

**Neighbour Consultee List**

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Planning Application Reference:</b> | <b>16/01563/F</b>  |
| <b>Location Of Development:</b>        | Muddle Barn Farm Colony Road Sibford Gower Banbury OX15 5RY  |
| <b>Proposed Development Details:</b>   | Demolition of an existing dwelling and a range of large scale equestrian buildings and the erection of a replacement dwelling including associated works and landscaping ((revised scheme of 15/01693/F) |

**Neighbour(s) Consulted**

1. Cotswold House College Barn Farm Colony Road Sibford Gower Banbury OX15 5RY
2. New Barn Farm Colony Road Sibford Gower Banbury OX15 5RY
- 3.
4. Holmby House Main Street Sibford Ferris Oxfordshire OX15 5RG
- 5.

1. Mr And Mrs George And Kate Philip  
New Barn Farm Colony Road Sibford Gower Banbury OX15 5RY
2. Bill, Jonquil And Chris Sabin
3. Dr John Wass  
Holmby House Main Street Sibford Ferris Oxfordshire OX15 5RG
4. Mr Richard Butt



**From:** Richard Butt  
**Sent:** 07 September 2016 16:13  
**To:** Nathanael Stock  
**Subject:** Objection to 16/01563/F

From: Richard Butt

Subject: 16/01563/F

Demolition of an existing dwelling and a range of large scale equestrian buildings and the erection of a replacement dwelling including associated works and landscaping (resubmission of 14/02157/F) | Muddle Barn Farm Colony Road Sibford Gower

I would once again object to this planning permission being granted for all of my previous submissions plus the Sibford Gower Parish Council's objection to the original application which sums up the reasons very well for why it should not be granted planning permission along with the policy 817 mentioned at the Parish Council meeting on the 6th September 2016

The previous pertinent objections from local residents should also be taken into consideration, including the objection by Malcolm Bannister in respect of a further drive way on to Colony Road. Despite the applicant saying in his presentation to the SGPC at 8.45pm on 6th September 2016 that the house would be using the "shared driveway" he did not however say how long he would be using the shared driveway before putting in another planning application for a separate drive way if the current application succeeds! In his presentation to the SGPC he made no comment on how his application would improve the area apart from the discontinuation of the horse boxes going to and from the house.

I would also ask you to consider the fact that previously (2013) the existing Muddle Barn Farm house was subject to a "full agricultural tie" How then did the previous owner not pay business rates on what was obviously a large Equestrian business? By stating that he was a farmer?

A house the size of the proposed house in the application needs to be set in the middle of 600 acres surrounded by 60 feet high trees, not on the edge of 30 acres within metres of the original New Barn Farm House in a farming/agricultural area which overlooks an area of Outstanding Natural Beauty it would not be compatible.

Please reject the application.

**From:** Richard Butt  
**Sent:** 22 September 2016 18:27  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** Objection to 16/01563/F

**Would you mind please confirming receipt of this email.**

From: Richard Butt

Subject: 16/01563/F

Demolition of an existing dwelling and a range of large scale equestrian buildings and the erection of a replacement dwelling including associated works and landscaping (resubmission of 14/02157/F) | Muddle Barn Farm Colony Road Sibford Gower

I would once again object to this planning permission being granted for all of my previous submissions plus the Sibford Gower Parish Council's objection to the original application which sums up the reasons very well for why it should not be granted planning permission along with the policy 817 mentioned at the Parish Council meeting on the 6th September 2016 I would add that I have now read the Sibford Gower Parish Council submission and would concur with it as I would with the Sibford Ferris Parish Councils submission.

The previous pertinent objections from local residents should also be taken into consideration, including the objection by Malcolm Bannister in respect of a further drive way on to Colony Road. Despite the applicant saying in his presentation to the SGPC at 8.45pm on 6th September 2016 that the house would be using the "shared driveway" he did not however say how long he would be using the shared driveway before putting in another planning application for a separate drive way if the current application succeeds! In his presentation to the SGPC he made no comment on how his application would improve the area apart from the discontinuation of the horse boxes going to and from the house.

