CLG research defines a strategic housing market which covers much of Oxfordshire but does not fit exactly with the county boundaries. It extends into South Northamptonshire to include Brackley (reflecting the economic links between Brackley and Banbury) and to include some (rural) wards within the eastern part of Aylesbury Vale District in Buckinghamshire (which relate in economic terms to Bicester and Thame). To the north it relates well to the County boundary but includes two rural wards in Stratford-on-Avon District (which reflect commuting links to Banbury).
- 1.10 In the south of the County, the south-western part of South Oxfordshire District is shown as falling within a Reading HMA, which reflects the economic influence of Reading one of the larger economic centres in the region through towards Henley-on-Thames. In Vale of Wight Horse, the western most wards are shown as falling within a Swindon-focused housing market for similar reasons.



Source: Jones, C., Coombes, M. and Wong, C. (2010) The Geography of Housing Markets in

Figure 2: CLG Strategic Housing Market Area

England – Summary Report

- 1.11 The CLG research then goes on to identify a number of more local housing markets within these 'strategic housing market areas' which reflect more local patterns of household movement. The research identifies three local housing market areas which fall predominantly within Oxfordshire:
 - A Banbury and North Oxfordshire market;
 - An Oxford-focused market; and
 - A South Oxfordshire market centred on Abingdon.



Figure 3: CLG Local Housing Market Area

Source: Jones, C., Coombes, M. and Wong, C. (2010) The Geography of Housing Markets in England – Summary Report

1.12 These local housing markets are based on analysis of migration flows; however the wider evidence within the SHMA would support this broad distinction between the market in the City, in the north of the county and in the south. The City has a housing offer which is focused more towards smaller properties, has higher levels of renting and higher relative housing costs compared to other parts of the County. Outside of the City, the evidence points towards a north-south distinction with Cherwell District demonstrating lower housing costs and a housing mix which is focused more towards medium-sized (rather than larger) homes.

Housing Costs

- 1.13 As the guidance suggests, house price patterns and trends can be a useful indicator of demand dynamics and pricing levels in different areas. This kind of market approach has some merit clearly for areas or authorities to be serving the same market area, there should be some broad comparability and relationship between house prices. House prices will however vary locally between settlements reflecting differences in their relative quality of place.
- 1.14 We have used the Zoopla Zed-Index to provide a comparative assessment of housing costs. This index standardised house prices to provide a comparative assessment of the average value of a typical home⁴.
- 1.15 Across Oxfordshire and surrounding areas, the broad price geography is of the highest prices in the south-east of the county around Henley-on-Thames. These areas provide a high quality of place and are accessible to Reading and London. We also see particularly high house prices around Burford in West Oxfordshire. Oxford City also stands out as having a high average house price (over £360,000 using this index).
- 1.16 As we might expect there is some comparability between house price in the county and beyond its boundaries, with prices for instance in Aylesbury, Buckingham, High Wycombe and Reading not dissimilar to parts of Oxfordshire. Swindon in contrast stands out as having a notably lower housing costs (with an average price of £188,000 using this index). On this basis house prices are not particularly helpful at delineating HMA boundaries.
- 1.17 There has also been some variation in price trends, with prices in Faringdon and Abingdon declining over the last three years (to October 2013) whilst in most other parts of the County, and in adjoining areas, house prices have grown.

⁴ See <u>http://www.zoopla.co.uk/property/estimate/about/</u> for detailed methodology



Figure 4: Average House Prices, Autumn 2013

Source: Zoopla Zed-Index

1.18 Henley-on-Thames, Wallingford and Didcot stand out as seeing some of the strongest growth rates in house prices over the 2010-13 period.

Migration Flows

- 1.19 Migration flows reflect households' movements between areas, and thus are a key factor in considering the geography of housing markets. To test the definition of the housing market area, and to understand functional housing market inter-relationships across local authority boundaries, we have analysed ONS data on internal migration flows between local authority areas over the period 2006 to 2011.
- 1.20 ONS internal migration statistics record flows between local authorities, based on information from NHS patient re- registrations. This typically shows larger flows between authorities which are close

to or border one another and between cities and student towns around the country. The scale of flows is partly influenced by the population of the authorities, with for instance the expectation that two large urban/ metropolitan authorities would support stronger flows than two smaller ones.

- 1.21 Taking this into account, we have sought to standardise the analysis of flows to take account of the combined population of different authorities. Table 1 shows gross migration flows per annum between mid-2006 and mid-2011 (i.e. the combination of flows of people moving between authorities in each direction). The figures are also expressed per combined 1,000 population.
- 1.22 The Table show flows to nearby authorities where there is a gross flow of over 200 persons per annum. In addition to the authorities shown there are flows of over this level between Oxford and a number of large local authorities or those with universities, which particularly reflect student movements⁵.
- 1.23 The analysis indicates that in all instances the strongest migration flows with another local authority is within an authority within Oxfordshire. This supports the definition of an Oxfordshire Housing Market Area (HMA). The analysis indicates:
 - The strongest level of movement with Cherwell is with Oxford, followed by West Oxfordshire. There is also a notable level of movement to/from South Northamptonshire;
 - The strongest relationships with Oxford are with other Oxfordshire Districts, with the strongest flows with Cherwell and the Vale of White Horse;
 - In South Oxfordshire, the strongest flows are with the Vale of White Horse and Oxford;
 - For the Vale of White Horse, the strongest flows are with Oxford and South Oxfordshire;
 - For West Oxfordshire, the strongest flows are with Cherwell and Oxford.
- 1.24 We see a strong level of migration flows between Oxford and all of other Oxfordshire authorities; and stronger relationships from most of the Oxfordshire authorities with another Oxfordshire authority than with an authority outside of Oxfordshire.

		Gross Flow per Annum	Gross Flow per 1,000
Daventry	Cherwell	250	1.1
South Northamptonshire	Cherwell	920	4.0
Stratford-on-Avon	Cherwell	380	1.4
Aylesbury Vale	Cherwell	550	1.7
Oxford	Cherwell	1510	5.2
South Oxfordshire	Cherwell	420	1.5
Vale of White Horse	Cherwell	450	1.7
West Oxfordshire	Cherwell	1020	4.1

Table 1: Migration Flows, Annual Average 2006-11

⁵ We see flows of over 200 persons per annum between Oxford and Manchester, Sheffield, Leeds, Birmingham, Cambridge, a number of London Boroughs, Cardiff, Brighton & Hove, Bath, Bristol and Wiltshire

Aylesbury Vale	Oxford	290	0.9
Windsor & Maidenhead	Oxford	220	0.7
Reading	Oxford	330	1.1
West Berkshire	Oxford	190	0.6
Wiltshire	Oxford	300	0.5
Wycombe	Oxford	230	0.7
Cherwell	Oxford	1510	5.2
South Oxfordshire	Oxford	1320	4.4
Vale of White Horse	Oxford	1880	6.9
West Oxfordshire	Oxford	980	3.8
Reading	South Oxfordshire	670	2.3
West Berkshire	South Oxfordshire	510	1.8
Windsor & Maidenhead	South Oxfordshire	260	0.9
Wokingham	South Oxfordshire	400	1.4
Aylesbury Vale	South Oxfordshire	580	1.9
Wycombe	South Oxfordshire	610	2.0
Cherwell	South Oxfordshire	420	1.5
Oxford	South Oxfordshire	1350	4.7
Vale of White Horse	South Oxfordshire	1370	5.3
West Oxfordshire	South Oxfordshire	320	1.3
West Berkshire	Vale of White Horse	340	1.2
Swindon	Vale of White Horse	480	1.4
Wiltshire	Vale of White Horse	360	1.4
Cherwell	Vale of White Horse	450	1.7
Oxford	Vale of White Horse	1880	6.9
South Oxfordshire	Vale of White Horse	1370	5.3
West Oxfordshire	Vale of White Horse	740	3.3
Cherwell	West Oxfordshire	1020	4.1
Oxford	West Oxfordshire	980	3.8
South Oxfordshire	West Oxfordshire	320	1.3
Cotswold	West Oxfordshire	410	2.2
Vale of White Horse	West Oxfordshire	740	3.3

Source: ONS Internal Migration Statistics

- 1.25 We have also sought to consider net migration flows. Again these are expressed as an annual average for the 2006-11 period. Table 2 shows net migration flows of over 100 persons per annum.
- 1.26 Typically the pattern we see across the country is of a net flow from authorities across the country (and abroad) into cities, and net flows from cities to surrounding areas. Part of this reflects the younger population structure within cities (which often thus results in stronger population growth through natural change with births exceeding deaths).

- 1.27 We see a net out-migration of over 400 persons per annum from Oxford to Vale of White Horse and Oxford to Cherwell. There is a net flow of 280 persons per annum from Oxford to West Oxfordshire, and 170 persons per annum from Oxford to South Oxfordshire. There is a net migration flow of 110 persons per annum from South Oxfordshire to Vale of White Horse; and of 140 persons per annum from Vale of White Horse to West Oxfordshire.
- 1.28 The analysis also suggests a net migration flow from Wycombe to South Oxfordshire (100 persons per annum) and from Vale of White Horse to Swindon (100 persons per annum).

Authority A	Authority B	Gross Flow (A + B)	Net Flow (A - B, + is in-migration)
Oxford	Vale of White Horse	1880	420
Oxford	Cherwell	1510	410
Oxford	West Oxfordshire	980	280
Oxford	South Oxfordshire	1350	170
Vale of White Horse	West Oxfordshire	740	140
Wycombe	South Oxfordshire	610	110
South Oxfordshire	Vale of White Horse	1370	110
Swindon	Vale of White Horse	480	-100

Table 2: Net Migration Flows, Annual Average 2006-11

Source: ONS Internal Migration Statistics

1.29 We have also sought to analyse migration flows between the Oxfordshire authorities and London. The analysis suggests a notable net migration flow from Oxford to London (1,400 persons per year), most likely influenced by flows of graduates moving to jobs in London. It suggests a net flow from London to the other Oxfordshire Districts, with the strongest flow from the capital to West Oxfordshire (170 persons per annum).

	From London	To London	Net Flow from London PA
Cherwell	540	440	100
Oxford	3150	4550	-1400
South Oxfordshire	920	590	330
Vale of White Horse	600	570	30
West Oxfordshire	500	330	170

Table 3: Net Migration Flows with London, Annual Average 2006-11

Source: ONS Internal Migration Statistics

Commuting Patterns

1.30 Commuting flows provide important evidence of the functional relationships between various authorities. The guidance directs planning authorities to consider commuting flows as a source of contextual information about the spatial dynamics of the local labour market as these will somewhat influence search patterns and location choices within the housing market.

Travel to Work Areas

- 1.31 The first source to consider is the 2001 Travel to Work Areas (2001 census data analysed by ONS). Travel to work (TTWA) areas are the smallest areas that can be defined in which two thirds of the population live and work and are, therefore, useful in defining HMA areas. These provide a useful starting point as they offer national coverage and comparability; however, the data is somewhat outdated and new data is not due to be published until 2015.
- 1.32 An Oxford Travel to Work Area (TTWA) is defined which includes Bicester, Witney, Didcot, Thame and Abingdon. A separate Banbury Travel to Work area is set out which covers mainly the northern part of Cherwell District and extends into South Northamptonshire. The south-eastern parts of South Oxfordshire fall within a Reading TTWA. Faringdon, in the Vale of White Horse, falls within the Swindon TTWA.



Figure 5: 2001-based Travel to Work Areas

Source: ONS

- 1.33 We can break the 2001 Census statistics down further to consider self-containment within Oxfordshire. Of residents in work in Oxfordshire, 85% work within the county. This is a relatively high level of self-containment which supports its definition as a housing market area.
- 1.34 The level of commuting to areas outside of the county is highest in South Oxfordshire (reflecting proximity to Reading and the Thames Valley), but is below 15% (as a proportion of residents in work) in all other Districts. The highest self-containment in an individual authority is in Oxford (76%).

Workplace/ Residence	Cherwell	Oxford	South Oxfordshire	Vale of White Horse	West Oxfordshire
Cherwell	45,325	1,850	859	1,197	3,013
Oxford	9,095	45,716	6,710	9,626	7,092
South Oxfordshire	1,182	2,134	34,037	3,859	627
Vale of White Horse	1,643	3,627	5,972	35,728	2,596
West Oxfordshire	2,214	1,262	582	1,595	32,339
% Within Authority	64%	76%	51%	60%	64%
% Within Oxfordshire	85%	91%	72%	87%	91%

Table 4:	Commuting Flows within Oxfordshire, 2001	
	Commuting Llows within Oxiolusine, 2001	

Source: 2001 Census

- 1.35 Table 5 shows the top five commuting destinations from each District. This highlights some commuting to London (with the highest flows from South Oxfordshire at 5% of residents in work). In regard to other areas it suggests flows from:
 - Cherwell to Aylesbury Vale;
 - South Oxfordshire to Reading and Wycombe; and
 - Vale of White Horse to Swindon.

Table 5:	Top Five Commuting	Destinations by	Authority, 2011
----------	--------------------	-----------------	-----------------

Residence	Workplace	Flow	% Residents in Work
Cherwell	Oxford	9,095	13%
	West Oxfordshire	2,214	3%
	Vale of White Horse	1,643	2%
	London	1,603	2%
	Aylesbury Vale	1,251	2%
Oxford	Vale of White Horse	3,627	6%
	South Oxfordshire	2,134	4%
	Cherwell	1,850	3%
	London	1,561	3%
	West Oxfordshire	1,262	2%
South Oxfordshire	Oxford	6,710	10%
	Vale of White Horse	5,972	9%
	London	3,578	5%
	Reading	3,246	5%
	Wycombe	2,668	4%
Vale of White Horse	Oxford	9,626	16%
	South Oxfordshire	3,859	6%
	Swindon	1,824	3%
	West Oxfordshire	1,595	3%
	London	1,359	2%
West Oxfordshire	Oxford	7,092	14%
	Cherwell	3,013	6%
	Vale of White Horse	2,596	5%
	London	976	2%
	South Oxfordshire	627	1%

Source: 2001 Census

Drawing the Analysis Together

1.36 Practice Guidance on undertaking studies such as this identifies that housing market areas reflect the relationships between where people live and work, patterns of movement between homes, and differences in housing costs and trends in these.

- 1.37 An Oxford-focused sub-regional housing market extending across much of Oxfordshire has previously been identified by research at both a national and regional level. This SHMA has sought to critically review the definition of the market area based on the interrogation of house prices, migration and commuting flows. We have also tested this through the stakeholder consultation event held in September 2013.
- 1.38 The evidence clearly points to an Oxford-focused housing market area which extends across much of the County, reflecting the economic influence of the City. The county still remains the most appropriate geography for analysis of housing markets in terms of the 'best fit' of local authority boundaries to a functional housing market area.
- 1.39 However there are links, in housing market and economic terms, between parts of Oxfordshire and surrounding areas, including major employment centres close to the county's boundaries, particularly Reading (the influence of which tends into South Oxfordshire including Henley-on-Thames) and Swindon (the influence of which extends into the Vale of White Horse). In the north of the county, the economic and housing market influence of Banbury and Bicester extend into South Northamptonshire and Aylesbury Vale respectively.
- 1.40 Within Oxfordshire we can identify a number of more localised housing market which reflect more closely similarities in the housing mix and pricing across different parts of the County. Our analysis points to the operation of three overlapping housing markets:
 - Banbury and North Oxfordshire;
 - Oxford; and
 - South Oxfordshire.
- 1.41 This geography reflects the unique characteristics of the City in terms of its housing mix, but also its economic strengths and presence of two universities. It also reflects the subtle differences between the housing mix and pricing between the north and south of the County, with the latter demonstrating in relative terms higher housing costs and demand for larger housing within the market sector. We would include West Oxfordshire within a 'north Oxfordshire' focused market.

Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment, April 2014 Appendices, Final Report

2 REVIEW OF KEY HOUSING AND PLANNING POLICIES

2.1 This section provides an overview of key housing and planning policies at a national level which are relevant to the preparation of the SHMA. It then drills down to consider current planning policies for housing provision in each of the local authorities in the HMA.

National Policy Framework

- 2.2 The Coalition Government has reformed the policy framework for planning for housing provision, revoking regional spatial strategies and returning responsibilities for determining policies for housing provision to local authorities. As of April 2013 the South East Regional Plan is revoked. The primary legislation to support this is the 2011 Localism Act which now includes a 'duty to cooperate' on local authorities.
- 2.3 The duty applies to the preparation of development plan and other local development documents, and to activities which can 'reasonably be considered to prepare the way' for these activities or support them (such as the preparation of evidence base studies such as this). Authorities are required by Section 110:2 of the Localism Act to "engage constructively, actively and on an on-going basis" with the other authorities identified in undertaking these tasks. The Duty to Cooperate is a legal test to which development plans must comply.
- 2.4 National policies for plan-making are set out within the *National Planning Policy Framework*⁶. This sets out key policies against which development plans will be assessed at examination and to which they must comply.

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

- 2.5 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in March 2012. Compliance of existing Local Development Documents becomes a key issue from April 2013 onwards.
- 2.6 The Framework sets a presumption in favour of sustainable development whereby Local Plans should meet objectively assessed development needs, with sufficient flexibility to respond to rapid change, unless the adverse impacts of doing so would significantly or demonstrably outweigh the benefits or policies within the Framework indicate that development should be restricted. Green Belt, AONB and flood risk are recognised as nationally-significant constraints however the Framework does allow review of Green Belt boundaries through the development of Local Plans.
- 2.7 The core evidence for housing requirements is intended to be a Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) for the housing market area. Paragraph 159 in the Framework outlines that

⁶ CLG (March 2012) National Planning Policy Framework

this should identify the scale and mix of housing and the range of tenures which the local population is likely to need over the plan period which:

- Meets household and population projections, taking account of migration and demographic change;
- Addresses the need for all types of housing, including affordable housing and the needs of different groups in the community; and
- Caters for housing demand and the scale of housing supply necessary to meet this demand.
- 2.8 This is reaffirmed in the NPPF in Paragraph 50. The SHMA is intended to be prepared for the housing market area, and include work and dialogue with neighbouring authorities where the HMA crosses administrative boundaries. Recent local plan examinations have demonstrated the importance of properly identifying and addressing the housing market area as a whole⁷. The preparation of a Strategic Housing Market Assessment for the housing market area is intended to be the primary means of determining policies for future housing provision.
- 2.9 Paragraph 181 sets out that LPAs will be expected to demonstrate evidence of having effectively cooperated to plan for issues with cross-boundary impacts when their Local Plans are submitted for examining. This issue has been borne out in a number of recent local plan examinations, particularly in respect of housing, with Inspectors seeking at the outset to test the process and outcomes of collaboration.
- 2.10 Paragraph 158 of the NPPF also emphasises the alignment of the housing and economic evidence base and policy and a particular requirement of the project brief for this Oxfordshire SHMA is to consider the interaction between the housing market and economy.
- 2.11 In regard to housing mix, the NPPF sets out that authorities should plan for a mix of housing based on current and future demographic trends, market trends and the needs of different groups in the community. Planning authorities should identify the size, type, tenure and range of housing that is required in particular locations reflecting local demand. Where a need for affordable housing is identified, authorities should set policies for meeting this need on site. National thresholds for affordable housing provision are removed as are national brownfield development targets.
- 2.12 In setting affordable housing targets, the NPPF states that to ensure a plan is deliverable, the sites and the scale of development identified in the plan should not be subject to a scale of obligations and policy burdens such that their ability to be developed is threatened and should support development throughout the economic cycle. The costs of requirements likely to be applied to development, including affordable housing requirements, contributions to infrastructure and other policies in the Plan, should not compromise the viability of development schemes. To address this,

⁷ For example Bath and NE Somerset or Coventry

affordable housing policies would need to be considered alongside other factors including infrastructure contributions – a 'whole plan' approach to viability. Where possible the NPPF encourages Local Authorities to work up Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) charges alongside the Local Plan.

Changes to National Housing Policies

Housing Strategy for England

- 2.13 In November 2011 the Government published *Laying the Foundations: A Housing Strategy for England* (HM Government, Nov 2011). This outlines the Government's ambition to get stimulate housebuilding, not least to support economic recovery. It identifies a number of initiatives to support this, including:
 - New-build Indemnity Scheme providing Government-backed 95% mortgages for new-build properties;
 - Growing Places Fund providing funding for infrastructure which unblocks housing and economic growth;
 - Initiatives to Kick-Start Stalled Developments including proposals to allow reconsideration of planning obligations; a 'Get Britain Building' Investment Fund to provide development finance; and 'build now, pay later' deals with public sector land; and
 - Custom Homes Programme with short-term project finance support for individuals looking to build their own homes.
- 2.14 The Strategy includes initiatives to support growth and investment in the Private Rented Sector, including new 'build-to-let' models and a review of barriers to investment. It also indicates that the Government is looking at supporting greater innovation and competition between social landlords, including encourage new private entrants to the sector, and potential new approaches to funding in the medium-term.
- 2.15 The Strategy also included proposals for Reinvigorating the Right-to-Buy by raising the discounts available to tenants, but with a commitment to build a new 'replacement' home for affordable rent for every home lost. It also identified a funding steam to support local authorities in bringing empty homes back into use.
- 2.16 The Great Britain Building Fund and Growing Places Fund provide funding to unblock stalled schemes. The Government is also advising local authorities to renegotiate existing S106 agreements where these provide a hindrance to development, including allowing developers to appeal decision for a three year period to April 2016.

- 2.17 The Reinvigorating Right-to-Buy scheme increases the discount cap to £75,000; and makes provision that receipts from sales will be retained by local authorities to deliver replacement provision.
- 2.18 Since the 2011 Housing Strategy the Government has introduced a number of additional measures to try to kick-start the housing market. Of particular relevance is the new "Help to Buy" scheme introduced in the 2013 Budget. This provides two schemes aimed at increase the supply of low-deposit mortgages and new housing:
 - Help to Buy Equity Loan a new-build only scheme which expands the existing FirstBuy scheme to provide an equity loan of up to 20% of the value of a home through an equity loan. The scheme will run until April 2016 and buyers will require only a 5% deposit;
 - Help to Buy Mortgage Guarantee a similar scheme where buyers will require a 5% deposit and the Government will provide guarantees underpinning the 95% mortgage from a commercial lender. This scheme is available for both new-build and existing homes.
- 2.19 Moving forward these schemes could have a real impact at stimulating effective market demand for homes as they target some of the key challenges which have restricted access to owner occupation difficulties for households in securing mortgage finance and high loan-to-value ratios.

Localism Act – Housing Reforms

- 2.20 The Localism Act has introduced a number of reforms affecting the management of social housing. These reforms are summarised below:
- 2.21 The Localism Act gives Councils greater flexibilities in deciding who qualifies to go onto housing waiting lists (through their allocations policies) and how they treat tenants who want rather than need to move. Local Authorities can thus revise their allocations policies, should they wish to do so, to prevent people with no 'need' for affordable housing from joining housing registers.
- 2.22 The Localism Act has introduced changes to social housing tenancies, giving both local councils and Registered Providers (RP's) the flexibility to grant fixed term tenancies (as well as lifetime tenancies) should they decide to do so. New fixed term tenancies would continue to be at social rent levels and tenants would have the same rights as those with existing lifetime tenancies in terms of a right to repair or to buy/acquire. A minimum fixed-term tenancy in most cases would be for five years (with two year tenancies granted only in exceptional circumstances). Shorter tenancies are considered to be one way of making better use of the existing social housing stock in meeting housing need. Specific local policies are expected to be set out in local authority's tenancy strategies.
- 2.23 The Government has also changed the rules on succession to make them consistent for all Council and RP tenants. The spouse or partner of a tenant who dies will have an automatic legal right to

succeed, but will not have an automatic right to then pass on the property. This will not however affect joint tenancies or existing secure tenants.

- 2.24 Councils will be able to bring the statutory homelessness duty to an end with an offer of suitable private rented housing. People's right to refuse private rented accommodation will be withdrawn. This could potentially assist in the use of private sector housing stock in meeting affordable housing needs.
- 2.25 The Government has also recently introduced a new nationwide home swap scheme to support mobility in the social sector.
- 2.26 In terms of social housing finance, the Localism Act also introduces 'self-financing' which allows Councils to keep money from rents to spend on upkeep, investment and management of their housing stock. It has also made changes to the regulation of the Social Housing Sector.

Welfare Reforms

- 2.27 The Welfare Reform Act received Royal Assent in March 2012. This introduces the following:
 - Household Benefit Cap;
 - Planned introduction of Universal Credit (combining current existing benefits);
 - Linking Local Housing Allowance (LHMA) rates to CPI; and
 - Size Criteria for Calculating Housing Benefit in the Social Rented Sector.