I would also ask you to consider the fact that previously (2013) the existing Muddle Barn Farm house was subject to a "full agricultural tie" How then did the previous owner not pay business rates on what was obviously a large Equestrian business? By stating that he was a farmer?

A house the size of the proposed house in the application needs to be set in the middle of 600 acres surrounded by 60 feet high trees, not on the edge of 30 acres within metres of the original New Barn Farm House in a farming/agricultural area which overlooks an area of Outstanding Natural Beauty it would not be compatible.

Please reject the application.

**From:** Bill Sabin  
**Sent:** 14 September 2016 18:52  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** FW: 16/01563/F - MUDDLE BARN, SIBFORD GOWER

For the Attentional of Nathanael Stock

Please can you add our comments in support of the above application:

As a close neighbour of Muddle Barn we can see no reason to object. The applicant has made significant changes particularly to the size of the house. The applicant has taken the parish council and planners advice, including reducing the square footage considerably. A large amount of work has gone into this new design. The proposed re-development will be more in keeping with the present surrounds than the plethora of asbestos clad buildings and conifer hedging there at present.

Bill, Jonquil & Chris Sabin

**From:** Public Access DC Comments  
**Sent:** 07 September 2016 09:45  
**To:** Public Access DC Comments  
**Subject:** Comments for Planning Application 16/01563/F

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 9:44 AM on 07 Sep 2016 from Dr john wass.

### **Application Summary**

**Address:** Muddle Barn Farm Colony Road Sibford Gower Banbury  
OX15 5RY

**Proposal:** Demolition of an existing dwelling and a range of large scale equestrian buildings and the erection of a replacement dwelling including associated works and landscaping (resubmission 15/01693/F)

**Case Officer:** Nathanael Stock

[Click for further information](#)

### **Customer Details**

**Name:** Dr john wass

**Address:** Holmby House Main Street, Sibford Ferris, Oxfordshire  
OX15 5RG

### **Comments Details**

**Commenter Type:** Neighbour

**Stance:** Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

**Reasons for comment:**

**Comments:** I write about this application as a longstanding resident in the Sibfords. I wish to support the proposal.

The proposal was carefully presented to the Sibford Gower Parish Council tonight (6th September). As per earlier advice from the planners, the footprint has moved and the surface area considerably decreased. Therefore the previous suggestions have been attended to.

In the discussion in the Sibford Gower Parish Council the chairman was against the proposal on the grounds that the size and character were not in accordance. Obviously there is room for interpretation with these assessments. It must also be said that the two other parish councillors when asked were ambivalent about the view of the chairman.

George Phillips, who is a neighbour to this farm, was present at the Parish Council but could not speak according to the Parish Council rules. He, as well as I, is supportive to the application I believe and I am sure he will be writing. I believe that the plans will provide a pleasing house and tidy up a muddled area of the valley. There are other substantial houses in both villages. I therefore write to strongly support this application.

**From:** GEORGE PHILIP  
**Sent:** 12 September 2016 15:56  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** [nathaneil.stock@cherwell-dc.gov.uk](mailto:nathaneil.stock@cherwell-dc.gov.uk)

Dear Sirs

As owners and occupiers of New Barn Farm, we have been watching closely the planning applications that have been submitted for the re-development of Muddle Barn Farm. We are now confident that the current proposal is appropriate and will be a great improvement on what is on the site at present. At present there is a modest house of poor design and construction and a large area of former asbestos clad agricultural buildings, which are part surrounded by conifer hedging trees which are growing out of control and totally inappropriate for the area. We are pleased to see the proposed scheme has a full programme of landscaping and planting of soft and hard woods to soften the impact of the development on the surroundings.

The new dwelling will provide family accommodation extending to about 351 sq m, not a large house, (New Barn Farm extends to 378 sq m) and about 591 sqm of outbuildings which are being retained. This we can see is about 550 sq m less than what is on the site at present.

This development we believe will be a vast improvement and has our full support.

Yours faithfully

George and Kate Philip