'Bedroom Tax' for Working-Age Households in the Social Rented Sector

- 2.28 The Welfare Reform Act introduces restrictions on how much Housing Benefit working-age households in social rented properties can claim from April 2013, based on the size of the household. Housing Benefit has been previously based on the size of the property rather than the household. This change will particularly impact on working-age households who are under-occupying homes. The Government estimates that the change of policy will impact on 670,000 households nationally 32% of all working-age households in receipt of Housing Benefit. The average cost to affected households will be a reduction in Housing Benefit of £13 per week in 2013/14. The policy change is focused on reducing the Government's benefit bill, increasing mobility in the social rented sector and making better use of the existing social housing stock.
- 2.29 The applicable maximum Housing Benefit which households can claim will be reduced by national proportions based on the number of rooms households have which they don't require. The change is expected to have a greater impact in areas with less social rented accommodation and will particularly affect older, working-age households.

Shift towards Universal Credit

2.30 Universal Credit, which brings together existing benefits into a single payment, is due to be phased in from October 2013. A movement towards universal credit to provide one streamlined payment is likely to end the payments of housing benefit directly to landlords in some instances. Coupled with the caps on growth in LHA levels, this may over time make tenants on benefits less attractive to landlords. It could result in some moderating of growth in benefit claimants in the private rented sector, although this will depend on overall dynamics within the sector.

Changes to Local Housing Allowance

- 2.31 Low income households living in the Private Rented Sector are able to claim Local Housing Allowance (LHA) to assist in meeting their housing costs. LHA is determined in relation to rents in the Broad Rental Market Area (BRMA) in which a property lies.
- 2.32 In April 2011 the Government changed how LHA is calculated, shifting this from median rents in the BMRA to the 30th percentile. It has also introduced caps on LHA payments: £250 a week for a 1bed property or shared accommodation, £290 a week for a 2-bed property, £340 a week for a 3-bed property and £400 a week for properties with 4 or more bedrooms.
- 2.33 The Welfare Reform Act also indicates that increases in LHA rates from 2013 will be restricted to growth in inflation as measured by the Consumer Price Index (CPI). Rates will also be set annually rather than monthly. This can be expected to exert a downward pressure on rents, particularly in areas where LHA claimants form a significant proportion of the private rented sector market, and may encourage some LHA claimants to move to cheaper areas.

Current and Emerging Local Planning Policies for Housing Provision

2.34 We summarise below current or emerging planning policies relating to future housing numbers and affordable housing provision in each of the local authorities in Oxfordshire. It should be noted that it is the intention the development of emerging local plans, or review of existing adopted plans, as appropriate will be informed by this SHMA.

Cherwell

- 2.35 Cherwell District Council submitted its Local Plan 2006-31 to the Secretary of State in January 2014. The Plan sets out that its main priority is to secure the economic future of the District. It makes provision for 16,750 homes between 2006-31 (670 per annum).
- 2.36 Taking account of completions, the plan identifies the need to deliver an additional 13,850 homes between 2012 and 2031. The total requirement of 16,750 (670 per annum) is in line with the

requirements of the former South East Plan and in general accordance with the CLG's 2008- and 2011-based Household Projections. Major development is proposed at Bicester, including delivery of 1,793 homes at the North West Bicester Eco-Town and 1,900 homes at Craven Hill, Bicester.

- 2.37 The Plan recognises the high level of affordable housing need in the area and seeks to achieve 30-35% affordable housing on new developments depending upon the location. It sets a target for 30% affordable housing provision in Banbury and Bicester; and 35% in Kidlington and the Rural Areas on sites of over 10 homes (net) in urban areas and 3 homes in rural areas. Policy BSC2 also supports development of rural exceptions sites.
- 2.38 Policy BSC4 of the Local Plan also promotes housing choice, with schemes expected to deliver an appropriate mix of homes. The policy also specifically promotes the development of extra-care housing on strategic sites.

Oxford

- 2.39 Oxford's Core Strategy was adopted in March 2011. The Core Strategy identified that house prices in the City were comparable to London and identified a notable shortage of open market housing and limited supply of affordable housing. It identified significant pressures on the City's housing stock.
- 2.40 The Core Strategy seeks to deliver at least the South East Plan target of 8,000 dwellings by the end of the plan period (2026) (Policy CS22). It seeks to support 11,000 14,000 jobs alongside this; in part supported by in-commuting to the City. The Core Strategy made provision for strategic housing development on Land at Barton (800-1200 homes) and Somertown (200-500 homes).
- 2.41 Policy CS23 sets out that a balanced mix of housing would be sought through new development, with further detail to be provided within the Balance of Dwellings SPD. Policy CS24 deals with Affordable Housing. This outlines a requirement for a minimum of 50% affordable housing on schemes of 10 dwellings or more/ sites of over 0.25 ha, subject to development viability.
- 2.42 The Core Strategy seeks to achieve at least an equivalent growth in student accommodation to the growth in student population.

South Oxfordshire

- 2.43 South Oxfordshire's Core Strategy was adopted in December 2012. The Core Strategy seeks to deliver 11,487 homes over the 2006-27 plan period in accordance with the South East Plan.
- 2.44 The strategy for housing provision in the Plan focuses on Didcot, which is expected to accommodate 55% of the total requirement (equivalent to 6,300 homes).

- 2.45 The Plan notes that the South East Plan made provision for 4,000 homes at a South of Oxford Strategic Development Area. However it sets out that the Treasury Solicitor conceded a legal challenge to this as the Sustainability Appraisal process had undertaken insufficient work to assess possible alternative locations for meeting the wider housing needs of Oxford City. This issue is to be resolved through further joint work on housing needs and the development strategy.
- 2.46 Policy CSH3 seeks 40% affordable housing provision on all sites where there is a net gain of 3 or more dwellings, subject to viability. Of this 75% is intended to be for social or affordable rented homes, and 25% for intermediate housing. Policy CSH2 sets out a minimum development density of 25 dwellings per hectare.
- 2.47 Policy CSH4 sets out wider policies for meeting housing needs, including policies for provision of housing to meet special needs; to promote delivery of lifetime homes; and provision of housing for older persons (including in particular extra care housing).

Vale of White Horse

- 2.48 The Draft Local Plan 2029 Part 1 consulted on between February and May 2013 . The proposed housing requirement was based on the South East Plan target of 578 dwellings per annum rolled forward to 2029 as a working housing target and as a basis for public consultation. This equated to 13,294 homes over the 2006-29 period. Alongside this it proposed provision of 14,300 jobs.
- 2.49 The Plan set out a broad spatial distribution of the proposed housing provision between different sub-areas in the District.
- 2.50 Core Policy 18 proposed a requirement for 40% affordable housing on sites where there was a net gain of 3 or more dwellings subject to viability. Of this 75% would be social and affordable rented homes and 25% intermediate affordable housing.
- 2.51 Core Policy 20 sets out wider policies for meeting housing needs, including policies for provision of housing to meet special needs; for lifetime homes, for older persons (particularly on strategic sites). It also provides guidance on housing mix.

West Oxfordshire

2.52 A new local plan for West Oxfordshire is currently being prepared. The Council consulted on a Draft Local Plan in October 2012. This proposed provision of at least 5,500 homes over the 2011-29 plan period (aligned to housing targets in the South East Plan). It identified potential strategic sites in Witney and Carterton and proposed a distribution of housing provision to key settlements across the District.

- 2.53 The draft Plan suggests a need to rebalance the housing offer in the District which is currently focused on larger homes, seeking 60% of new-homes with 1- or 2-bedrooms; and 40% with 3 or more bedrooms. It also identified a need to widen the choice of housing for older people with Core Policy 7 suggesting provision of extra care housing, including at least one scheme in the main settlements.
- 2.54 The draft Plan proposed provision at least 35% affordable housing, ranging from a requirement for 35% in Carterton, 40% in Witney to 50% elsewhere in the District on all development schemes subject to viability. A financial contribution would be accepted on small schemes of 1-5 dwellings. The draft Plan suggested that 65% of affordable housing provision should be of 1- and 2-bed properties, and 35% with 3 or more bedrooms.

Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment, April 2014 Appendices, Final Report
3 REVIEW OF POLICIES FOR HOUSING PROVISION IN ADJOINING AUTHORITIES

3.1 In this section we summarise policies for housing provision in current or emerging development plans in areas which adjoin the Oxfordshire HMA. We consider the current and emerging policies for housing provision, and the basis of these. The analysis is based on a desk-based review of current planning evidence and policy documents available on local authorities websites as at February 2014.

Authority	Planning Policy Position	Policies for Housing Provision
Aylesbury Vale	Vale of Aylesbury Plan Part I withdrawn Jan 2014.	No up-to-date planning policy for housing. Council is considering how to progress including preparation of a new Strategic Housing Market Assessment.
Wycombe	Core Strategy adopted in July 2008. Work commenced on preparation of a new Local Plan. Wycombe District Local Plan Options Consultation commenced in Jan 2014.	 Adopted Core Strategy provides for 8050 homes between 2006-26 (402.5 homes pa). This was based on draft South East Plan. Local Plan Options Consultation identifies need for 545 – 610 homes per annum based on Wycombe SHMA. Options being considered include Green Belt Review focused on the SE of the District; major expansion of Princess Risborough or other settlements; new development at key locations on transport network; or a net settlement.
Wokingham	Core Strategy adopted in January 2010.	Adopted Core Strategy provides for 12,460 homes between 2006-26 in accordance with South East Plan. Plan includes delivery of 4 Strategic Development Locations at Arborfield Garrison; South of the M4; North and South Workingham.
Reading	Core Strategy adopted in January 2007. Council is commencing work on a new Local Plan.	Adopted Core Strategy provides for 5,720 dwellings between 2006-16 and 5,210 dwellings 2016-26. This was based on South East Plan, with a 20% uplift to delivery between 2006-16 based on Reading's designation as a New Growth Point.
West Berkshire	Core Strategy adopted in July 2012.	Adopted Core Strategy provides for at least 10,500 dwellings between 2006-26 based on the housing target in the South East Plan. The Plan includes provision to prepare an updated SHMA for the relevant Housing Market Area within three years of adoption.
Cotswold	Consultation Paper on Preferred Development Strategy published in May 2013. Consultation of a draft Local Plan scheduled for Summer 2014.	Preferred Development Strategy proposed development of 6,900 homes between 2011-31. This was based on demographic projections considered in the <i>Review of</i> <i>Future Housing Requirements</i> (Woodhead, K. Feb 2013).

Table 6: Policies for Housing Provision in Adjoining Authorities

Swindon	Draft Swindon Borough Local Plan submitted for examination in June 2013. Plan examination is on- going.	Submitted Draft Local Plan proposes 22,000 new dwellings between 2011-26 based on 2008-based CLG Household Projections. Examination is on-going and considering housing need.
Stratford-on-Avon	Council is in process of preparing its Core Strategy. Focused Consultation on the Housing Requirement and Strategic Site Options is being undertaken between January and March 2014.	The Focused Consultation Document proposes a housing requirement of 10,800 homes between 2011-31. This is informed by Coventry & Warwickshire Joint SHMA (GL Hearn, Nov 2013) and an <i>Update to Review of</i> <i>Housing Requirements</i> report (ERM, Dec 2013). These indicate housing need between 540-600 homes per annum. The consultation includes potential options for a new settlement at either Gaydon/ Lighthorne Heath or at Long Marston Airfield; or strategic development on the south- eastern side of Stratford-upon-Avon or north-east of Southam/Stoneythorpe.
South Northamptonshire	Joint Core Strategy for West Northamptonshire submitted for examination in December 2012. Plan examination is on-going.	 Draft Joint Core Strategy proposes delivery of 6,010 homes in South Northamptonshire between 2010-26 based on the East Midlands Regional Plan. JPU has undertaken a review of its housing evidence and now proposes delivery of 11,020 homes between 2011-29. This is based on its evidence within <i>The New</i> <i>Household Projections and their Implications for West</i> <i>Northamptonshire (CCHPR, June 2013).</i>

- 3.2 Of the nine surrounding authorities, a number are progressing with preparation of a new local plan or core strategy and as part of this are or have prepared evidence of their housing needs.
- 3.3 A number of authorities have defined their housing need and have or are developing a plan on this basis. This includes the local authorities of Cotswold, Swindon, Stratford-on-Avon and South Northamptonshire. Plan examinations are underway in Swindon and for West Northamptonshire, but at this stage in neither case is there an indication of an unmet need for housing. Neither Stratford-on-Avon or Cotswold District has specifically identified an shortfall in potential housing provision at this stage which it is seeking other authorities to meet.
- 3.4 Several authorities have development plans in place which are based on housing targets in the South East Plan. These include the local authorities of Wycombe, Wokingham, Reading, West Berkshire. Of these Wycombe District Council and Reading Borough Council are commencing work on preparing a new local plan. Wycombe District is currently consulting on potential development options. Besides Wycombe District, these authorities have yet to undertake or complete an NPPFcompliant SHMA and assessment of their capacity to meet these needs.

- 3.5 The above is based on a desk-based review of current and emerging policies. It is not intended to discharge the requirement for authorities to work collaboratively with one another in preparing development plans, and it will be important that the local authorities across Oxfordshire continue to work collaboratively with one another, and with other adjoining authorities outside of the HMA in devising future policies for housing provision.
- 3.6 This SHMA provides an assessment of housing need. In taking forward development plans, the Councils will need to consider the need identified and any unmet need identified by adjoining authorities alongside evidence of land availability, development and infrastructure constraints in devising housing targets. Options will need to be considered and tested through Sustainability Appraisal.

Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment, April 2014 Appendices, Final Report

4 AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEFINITIONS

Key Definitions

4.1 We set out below key definitions relating to housing need, affordability and affordable housing.

Housing Need

4.2 Housing need is defined as the number of households who lack their own housing or who live in unsuitable housing and who cannot afford to meet their housing needs in the market.

Newly-Arising Need

4.3 Newly-arising (or future) need is a measure of the number of households who are expected to have an affordable housing need at some point in the future. In this assessment we have used trend data from CORE along with demographic projections about the number of new households forming (along with affordability) to estimate future needs.

Supply of Affordable Housing

4.4 An estimate of the likely future supply of affordable housing is also made (drawing on secondary data sources about past lettings). The future supply of affordable housing is subtracted from the newly-arising need to make an assessment of the net future need for affordable housing.

Affordability

- 4.5 Affordability is assessed by comparing household incomes, based on income data modelled using a number of sources including CACI, ASHE, the English Housing Survey (EHS) and ONS data, against the cost of suitable market housing (to either buy or rent). Separate tests are applied for home ownership and private renting (in line with the SHMA Guidance) and are summarised below:
 - Assessing whether a household can afford home ownership: A household is considered able to afford to buy a home if it costs 3.5 times the gross household income CLG guidance suggests using different measures for households with multiple incomes (2.9×) and those with a single income (3.5×), however (partly due to data availability) we have only used a 3.5 times multiplier for analysis. This ensures that housing need figures are not over-estimated in practical terms it makes little difference to the analysis due to the inclusion of a rental test (below) which tends to require lower incomes for households to be able to afford access to market housing;
 - Assessing whether a household can afford market renting: A household is considered able to afford market rented housing in cases where the rent payable would constitute no more than 35% of gross income. CLG guidance suggests that 25% of income is a reasonable start point but suggests that a higher figure could be used. In Oxfordshire household incomes are generally slightly above the national average whilst private rental costs are also high and so the 25% figure has been changed for affordability testing. The use of a 35% figure is arbitrary but is consistent with other research carried out in Oxfordshire (such as in a study by B.Line Housing Information for Cherwell in 2009). The analysis also contains sensitivity analysis using lower thresholds of 25% and 30% as well as studying the implications of pushing the figure up to 40%.

- 4.1 It should be recognised that a key challenge in assessing housing need using secondary sources is the lack of information available regarding households' existing savings. This is a key factor in affecting the ability of young households to purchase housing particularly in the current market context where a deposit of at least 10% is typically required for the more attractive mortgage deals. However in many cases households who do not have sufficient savings to purchase have sufficient income to rent housing privately without support, and thus the impact on the overall assessment of housing need is limited.
 - Affordable Housing: The NPPF provides the definition of affordable housing (as used in this report). The following is taken from Annex 2 of NPPF. "Affordable housing includes social rented, affordable rented and intermediate housing, provided to specified eligible households whose needs are not met by the market. Affordable housing should:
 - Meet the needs of eligible households including availability at a cost low enough for them to afford, determined with regard to local incomes and local house prices;
 - Include provision for the home to remain at an affordable price for future eligible households or, if these restrictions are lifted, for the subsidy to be recycled for alternative affordable housing provision."

Within the definition of affordable housing there is also the distinction between social rented affordable rented, and intermediate housing.

- Social Rented Housing: This is defined as "rented housing owned and managed by local authorities and registered social landlords, for which guideline target rents are determined through the national rent regime. It may also include rented housing owned or managed by other persons and provided under equivalent rental arrangements to the above, as agreed with the local authority or with the Homes and Communities Agency as a condition of grant."
- Affordable rented housing: This is defined as "rented housing let by registered providers of social housing to households who are eligible for social rented housing. Affordable Rent is not subject to the national rent regime but is subject to other rent controls that require a rent of no more than 80 per cent of the local market rent."
- Intermediate Housing: "Intermediate affordable housing is 'Housing at prices and rents above those of social rent, but below market price or rents. These can include shared equity products (e.g. HomeBuy), other low cost homes for sale and intermediate rent but does not include affordable rented housing."
- 4.2 As part of our analysis in this report we have therefore studied the extent to which both social rented, intermediate housing and affordable rented housing can meet housing need in Oxfordshire.

5 SURVEY OF LOCAL PRICES & RENTS

- 5.1 An important part of the assessment of housing need is to establish the entry-level costs of housing to buy and rent. This housing needs assessment then compares this with the incomes of households within the County to establish what proportion of households can meet their needs in the market, and what proportion require support and are thus defined as having a 'housing need.'
- 5.2 In this Appendix we establish the entry-level costs of housing to both buy and rent in each of the five local authorities. Our approach has been to carry out a desktop survey using internet sources. We have assessed prices and rents for different sizes of properties from one to four bedrooms in each of the different locations. It should be noted that the figures presented are for whole local authority areas; there will therefore be differences within each District which is not captured in the analysis.
- 5.3 Figure 6 below shows estimated lower quartile property prices obtained from this search. The prices have been reduced slightly (on average by about 6%) to take account of the difference between asking prices and prices paid based on information from the Hometrack website.
- 5.4 The data shows some notable differences between areas with prices in Oxford and South Oxfordshire being particularly high and the lowest prices to be found in Cherwell. Overall, prices are estimated to start at about £99,000 for a one-bedroom home in Cherwell and rising to about £376,000 for four bedrooms in South Oxfordshire. The data excludes shared ownership and retirement homes for the purposes of analysis (although the latter have been included within the analysis of volumes of homes available by size).



Figure 6: Entry-level Purchase Price

Source: Online Estate and Letting Agents Survey (June 2013)

5.5 Figure 7 shows the volume of properties for sale in each of the five districts. The data shows in all areas that the focus is on larger (3 and 4 bedroom) properties. There are however some differences between areas with over 40% of homes for sale in South Oxfordshire having four or more bedrooms compared with just 26% in Oxford. All areas show a fairly modest supply of one bedroom homes for sale.



Figure 7: Volume of properties advertised for sale

Source: Online Estate and Letting Agents Survey (June 2013)

5.6 The entry-level cost for private rented accommodation is presented in the figure below. This indicates that entry-level rents range from about £550-£795 per month for a one bedroom home up

to around £1,550 per month for a four bedroom property depending on location. As with the sales prices rent levels in Oxford and South Oxfordshire are highest with lower costs seen in Cherwell. Other than for four bedroom homes the rental costs in Oxford are significantly higher than for any other area.







5.7 Figure 9 shows the volumes of properties available for rent in each of the five local authorities by size of property. Compared with properties for sale the data clearly indicates a higher proportion of smaller homes being available to rent in all areas with up to a quarter of available homes being one bedroom and over half having one- or two-bedrooms. Where possible the figures exclude lettings advertised on a room only basis (which are often advertised under the heading of the overall size of the property). However, where a property is advertised in its entirety it is included in the figures even if it is specifically targeted at sharers (e.g. groups of students).



Figure 9: Volume of properties advertised to rent privately

Source: Online Estate and Letting Agents Survey (June 2013)

- 5.8 In addition to rental costs from our internet survey we have looked at the maximum amount of Local Housing Allowance (LHA) payable on different sized properties within the HMA. Maximum LHA payments are based on estimates of rents at the 30th percentile and should therefore be roughly comparable with our estimates of lower quartile costs. Much of the County falls into the Oxford Broad Rental Market Area (BRMA) although parts fall into a further five different areas. Key settlements in other areas are Cherwell Valley BRMA Banbury, Bicester, Chipping Norton; Aylesbury BRMA Thame, Reading BRMA Henley; Cheltenham BRMA Burford and Swindon BRMA Farringdon. As a result the LHA levels will be unduly influenced by areas outside of the District.
- 5.9 Below we have therefore provided details for the six BRMAs with a particular focus on Oxford. The data shows that the highest LHA levels are for Oxford although when compared with the findings of our market survey the figures look to be slightly on the low side. This suggests (as evidenced in the market survey) that cheaper rents can be found in the Oxford BRMA but outside of the City. This may mean that households will have difficulty in finding benefit supported private rented housing within the City. Additionally, the LHA levels in areas which partially fall within the County are also low when compared with the market survey and again suggests for these locations a potential difficulty in finding suitable accommodation for some households.
- 5.10 Table 7 below we have also added LHA rates for room only accommodation. Generally, the amount able to be claimed for a room is around 50%-65% of the figure for a self-contained one bedroom property. Single households under 35 are now only to claim the Single Room Rate.

Tuble	Tuble 7. Maximum Entry payments by once and broad notioning market Area						
Size	Oxford	Cherwell Valley	Aylesbury	Reading	Cheltenham	Swindon	
Room only	£351	£303	£300	£325	£286	£282	
1 bedroom	£675	£506	£525	£650	£475	£439	
2 bedrooms	£818	£650	£650	£800	£623	£542	
3 bedrooms	£950	£775	£795	£915	£741	£650	
4 bedrooms	£1,300	£995	£1,250	£1,300	£1,022	£850	

Table 7: Maximum LHA payments by Size and Broad Housing Market Area

Source: VOA data (June 2013)

Cost of Affordable Housing

5.11 Traditionally the main type of affordable housing available in an area is social rented housing and the cost of social rented accommodation by dwelling size can be obtained from Continuous Recording (CORE) - a national information source on social rented lettings. The table below illustrates the rental cost of lettings of social rented properties by size in 2012/13. As can be seen the costs are below those for private rented housing indicating a gap between the social rented and market sectors. This gap increases for larger properties. The figures in the table include service charges.

District	1 bedroom	2 bedrooms	3+ bedrooms
Cherwell	£382	£422	£477
Oxford	£387	£442	£523
South Oxon	£399	£452	£543
VoWH	£435	£475	£545
West Oxon	£434	£492	£536
Source: CORE (2013)			

Table 8: Monthly average social rent levels

5.12 Changes in affordable housing provision has seen the introduction of a new tenure of affordable housing (Affordable Rented). Affordable rented housing is defined in the NPPF as being 'let by local authorities or private registered providers of social housing to households who are eligible for social rented housing. Affordable Rent is subject to rent controls that require a rent of no more than 80% of the local market rent (including service charges, where applicable).'

5.13 Funding provision to support affordable housing delivery within the current 2011-15 National Affordable Housing Programme (administered by the Homes and Communities Agency) as well as the 2015-18 Programme is focused towards delivery of affordable rented homes.

- 5.14 Affordable Rented housing can be considered to be similar to social rented housing but at a potentially higher rent. The 80% (maximum) rent is to be based on the open market rental value of the individual property and so it is not possible to say what this will exactly mean in terms of cost (for example the rent for a two-bedroom flat is likely to be significantly different to a two-bedroom detached bungalow). In addition, market rents for newbuild homes are likely to be higher than within the existing stock and may well be in excess of 80% of lower quartile rents.
- 5.15 Table 9 shows potential affordable rents at 80% of market cost by size of property (including service charge). The data shows that affordable rents (based on 80% of our market estimate) are above social rents for all property sizes. For one bedroom homes in some locations (notably Vale of White Horse and West Oxfordshire) the differences are quite marginal. For smaller homes there will be less to gain in viability terms in providing homes at 80% of market rents.
- 5.16 For larger property sizes it is however the case that affordable rents will be notably higher than current social rents with the gap widening as property sizes get bigger. This suggests in viability terms that affordable rent might work for some sizes and locations the affordability of such accommodation should however also be considered. This latter point provides some support for providing affordable rent at below the 80% maximum (particularly for larger properties), but noting that this needs to be balanced against viability considerations.

Area	1 bedroom	2 bedrooms 3 bedrooms		4 bedrooms
Cherwell	£460	£580	£716	£1,000
Oxford	£636	£840	£1,000	£1,080
South Oxon	£540	£680	£880	£1,240
VoWH	£440	£620	£780	£1,120
West Oxon	£460	£620	£760	£1,180

Table 9: Cost of Affordable Rented Housing by size and sub-area (per month)

Source: Derived from Online Estate and Letting Agents Survey (June 2013)

6 MONITORING FRAMEWORK

- 6.1 The Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) presents a robust analysis of the functioning of housing markets across the County. It has benchmarked performance, assessed trends and identified key drivers of change. This has then been drawn together to consider, based upon the best evidence available now, a future trajectory for housing demand which recognises external economic and market drivers. This provides a fit-for-purpose basis upon which to develop strategic policies to achieve a balanced housing market.
- 6.2 Housing markets are though, by their very nature, dynamic. The Councils will therefore need to monitor trends and activity in the housing market. This reflects the Government's 'plan, monitor and manage' approach to the planning system.
- 6.3 The objectives of monitoring are similar to those of the SHMA: it is about establishing what is happening now and considering what may happen in the future. Again it will be important to make a distinction between short and long-term trends where possible. It is intended to be a continuous and proactive process which informs policy development and how policies are implemented.
- 6.4 However it needs to be recognised that monitoring can be a time and resource-intensive process. This promotes an emphasis on efficiencies and we consider that this is possible at two levels:
 - achieving clarity between what is monitored and by whom; and
 - ensuring that co-ordinated monitoring systems are developed between departments, and ideally with RPs and other key housing stakeholders.

Monitoring Framework

- 6.5 This framework has informed our suggested approach and identification of indicators which should be monitored. We consider the best approach to be the development of a co-ordinated system for monitoring changes in the housing market, together with demographic and economic drivers which is linked to and informs preparation of Annual Monitoring Report.
- 6.6 The remainder of this section presents the monitoring framework. It sets out the indicators which should be monitored, data sources and monitoring periods. The monitoring framework is structured around the key drivers of change in the housing market. In addition we have provided a methodology for updating both the housing needs and housing market models.
- 6.7 A key element of the approach adopted is in considering wider demographic and economic trends which influence the operation of the housing market alongside changes in market dynamics (including supply, demand, need and price variables) and housing land availability.



Figure 10: Monitoring Framework Structure

- 6.8 Through a proactive monitoring process it will be possible to maintain and develop understanding of the housing market, building on the outcomes of the SHMA. It will allow the implementation of policies to be tailored to evolving circumstances and inform future policy evolution.
- 6.9 It is important though that monitoring does not just become a 'data collection exercise' it will be important to use time-series analysis to identify trends; and to move beyond the data to distil what it is telling us and the implications of this for policy development implementation. Maintaining an

understanding of the dynamics of the housing market will ensure that a robust evidence base exists to evaluate planning applications for housing development and ensure that policy is pro-active rather than reactive to change.

- 6.10 The data collection exercise could be 'led' by the Councils' existing Strategic Intelligence Teams' staff. There is likely to be strong overlap with information which is collected currently, including preparing Annual Monitoring Reports or as part of other housing/planning/economic development functions and the wider research programme of the Strategic Intelligence Teams.
- 6.11 However this information will need to be drawn together at the housing market area level (where possible) and will require consistent analysis to identify inter-relationships, messages and implications. This then needs to be fed through and understood across housing and planning departments. We therefore need to think about three steps: data collation; analysis/ messages; dissemination. Partners should consider the appropriate groupings to take these forward efficiently and effectively.

Theme	Indicator	Geography	Data Source
Ø	Total population	Region, local authority, ward	ONS mid-year population estimates
namic	Population by age	Region, local authority, ward	ONS mid-year population estimates
Demographic dynamics	Components of population change (i.e. natural change/ migration)	Region, local authority	ONS mid-year population estimates
emogr	Household growth	Region, local authority	CLG housing statistics/projections
Δ	International & domestic migration	Region, local authority	ONS
	GVA Annual Growth	Region, NUTS3	ONS
e	GVA per head	Region, NUTS3	ONS
r Mark	Total Employment	Region, local authority	Business Register & Employment Survey
aboui	Economic Activity Rates	Region, local authority	Annual Population Survey
y and I	Employment by Sector	Region, local authority	Annual Business Inquiry
Economy and Labour Market	Workplace-based Earnings	Region, local authority	Annual Survey of Hours & Earnings
— о Ш	Residence-based Earnings	Region, local authority	Annual Survey of Hours & Earnings

Figure 11: Monitoring Framework Annual Updates

	Household incomes	Region, local authority	CACI Paycheck
	Jobseekers Claimant Count	Region, local authority	NOMIS
			Planning
	Housing Land Supply	Local Authority	Department/Annual
			Monitoring Reports
(0			Planning
ud;	Completions	Region, local authority	Department/Annual
Tre			Monitoring Reports
Housing Supply Trends			Planning
ddn	Completions by Tenure	Region, local authority	Department/Annual
S S			Monitoring Reports
sing			Planning
no	Housing Trajectory	Region, local authority	Department/Annual
Ξ.			Monitoring Reports
			Planning
	Affordable Housing Trajectory	Local authority	Department/Annual
			Monitoring Reports
	Average House Prices	Region, local authority	Land Registry
nce	Lower quartile House Prices	Region, local authority	Land Registry/CLG
ma	Private Sector Rents	Region, local authority	Valuation Office
for	Filvale Sector Rents	Region, local authority	Agency
Per	Vacancy by tenure	Region, local authority	LAHS/RSR/CORE
et	Social Sector Turnover	Region, local authority	LAHS/RSR/CORE
Housing Market Performance	Qualitative data on market	Local authority, Housing	
	performance/trends	Market	Local estate agents
sin	Affordability rates	Region, local authority	CLG
lou	Private tenante elaiming LUA	Local authority	Department for Work
	Private tenants claiming LHA	Local authonity	and Pensions

Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment, April 2014 Appendices, Final Report

Updating the SHMA

Background

- 6.12 It is not possible to assess precisely when it will become necessary to update the SHMA. This is likely to depend on the degree of change in housing market and economic conditions, and the level to which actual trends deviate from those forecast in the Assessment. However we would envisage that this could be taken forward in three to five years' time.
- 6.13 It will be particularly important to ensure that the housing needs analysis reflect current market conditions and the delivery of affordable housing. It will also be useful to compare and assess future population/household or economic forecasts. In due course, it may therefore be necessary to commission partial or full updating of the SHMA.
- 6.14 How updating is addressed, in practical terms, may depend upon what needs to be updated. If it is just new forecasts, this may be possible through preparation of a briefing paper. If a more detailed reassessment of housing needs is required, this is likely to be more resource intensive and require input from range of individuals from various organisations. Partners may wish to draw on specialist expertise to help with certain technical elements of the Assessments such as housing needs or forecasting, however many of the other elements of the work are relatively straight-forward.
- 6.15 Below we have provided more specific advice about updating various elements of the SHMA which if followed would provide consistent outputs with the published report. The key areas of analysis for updating are:
 - Market prices and rents;
 - Affordability;
 - Housing Needs Analysis; and
 - Housing Market Model

Updating Housing Market Prices and Rents

- 6.16 We recommend that housing market prices and private sector rent levels are most robustly updated through internet searches of sites such as Rightmove and Zoopla. This can allow for an up-to-date assessment of housing costs and allows for information to be drawn out for a number of different areas.
- 6.17 The simplest way to do this is to enter the name of a place (e.g. Oxford) and a specific size of home (e.g. two bedroom only) and bring up a list of all homes for sale or rent in that particular category. To calculate entry-level costs we would establish the lower quartile price/rent by sorting the data from low to high and looking at the cost of the property that falls a quarter of the way from low to

high (e.g. if a search gives 100 matches then the price/rent of the 25th entry would be considered as the lower quartile).

- 6.18 The process can be repeated for all sizes of accommodation to both rent and buy and for different locations. Where the number of homes on the market is low or where there is significant variation in costs we recommend widening the search (changing the search radius) until a reasonable set of figures can be produced.
- 6.19 For homes to buy we also recommend consideration of the ratio of asking prices to sales prices. This information is provided (free) on the Hometrack website by entering a postcode for the area in question. For rental homes we would assume that the advertised price also represents the actual cost once let.
- 6.20 In doing this it is important that properties at the lower end of the market are properly scrutinised to check that they properly reflect homes for sale or rent. With properties to buy it is quite frequent to find a small number of shared ownership homes advertised typically the price quoted for such homes is for the purchase part only (e.g. 50% of full market value) and so the figures derived will be unduly low if included within the analysis. On the rental side we often find a small number of 'room only' lets advertised under the size of the whole of a property and as a result the rental figures will again be too low. Where possible such properties should be identified and excluded from the analysis.

Affordability

- 6.21 Having established prices and rents we can use this information to assess the likely affordability of housing for different groups of the population. For the purposes of the housing needs assessment (see below) our analysis is limited to estimating incomes/affordability for households on the Housing Register and also newly forming households although a more general affordability measure has also been developed to allow comparisons across different areas.
- 6.22 Changes in incomes can be tracked using a range of sources including the Annual Survey of Hours and Earning (ASHE), English Housing Survey (EHS), CACI Paycheck information and ONS income estimates.
- 6.23 The key to the affordability test is the distribution of incomes and understanding the proportion of households falling below specified thresholds of income. For affordability testing we have assumed that income needs to be higher than the cost of a property divided by 3.5 (e.g. if a home will cost £105,000 to buy then an income of at least £30,000 will be required for this to be affordable). For private rental the rental cost must be represent at most 35% of the household income (e.g. if a

property rents for £500 per month then a monthly income of £1,428 will be required - £17,124 per annum). These tests vary slightly those set out in the CLG's Strategic Housing market assessment Practice Guidance.

- 6.24 Regarding income distributions, it is normal that income data will be for all households and therefore not representative of the groups for who we want to study affordability. To get an idea of how incomes for different groups differ from figures for all households we drew on information from the English Housing Survey (EHS). This suggested that incomes of social tenants are typically around 45% of the all household figure whilst newly forming households have incomes around 70% of that for all households. We looked at EHS data over a number of years and found that these figures were fairly consistent over time. For analytical purposes it has been assumed that the income distribution of households on the Housing Register will be similar to those already in social rented housing.
- 6.25 These figures could be checked as new publications of the EHS are published although we would still recommend looking at data over a number of years to form a view on how the incomes of the key groups differ from that for all households.
- 6.26 To make adjustments to the income distribution data to take account of lower figures for those on the housing register and newly forming households we simply adjust the income bands. For example, for newly forming households the proportion of households in the £25,000 to £30,000 income bracket would be assumed to actually be in a £17,500 to £21,000 bracket.
- 6.27 The proportion of households with an income above the threshold are assumed to be able to afford and all those below cannot. Where the threshold falls somewhere between the top and bottom of a particular income bracket we assign affordability proportions on a pro-rata basis depending of where within the band the threshold lies (e.g. if affordability is at an income of £21,000 and our band runs from £20,000 to £25,000 we assume that a fifth of households in the band cannot afford and four-fifths can.

Updating the Housing Needs Analysis

6.28 The housing needs analysis has drawn on a number of secondary data sources – all of which the Councils will have reasonable access to. The key sources are Local Authorities' Housing Register data and demographic projection information (from which estimates of newly forming households can be derived).

Backlog of Need

6.29 The backlog of need is based on those on the Register who are in one of the priority bands and who are not currently a social tenant. Each household was assigned to a housing market area on the basis of where they currently live with areas for those living outside the County or of no fixed abode being assigned on a pro-rata basis. This analysis provides an indication of the backlog of housing need in sub-market. Whilst further analysis would be possible to test the affordability of these households our analysis at the time of the original assessment it was assessed (based on the affordability measure described above) that very few households on the register would be able to afford market housing without the need for some form of subsidy (e.g. housing benefit/local housing allowance).

Newly-arising Need

A. Newly forming households

- 6.30 For newly forming households we have estimated (through our demographic modelling) the number of new households likely to form over the five year period and then applied an affordability test. The number of newly forming households is limited to households forming who are aged under 35. This methodology is recognised in guidance as a robust method for assessing the number of newly forming households. The methodology works by simply looking at the number of households in a particularly age group (e.g. 20-24) and comparing this with the number in an age group five years earlier and five years younger (e.g. in the 15-19 age group). To test the affordability of newly forming households it will be necessary to use the methodology described above.
 - B. Existing households falling into Need
- 6.31 To assess existing households falling into need each Council's Housing Register can again be used. For the purposes of our original analysis we looked at all applications made over the past five years who still remained on the register. This reflects the unmet need from households joining the register over the past five years. As with the assessment of backlog need households in a lower priority band are excluded as are any newly forming households (e.g. those currently living with family) and also those currently living in the County in affordable housing.

Supply of Affordable Housing

6.32 The supply of affordable housing can be based on information taken from Registered Providers or CoRe lettings about properties that have been let over the past five years. Within the supply of affordable housing are figures for intermediate housing and we would recommend splitting out social rented, affordable rent and intermediate housing. Generally the past supply of affordable housing can be taken to represent a likely future supply although in updating figures it is worth considering if there are any patterns which suggest either an upward or downward trend in supply.

Net Housing Need

6.33 Net housing need can be calculated by simply adding together the figures from each of the backlog and two categories of newly arising need and subtracting the estimated supply. We would recommend that figures are annualised as well as being provided for a five year projections period. The figure below summarises the key stages of analysis.

Figure 12: Summary of Stages in Assessing Housing Need

- i. Households on Register
- ii. *Minus those not in a priority band*
- iii. Minus those already in affordable housing
- iv. Assign to locations based on current residence
- v. Test affordability (if updated affordability calculations suggest this is necessary)
- vi. This will provide an estimate of the backlog need
- vii. Estimate newly forming households (by Sub Market)
- viii. Test affordability
- ix. This provides estimate of need from new households
- x. Estimate number of households registered over past 5 years who are still looking for housing
- xi. Remove those not in a priority band
- xii. Remove newly forming households
- xiii. Remove current affordable housing tenants
- xiv. Test affordability (if updated affordability calculations suggest this is necessary)
- xv. This provides total projection of existing households in need (over five years)
- xvi. Estimate lettings of affordable housing over past five years
- xvii. Split between social rented, intermediate housing and affordable rent
- xviii. Subtract supply from the three needs calculations
- xix. This provides an estimate of net housing need (and by Sub Market)

Intermediate housing

6.34 As well as estimating the overall requirement for affordable housing the information can be used to assess likely requirements for intermediate housing and also Affordable Rented housing. For the purposes of our original assessment we tested intermediate housing on the basis of a housing cost of 80% or more of the cost of market properties (although different assumptions could be used). The costs of housing at this level were then tested against the income distributions for each of the Housing Register and newly forming households to estimate likely proportions able to afford intermediate housing. From this the supply of different types of housing was subtracted to establish a net need for different tenures.

Housing Market Model

- 6.35 The final analysis carried out as part of the Strategic Housing Market Assessment was an analysis of the implications on the housing market of demographic change.
- 6.36 The general methodology is to use information derived from population/household projections about the number of household reference persons (HRPs) (better know as head of household) in each age and sex group and apply this to the profile of housing within these groups. The data for this analysis has been formed from a commissioned table by ONS (Table C1213) the table is available on the ONS website. In addition, a further table (C1212) was commissioned from ONS to provide this data at ward level to allow information to be built up into the twenty sub markets.
- 6.37 By estimating how the number of HRPs in each age group changes over time it is possible to estimate the profile of housing that they would be likely to occupy.
- 6.38 The analysis should also be carried out separately for market, affordable housing as the occupancy profiles in each of these tenure groups will differ. This requires making an assumption about the amount of affordable housing likely to be provided and within the original SHMA report this was based on the maximum amount likely to be viable in each Sub Market.
- 6.39 By estimating the growth in households of different ages it is therefore possible to understand how likely demands for housing will change. For example, if households aged 60-64 are particularly likely to live in three bedroom accommodation and this age group is projected to increase more than other groups then the analysis would suggest a likely greater demand for three bedroom homes. It is important that any analysis looks at all age groups as it is typical to find (particularly in the market sector) that dwelling sizes increase as households get older but start to decrease following retirement age.
- 6.40 A full description of the process undertaken to establish likely size requirements is described in more detail in the full SHMA report.

Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment

Summary – Key Findings on Housing Need

March 2014

Prepared by

GL Hearn Limited 20 Soho Square London W1D 3QW

T +44 (0)20 7851 4900 F +44 (0)20 7851 4910 glhearn.com Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment Summary – Key Findings on Housing Need, March 2014

Contents

Sectio	n	Page
1	INTRODUCTION	5
2	CHARACTERISTICS OF OXFORDSHIRE'S HOUSING MARKET	9
3	FUTURE NEED FOR HOUSING	11
4	CONCLUSIONS	23

Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment Summary – Key Findings on Housing Need, March 2014

1 INTRODUCTION

Purpose of the Report and Key Findings

- 1.1 This report sets out key findings of the Oxfordshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment relating to the overall need for housing to 2031. It describes the approach and factors considered to identifying overall housing need.
- 1.2 It starts by examining what level of housing would be needed on the basis of past population trends, and then considers the degree to which this needs to be adjusted to take account of the identified need for affordable housing, to improve housing affordability and to support committed economic growth in line with the approach Government expects councils to follow.
- 1.3 The SHMA concludes that up to 93,560 106,560 additional homes are needed across Oxfordshire in the period 2011-2031 (between 4,678 – 5,328 homes per annum). This is based on meeting the housing need identified, supporting committed economic growth (including the City Deal) and improving housing affordability as required by Government.





1.4 The assessed housing need at a local authority level is set out in Figure 2.

Housing Needed per Year (2011-31)	Housing Need Per Year (Net)	Midpoint of Range
Cherwell	1090-1190	1140
Oxford	1200 - 1600	1400
South Oxfordshire	725-825	775
Vale of White Horse	1028	1028
West Oxfordshire	635-685	660
Oxfordshire	4678 - 5328	5003

Figure 2: Assessed Housing Need – Oxfordshire Local Authorities, 2011-31

1.5 As well as considering the overall need for housing, the SHMA considers what mix of housing is needed. It assesses the need for different types of affordable housing, for different sizes of homes (both market and affordable) and the needs of specific groups in the population, including older people. This summary is however focused on identifying the overall need for housing.

Context

- 1.6 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)¹ sets out the Government's planning policies for England. It outlines that in planning for housing, councils must work with neighbouring councils to prepare a Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) for the housing market area, and must also cooperate to ensure the needs of the housing market area are met (unless it is unsustainable to do so). The "Duty to Cooperate" is both a legal requirement, based on the 2011 Localism Act, and a soundness test for plan-making.
- 1.7 The SHMA has defined Oxfordshire as the relevant housing market area (Figure 3). This reflects the flows of people moving home and commuting across local authority boundaries, as well as the economic influence of the City.
- 1.8 The NPPF outlines that the SHMA should provide an objective assessment of the full need for market and affordable housing within the housing market area (para 47). The presumption in favour of sustainable development within the NPPF (para 15) sets out that plans should be based on meeting the need identified in full, where it is sustainable to do so. If one Council is not able to meet all of its own identified housing need, it is required by legislation (in the 2011 Localism Act and NPPF paras 178-182) to work with adjoining local authorities to consider where any shortfall can be met.

¹ CLG (2012) National Planning Policy Framework

- 1.9 The SHMA does not set housing targets in itself. It provides an assessment of the future need for housing based on facts and unbiased evidence.
- 1.10 Government guidance and advice is explicit that the SHMA itself must not apply constraints to the overall assessment of need, such as environmental constraints or issues related to congestion and local infrastructure. This does not mean that these issues are not important. They are very relevant issues in considering how much development can be sustainably accommodated and where new development should be located.

Figure 3: Oxfordshire Housing Market Area



- 1.11 Moving beyond the SHMA, the Oxfordshire authorities have agreed to work together to bring together evidence of the capacity of different areas to accommodate new housing development, and to test the deliverability of options for different levels of housing provision. This work will inform the derivation of housing targets, alongside other evidence and testing which will be undertaken by each authority in developing local plans.
- 1.12 The SHMA provides a starting point for this process identifying the level of housing need. There is a significant level of further work to do to test whether this can be accommodated, to identify where housing should go, and to plan for the supporting infrastructure investment which will be needed to

ensure that growth is sustainable. This further work will refine the overall level of housing provision which needs to be planned for, and how this is distributed to different parts of Oxfordshire.

2 CHARACTERISTICS OF OXFORDSHIRE'S HOUSING MARKET

2.1 Oxfordshire is home to 655,000 people and 260,000 households.² It has a successful economy built around the universities and high-tech industries and businesses. The natural environment is attractive and varied, with a quarter of the county falling within Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty. The attractiveness of the county as a place to live and work influences the need for housing and the nature of its housing market.

The Housing Stock Today

- 2.2 There were just over 272,000 homes in Oxfordshire in 2011. Of these 84% are owned privately with 16% owned by public bodies including Housing Associations, Councils and the Ministry of Defence. Two thirds (67%) of households in 2011 owned their own home, with 19% living in private rented homes and 14% in social rented housing. A much higher proportion of households in Oxford rent (52%) compared to the other districts, where most households are owner occupiers. However the number of households renting privately has grown significantly at the national level between 2001-11, and in Oxfordshire grew by 37%.
- 2.3 Detached and semi-detached homes accounted for 61% of the housing stock in 2011. Overall 66% of homes across Oxfordshire had three or more bedrooms. A greater proportion of homes in the City are smaller, with 43% having 1- or 2-bedrooms, as we might expect for a larger urban area. Reflecting the housing costs and mix in the City, as well as its younger population and student numbers, 6.2% of households were identified as overcrowded in 2011 compared to 3.3% across Oxfordshire as a whole.

Tenure	65.5% Owned; 19.2% Private Rented; 14.2% Social Rented
House Type	29% Detached, 32% Semi-Detached, 22% Terraced, 16% Flat/ Maisonette
House Size	10% 1-bed, 24% 2-bed, 40% 3-bed, 20% 4-bed, 7% 5+ bed
Specialist Housing	Around 6,600 Sheltered and Extra Care homes; and 4,400 bedspaces in Care Homes

Figure 4: Profile of Homes across Oxfordshire, 2011

Source: 2001 Census, Housing LIN

² ONS, Mid 2011

Housing Market Dynamics

- 2.4 The average price of a home sold in Oxfordshire in January 2014 was £253,000: 50% above the national average and 13% above average for the South East region. Over the year to January 2014 house price growth was 4.4%: marginally above the national average.
- 2.5 Over the period since 2007 the housing market has been influenced by the credit crunch, with households finding it more difficult to access mortgage finance. House prices fell in 2008 and 2009 but have since recovered and now exceed their previous peak. Sales volumes currently remain below the long-term average, although the evidence suggests that market activity and sales have increased notably during the course of 2013, supported by an improving economic climate and Government initiatives such as the Help-to-Buy Scheme which has supported sales of new-build homes.
- 2.6 Private rental costs vary for market homes from on average £425 per month for a room and £550 for a studio through to £1,625 per month for homes with four or more bedrooms. Rental costs have grown for most property sizes over the last three years. Estate agents point to an active lettings market which accommodates a range of tenants.
- 2.7 House prices and rental costs in Oxford are notably higher than in other parts of the County. Rental costs for 2 and 3-bed properties in the private rented sector in the City are on average 18% above the County average. The price of a mid-priced (terraced and semi-detached) housing in the City is 40% above the County average. However the City has more people with lower incomes, and thus affordability pressures are more acute.
- 2.8 House prices are relatively unaffordable for many households. Lower quartile house prices in Oxfordshire are on average 9 times higher than annual lower quartile incomes in the county³, indicating that many young households will not be able to afford to buy a home. In Oxford and South Oxfordshire lower quartile house prices are on average over 10 times higher than annual lower quartile incomes. This is significantly above the national average of 6.6. The levels of savings alone which young households would need to put down a new home are putting home ownership beyond the means of many.

³ This is the Government's preferred indicator of the affordability of market housing. It seeks to measure the affordability of market housing for young households and allow this to be measured over time. It does not take into account interest rates or deposit requirements.

3 FUTURE NEED FOR HOUSING

How is the future need for housing identified?

- 3.1 The primary purpose of the SHMA is to objectively establish the future need for housing. It considers how many homes will be needed, what mix of homes are required including both market and affordable housing and whether there are particular groups in the population (such as older people) who have specific housing needs. This summary report focuses on the overall need for homes.
- 3.2 The NPPF⁴ sets out that the SHMA should identify the scale, mix and type of housing which the local population is likely to need over the plan period (to 2031) which:
 - Meets household and population projections, taking account of migration and demographic change;
 - Addresses the need for all types of housing, including affordable housing and the needs of different groups in the community; and
 - Caters for housing demand and the scale of housing supply necessary to meet this demand.
- 3.3 The assessment is required to consider the need for both market and affordable housing, to take account of market signals (such as land prices and the affordability of housing) and expected levels of economic growth (recognising that people may move to the area to work) (NPPF para 159).
- 3.4 The Government published National Planning Practice Guidance in draft in August 2013⁵ which sets out how the future need for housing should be assessed. This outlines that the starting point for considering future housing need is the latest Government household projections, but cautions that these are trend-based and do not attempt to predict the impact which, for instance, changes in market or economic circumstances could have. These need to be considered with projections for housing need adjusted to take these into account. It sets out that the projections may also require adjustment to take account of factors affecting population trends and rates of household formation locally, including housing market circumstances or past under-delivery of housing which may have inhibited household formation⁶.
- 3.5 Household projections based on past trends are therefore just the starting point for considering housing needs. The draft National Planning Practice Guidance outlines that other factors will also

⁴ CLG (2012) National Planning Policy Framework, Paragraph 159

⁵ CLG (August 2013) Draft Planning Practice Guidance - Assessment of Housing and Economic Development Needs

⁶ See http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/blog/guidance/assessment-of-housing-and-economic-development-needs/whatmethodological-approach-should-be-used/

need to be considered, in particular to assess whether higher levels of housing provision might be needed⁷. It identified three key questions:

- Could more homes be needed to support expected growth in jobs?
- Does housing development need to increase to deliver more affordable homes?
- Do market signals point to a need to increase housing supply to improve affordability?
- 3.6 Where these point towards a need for higher levels of housing provision, it is expected that the overall assessment of need for housing is adjusted to take this into account.
- 3.7 The SHMA thus examines these issues to consider whether higher housing provision should be planned for. Figure 5 summarises the approach.

Figure 5: Summary of Approach to identifying Housing Need



3.8 This section describes the approach followed, on a step-by-step basis, to draw conclusions on the overall need for housing.

Projecting Forward Past Population Trends

The "Starting Point" - DCLG Household Projections

3.9 The draft Planning Practice Guidance indicates that the starting point for identifying housing need is the latest set of official household projections. These projections are based on a continuation of past population trends.

⁷ See http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/blog/guidance/assessment-of-housing-and-economic-development-needs/what-methodological-approach-should-be-used/

3.10 The latest household projections are a set of 'interim' 2011-based Household Projections which Government issued in April 2013. These indicate growth of 18,250 households across Oxfordshire between 2011-21: a 7% increase.

Area	Households	Households	Change in	% change
	2011	2021	households	from 2011
Cherwell	56,881	63,765	6,884	12.1%
Oxford	54,997	53,613	-1,384	-2.5%
South Oxfordshire	54,387	57,989	3,602	6.6%
Vale of White Horse	49,781	53,656	3,875	7.8%
West Oxfordshire	43,510	48,784	5,274	12.1%
Oxfordshire	259,556	277,807	18,251	7.0%

Figure 6: CLG 2011-based Household Projections

Source: CLG 2011-based Household Projections

- 3.11 These are however interim projections rather than official statistics. They only project trends in the numbers of households to 2021. A range of recent research has also indicated that they project forward lower rates of household formation over the 2001-11 decade⁸, with more people in their 20s and 30s living with parents or in shared houses. The projections only run to 2021 and project further falls in the proportion of young people who will form new households. Whist these trends are influenced by declining affordability of housing and the economic recession, it is not reasonable to plan on the basis that we see a further deterioration in household formation. Thus whilst they provide a 'starting point' for considering housing need, they need to be treated with a significant level of caution.
- 3.12 There are further issues with the projections in regard to some of the underlying information used to project population growth. These issues with these projections are most clearly demonstrated by what they suggest for Oxford. Set against a trend whereby Oxford's population increased by over 17,000 persons between 2001-11. They project that the household population will fall by 1,000 persons between 2011-21. It does not make sense that Oxford's population moving forward will fall and that there will therefore be no need for additional housing.

Updating the Projections

- 3.13 The SHMA has therefore been through a process of updating the population projections to provide a robust projection of population and households, based on past trends. This has involved:
 - Updating assumptions on future migration to take account of 2011 Census, ONS revisions to population components of change data for the 2001-11 period, and 2012 Mid-Year Population Estimates;

⁸ See for instance RTPI (Jan 2014) *Planning for Housing in England: Understanding recent changes in household formation rates and their implications for planning for housing in England*

- Work with Oxford City Council to develop a bespoke projection for the City's population, taking
 account of the substantial under-recording of population growth in the City by ONS shown by the
 2011 Census. This has looked at detailed population change between 2001-11 by single year of
 age and sex;
- Remodelling headship rates based on constant headship rates (by age and sex) between 2011-31, as opposed to the 2011 based CLG projections which project reducing household formation rates. This adjustment has effectively removed the trend towards further constraints to household formation in the 2011-based Projections. Rates of household formation derived from this analysis are close to tracking the trends in average household size projected in the 2008based Household Projections.
- 3.14 The outputs of these projections (shown in terms of annual need for housing over the 2011-31 period) are shown below:

	Households 2011	Households 2031	Change in households	% change from 2011	Housing Need, 2011- 31	Housing Need (per annum)
Cherwell	56,882	69,219	12,336	21.7%	12,830	641
Oxford	54,999	69,523	14,524	26.4%	15,105	755
South Oxfordshire	54,391	63,610	9,219	17.0%	9,634	482
Vale of White Horse	49,781	58,856	9,074	18.2%	9,365	468
West Oxfordshire	43,509	53,789	10,280	23.6%	10,815	541
Oxfordshire	259,562	314,996	55,434	21.4%	57,748	2,887

Figure 7: Demographic Trend-based Projections to 2031

- 3.15 These projections indicate a need for almost 2,900 homes per year across Oxfordshire. This represents the core demographic projection prepared in the SHMA.
- 3.16 For comparison purposes, Figure 8 below sets out the relevant national household projections (both the 2008-based Household Projections and the 2011-based Interim Household Projections).

Figure 8: Comparison with National (CLG) Household Projections – Household Growth

	2008 CLG Household Projections	2011 CLG Household Projections	GLH Demographic- based Projections ⁹			
	2011-31	2011-21	2011-31			
Cherwell	671	688	617			
Oxford	302	-138	726			
South Oxfordshire	470	360	461			
Vale of White Horse	412	388	465			
West Oxfordshire	562	527	514			
Oxfordshire	2416	1825	2772			

⁹ These figures are for households whereas those in the final column of Figure 7 are for dwellings and include an allowance for vacant and second homes.

- 3.17 For the Oxfordshire HMA, the core demographic projections indicate a level of household growth based on the most recent demographic evidence which is 15% above the 2008-based CLG Household Projections, and 52% above the 2011-based Household Projections (particularly as a result of the issues identified with the projections for Oxford).
- 3.18 In relating growth in households to need for homes, it is also necessary to make a small allowance for vacant and second homes (3-5% based on the 2011 Census baseline by local authority). This is a standard approach used in identifying housing need.
- 3.19 Figure 9 shows the relationship between housing numbers, population and economic growth. These updated (core demographic) projections indicate a need for 2,887 homes a year across Oxfordshire between 2011-31. Over the 20 year period, Oxfordshire's population is expected to increase by 16.4%, whist its housing stock would increase by 21.4%. This would support 13.5% growth in employment.

Projection		lation wth	Housing numbers Employ grou			
	Per annum	% change	Per annum	% change	Per annum	% change
Cherwell	918	0.6%	641	1.1%	426	0.6%
Oxford	1,840	1.2%	755	1.3%	956	1.4%
South Oxfordshire	821	0.6%	482	0.8%	284	0.4%
Vale of White Horse	873	0.7%	468	0.9%	301	0.5%
West Oxfordshire	922	0.9%	541	1.2%	287	0.5%
Oxfordshire	5,373	0.8%	2,887	1.1%	2,253	0.7%

Figure 9: SHMA Demographic-based Projections, Per Annum 2011-31

Taking account of past Housing Delivery

- 3.20 The above adjustments ensure that projected rates of household formation are not artificially constrained into the future by past under-supply or supply-demand imbalance. However they do not correct for any past shortfall in housing delivery. Councils would be expected in their plans to 'make good' for any past under-provision.
- 3.21 Making good a past shortfall in housing provision will support more affordable housing delivery and workforce growth. It should similarly be recognised that a past shortfall in housing provision is likely to have contributed to meeting the current need for affordable housing.
- 3.22 The South East Plan set out housing targets for the 2006-26 period. These took account of any past under-provision. GL Hearn has used this to identify where there is a past shortfall which would need to be considered in identifying future housing need. This is shown in Figure 10.

3.23 By drawing this together with the demographic projections, a revised baseline for future housing provision can be set out.

	GLH Demographic- based Projections, 2011-31		Revised Assessment of Need (Demographic- Driven)	
		2006-11	2011-31	
Cherwell	12,830	808	13,638 (682 pa)	
Oxford	15,105	508	15,613 (781 pa)	
South Oxfordshire	9,634	1398	11,032 (552 pa)	
Vale of White Horse	9,365	801	10,166 (508 pa)	
West Oxfordshire	10,815	0	10,815 (541 pa)	
Oxfordshire	57,748	-	61,264 (3063 pa)	

Figure 10: Including Provision for Past Housing Delivery Shortfall – Housing Need per Year 2011-31

- 3.24 West Oxfordshire stands out as having delivered significantly higher housing provision relative to its South East Plan targets over the 2006-11 period. It delivered almost 1,400 additional homes over and above its housing target. This is likely to have influenced past population and demographic trends in the District. The final Planning Practice Guidance, once issued, may provide further guidance on the degree to which this may be taken into account in adjusting estimates of need for future housing provision. This only affects West Oxfordshire.
- 3.25 The above projections are however based on a continuation of past population trends. They do not consider how many homes would be needed to support growth in jobs, or to deliver the affordable housing which is needed.
- 3.26 The SHMA has thus gone on to consider (following the approach in the draft Planning Practice Guidance) whether higher levels of housing provision would be needed in order to improve housing affordability, deliver more affordable housing or support growth in jobs.

Considering the Affordability of Housing

3.27 The draft Planning Practice Guidance sets out that "the housing need number suggested by household projections (the starting point) should be adjusted to reflect appropriate market signals, as well as other market indicators of the balance between the demand for and supply of dwellings. Prices or rents rising faster than the national/ local average may well indicate particular market undersupply relative to demand." It sets out in clear terms that market signals may provide a basis for adjusting upwards levels of housing provision.

- 3.28 Analysis of trends in house prices, and how these compare to earnings, point towards a particular imbalance between housing supply and demand in Oxfordshire during the 1997-2002 period in which the affordability of market housing deteriorated significantly.
- 3.29 House prices clearly indicate that Oxfordshire is a relatively high value market. They indicate strong house price growth over the pre-recession decade; and suggest that the housing market has been more resilient and is recovering more quickly than other parts of the region (and England more widely). In relative terms the analysis suggests that the strongest demand pressures are in Oxford; followed by the south of the county (Vale of White Horse and South Oxfordshire). In relative terms, the evidence points to less market pressure in Cherwell District.
- 3.30 In Oxford in particular the analysis points towards significant affordability pressures, both in regard to the (un)affordability of market housing and in terms of an acute shortage of affordable housing. This is borne out in levels of overcrowding, very high land values and the high entry-level house prices which are 10 times the annual earnings of young households¹⁰. Oxford has some of the highest land values in the region. Lower quartile house prices are 10 times that of annual lower quartile earnings.
- 3.31 The deterioration in the affordability of market housing for sale across Oxfordshire has resulted in an increasing proportion of households renting homes for longer, and more young people in their 20s and 30s living with families or in shared accommodation. Between 2001-11 we also saw a 30% increase in households living in overcrowded homes¹¹.
- 3.32 These factors point strongly towards a need to delivering more homes in the future in order to ensure that young households can form a home or get a foothold on the housing ladder particularly in Oxford. This provides strong evidence of the need to adjust the household formation rates as considered above and more generally to increase levels of future housing provision.

Balancing Jobs and Homes

- 3.33 The next step is to consider what level of housing would be needed to support committed growth in jobs over the 2011-31 period. The Government has clearly set out in the NPPF that assessment, of and strategies in local plans for, housing and employment need to be integrated.
- 3.34 The local authorities across Oxfordshire and the County's Local Enterprise Partnership signed a City Deal with Government in January 2014 to deliver innovation-led economic growth, building on the area's world class economic assets which include the universities and research and development activities. This will deliver new infrastructure, investment and support to economic

¹⁰ Using the CLG Lower Quartile House Price to Income Ratio

¹¹ Using the Census Occupancy Rating measure

growth across the County. The programme includes support to accelerate housing delivery, to support small business growth, investment in new transport schemes and creation of new innovation and incubation centres.

- 3.35 Major economic drivers in Oxfordshire include its concentration of high-tech and research activities and growth potential in areas such as space science and satellite technologies, bioscience, the health sector and advanced manufacturing. Major projects which will create new jobs include Science Vale, the expansion of Oxford University, growth around Oxford Airport and the ecodevelopment at North West Bicester.
- 3.36 Economic forecasts¹² have been developed and then tailored to the structure of the Oxfordshire economy. This has included reviewing projections for growth in the education sector to reflect the influence of Oxford and Oxford Brookes University on this sector. The assumptions regarding population growth have also been reviewed to take account of the detailed analysis of population trends undertaken in developing the SHMA¹³. This Baseline Scenario results in employment growth of 36,400 across Oxfordshire between 2011-31, equating to between 0.4-0.5% growth in employment each year.
- 3.37 However rolling forward past trends again underplays the expected growth in the local economy. There are a range of committed policy initiatives and investments (both public and private) that will change the structure of the economy over the 2011-2031 period. A Committed Economic Growth Scenario has then been developed to capture the effects of these initiatives including the Oxfordshire City Deal, the Science Vale Enterprise Zone and planned (mostly funded) infrastructure investment. This has involved an extensive programme of work to understand the impact of planned investments from both Government and industry, and the growth potential in different parts of the local economy. Committed economic growth projects are expected to result in employment growth of 80,000 jobs across Oxfordshire. This compares to annual growth of 1.7% across Oxfordshire between 1981-2000 and 1.2% in Cambridgeshire between 1990-2011.
- 3.38 The National Planning Practice Guidance sets out that scale of job growth should be assessed against growth in the labour force. Where job growth is greater than the expected growth in the labour force based on the population projections, it advises that higher housing provision should be considered to ensure that a lack of housing does not reduce the resilience of local businesses or result in unsustainable commuting patterns. The NPPF is clear that strategies for housing and employment in local plans should be integrated (Para 158).

¹² Prepared by Cambridge Econometrics and SQW to inform the SHMA and Oxfordshire LEP Strategic Economic Plan

¹³ This particularly influences Oxford. The impact of this is on sectors where employment is influenced by population trends, such as health, education and consumer-related services

- 3.39 To support the Committed Economic Scenario, the SHMA indicates that provision of 4,280 homes per year over the period to 2031 would be needed. This is a significant 40% uplift on the assessed housing need based on past demographic trends (with the inclusion of provision to redress past shorfalls in housing delivery where applicable).
- 3.40 This level of housing provision compares to delivery of an average of 2,415 homes per annum between 2002-12, and 2,468 homes per annum over the 2001-8 period prior to the credit crunch. Even compared to the pre credit-crunch figures, it would represent an uplift of over 70% on annual delivery rates. Given that this is likely to be some lead in time to increasing housing supply, in the middle and latter parts of the 20 year period to 2031 significantly higher levels of housing delivery would need to be achieved. Past housing delivery is not however necessarily a good benchmark of future performance; and the projections are based on what is considered to be a realistic scenario for future economic performance.

2011-31	Demographic Need + Past Shortfall		Meeting A Population Proje	Economic	Supporting Committed Economic Growth	
	2011-31	2011-31 PA 2011-31 PA			2011-31	ΡΑ
Cherwell	13,638	682	14,862	743	22,841	1142
Oxford	15613	781	5,781	289	14,008	700
South Oxfordshire	11,032	552	13,105	655	14,972	749
Vale of White Horse	10,166	508	12,453	623	20,559	1028
West Oxfordshire	10,815	541	11,794	590	13,213	661
Oxfordshire	61,264	3,063	57,996	2,900	85,593	4280

Figure 11: Overlaying the Committed Scenario for Employment Growth – Housing Need, 2011-31

3.41 In relating growth in jobs and homes, it is assumed that the proportion of people aged 16-74 in employment increases slightly as a result of more women working and both men and women working for longer as a result of changes to pensionable ages; but that commuting patterns remain consistent in relative terms.

The Need for Affordable Housing

3.42 The SHMA includes an assessment of the need for affordable housing. The approach follows the Basic Needs Assessment Model, in accordance with the CLG Practice Guidance. This model is summarised in Figure 12.



Figure 12: Overview of Basic Needs Assessment Model

- 3.43 The model considers households who are currently living in unsuitable housing, the proportion of newly-forming households who cannot afford to buy or rent market housing without financial support, and existing households who fall into housing need. It includes overcrowded households and those who are for instance at risk of becoming homeless as they cannot afford to pay their rent. These households would be classified as in need of affordable housing. The need for affordable housing is compared against the current affordable housing supply, which is principally related to the re-letting of existing homes owned by Housing Associations. This is used to derive a net need for affordable housing.
- 3.44 The SHMA provides estimates of the need for affordable housing based on a range of secondary data sources, including information form the 2011 Census, data on households incomes', modelling of population trends, and on lettings and the future supply of affordable housing.

Area	Backlog need	Newly forming household	Existing household s falling	Total Need	Supply	Net Need (per annum)
		S	into need			
Cherwell	44	491	153	688	280	407
Oxford	111	900	476	1,488	459	1,029
South Oxfordshire	37	472	138	647	260	386
Vale of White Horse	28	385	138	551	278	273
West Oxfordshire	28	356	106	491	217	274
Oxfordshire	249	2,604	1,011	3,864	1,494	2,370

Figure 13: Estimated level of Housing Need (Per Annum)

Source: Census (2011)/CORE/Projection Modelling and affordability analysis

3.45 The SHMA indicates a need to deliver between 2,370 affordable homes a year if all households who were not able to meet their needs in the housing market where to be allocated an affordable

home. This assumes that households will spend up to 35% of their gross income on housing costs, and that the current housing need (backlog need) is addressed over the period to 2031.

- 3.46 It is clear that there is a shortfall of affordable homes in all parts of the county, with a particularly acute level of affordable housing need evident in Oxford.
- 3.47 The draft Planning Practice Guidance sets out that "the total affordable housing need should be considered in the context of its likely delivery as a proportion of mixed market and affordable housing developments, given the probable percentage of affordable housing to be delivered by market housing led developments. An increase in the total housing figures should be considered where it would help to deliver the required number of affordable homes."
- 3.48 The reality however is that not all affordable housing is delivered through Section 106 Agreements; and really what needs to be considered is what proportion of overall net completions might be of affordable housing. This is influenced the availability of funding for affordable housing delivery, the type of affordable housing, and the economics of development amongst other factors.
- 3.49 The SHMA has included some indicative modelling based on current policies for affordable housing delivery on what theoretical level of affordable housing might be necessary year-on-year to deliver the affordable housing identified. This assumes 50% of housing delivered in Oxford is affordable housing; 33% in Cherwell District; and 40% in the other Districts (based broadly on the Councils' current affordable housing policies/ viability evidence). The percentage figures used are indicative and used to consider whether there is some case for uplift of the overall assessment of housing need. The results of this analysis are shown in Table 14. The projection linked to supporting Committed Economic Growth is included in the table for comparison.

Area	Net Need (per annum)	Assumed % Affordable Delivered	Total Annual Delivery Required to Meet Affordable Need in Full	Supporting Committed Economic Growth
Cherwell	407	33%	1233	1142
Oxford	1,029	50%	2058	700
South Oxfordshire	386	40%	965	749
Vale of White Horse	273	40%	683	1028
West Oxfordshire	274	40%	685	661
County	2,370	-	5624	4280

Figure 14: Housing Provision Required to Meet Affordable Need in Full – Housing Need per
Annum, 2011-31

3.50 In interpreting the affordable housing evidence, it should however be recognised that the basic needs assessment model from which the figures are derived was designed to assess whether there was a need for affordable housing in the short-term. It needs to be treated with caution in looking at the need for affordable housing over 15-20 year timeframes, recognising that there are a range of factors which could influence the affordability of market housing and mechanisms for delivery of affordable housing over this period.

4 CONCLUSIONS

Conclusions on the Future Need for Housing

- 4.1 Figure 14 overleaf brings together the evidence on housing need. Conclusions on housing need have been drawn using the following staged process in line with the draft Planning Practice Guidance:
 - The starting point is the assessment of housing need based on demographic trends, including where applicable provision for addressing the past shortfall in housing delivery against the South East Plan between 2006-11¹⁴ (Column A).
 - Consideration is given to whether there is a need to adjust upwards the level of housing provision in order to support Committed Economic Growth. This is undertaken by comparing Columns A and B;
 - The results of this are then compared against the indicative modelling of the level of housing provision which might be required to meet affordable housing need in full (Column C); as well as the wider evidence of market signals. This is used to assess whether a further adjustment to the assessed housing need is necessary.
- 4.2 This process has been used to derive conclusions regarding housing need in each authority. The specific circumstances of Oxford in regard to both affordability pressures and need for affordable housing justify a substantial upwards adjustment to the assessed need relative to the projections based on past population change and committed economic growth. This upward adjustment aims to improve the supply-demand balance for housing and improve affordability over the longer-term.
- 4.3 A bigger range is identified for Oxford reflecting the difficulty in being precise regarding what scale of adjustment is necessary to support an improvement in affordability; and the difference in scale between the figures in Columns B and C. In the other local authorities, the range is determined by the evidence in Columns A-C. The top of the range is not expected to exceed the highest of the figures shown; whist the lower end is not expected to fall more than 5% below the Committed Economic Scenario to ensure that the Strategic Economic Plan and City Deal are supported across Oxfordshire.
- 4.4 A single figure is set out for the Vale of White Horse as this is directly aligned committed economic growth scenario and did not require further adjustment in relation to the affordable need scenario unlike the other districts within the housing market area.

¹⁴ This does not apply to West Oxfordshire

Housing Needed per Year (2011-31)	A. Demographic Base + Shortfall	B. To Support Committed Economic Growth	C. To Meet Affordable Housing Need in Full	D. Range: Housing Need per Year	E. Midpoint of Range
Cherwell	682	1142	1233	1090-1190	1140
Oxford	780	700	2058	1200 - 1600	1400
South Oxfordshire	552	749	965	725-825	775
Vale of White Horse	508	1028	683	1028	1028
West Oxfordshire	541	661	685	635-685	660
Oxfordshire	3063	4280	5624	4678 - 5328	5003

Figure 15: Conclusions on Future Need for Housing

- 4.5 The SHMA concludes that across Oxfordshire, there is an identified need for provision of between 4,678 5,328 homes a year over the 2011-31 period. This level of housing provision is necessary to support committed economic growth; to support delivery of affordable housing; and to support an improvement in the affordability of housing over time. The figures for individual local authorities are set out in Figure 14.
- 4.6 The level of need for housing identified is quite different from that delivered in the past. This reflects evidence that housing provision in the past has not kept pace with that needed; the need to house a growing older population (with people living longer); and the significant drivers particularly in terms of job creation which are expected to influence future need for homes.
- 4.7 The NPPF clearly sets out that the Government expects the planning system to do "everything it can to support sustainable economic growth" and that significant weight should be placed on the need to support economic growth through the planning system (Para 19).
- 4.8 The draft Planning Practice Guidance reaffirms this and outlines why the homes need to be provided to support the committed economic growth. It sets out that where there is an imbalance, this will result in unsustainable commuting patterns and could reduce the resilience of local businesses. An imbalance between housing need and supply over the longer-term could also reduce affordability and the ability of young households to form and get on the housing ladder. This is not compatible with achieving sustainable development.
- 4.9 The need for housing identified thus reflects the combined need to support population growth, to ensure that young people are able to form new households, that businesses are able to grow and recruit new staff who will be able to live locally, and to ensure that those households who need affordable housing are able to find it.
- 4.10 The midpoint of the identified range would see housing provision of around 5,000 homes a year across Oxfordshire. This represents a significant 17% uplift on the level of housing provision

identified as necessary to support Committed Economic Growth. This upwards adjustment is principally to the assessed need for Oxford and reflects the particular affordability pressures and acute need for affordable housing within the City. It reflects the need to deliver a substantial scale of increase in housing provision to support an improvement in housing affordability in the City and the wider housing market area over the longer-term.

Moving Forward

- 4.11 The SHMA does not set housing targets. It provides an assessment of the future need for housing. Government guidance and advice is explicit that the SHMA itself must not apply constraints to the overall assessment of need, such as environmental constraints or issues related to congestion and local infrastructure. This does not mean that these issues are not important. They are very relevant issues in considering how much development can be sustainably accommodated and where new development should be located.
- 4.12 The Oxfordshire councils will continue to work together in order to identify the most appropriate locations to accommodate future development and to ensure that infrastructure provision is properly coordinated to address existing issues and support delivery of new homes.
- 4.13 The Oxfordshire authorities have agreed to work together to consider how housing need can be sustainable accommodated. This is to include:
 - Capacity Assessment assessment of the capacity of different areas, including Oxford City, to accommodate new housing development, taking account of housing expected to be delivered on sites with planning consent and other sites which can accommodate housing;
 - Testing Spatial Options drawing the assessment of capacity together to identify what additional land may be necessary to meet housing need, and to identify and test potential options for additional development to accommodate this; and
 - 3. Deliverability Assessment considering and testing the deliverability of different levels and options for housing development.
- 4.14 This process will be used to refine and test what level of future housing development can be sustainably planned for across the Oxfordshire housing market area and how this is distributed across different areas.