

# Comment for planning application 23/00977/OUT

|                           |   |
|---------------------------|---|
| <b>Application Number</b> | <input type="text" value="23/00977/OUT"/>   |
| <b>Location</b>           | <input type="text" value="OS Parcel 9195 North Of Claydon Road Cropredy"/>  |
| <b>Proposal</b>           | <input type="text" value="Outline Planning Application (except for access) for residential development of up to 60 dwellings (Use Class C3) including a community facility, new vehicular and pedestrian access off Claydon Road, public open space and associated landscaping, earthworks, parking, engineering works and infrastructure"/>  |
| <b>Case Officer</b>       | <input type="text" value="Katherine Daniels"/>  |
| <b>Organisation Name</b>  | <input type="text" value="sophie Kendall"/>   |
| <b>Address</b>            | <input type="text" value="Springfields,24 Station Road,Cropredy,Banbury,OX17 1PP"/>   |
| <b>Type of Comment</b>    | <input type="text" value="Objection"/>  |
| <b>Type</b>               | <input type="text" value="neighbour"/>  |
| <b>Comments</b>           | <p>The application for additional housing is strongly opposed for the following reasons:</p> <p>We do not believe the proposal adequately addressed the need for additional infrastructure in terms of highways, education, sustainable drainage or flood defence.</p> <p>Furthermore the development is not in keeping with the rural identity of Cropredy. Cropredy is an attractive Oxfordshire village and this significant development would be detrimental to that identity. The village demonstrably attracts many tens of thousands of tourists throughout the year due to its charming character, which brings valuable revenue to the village and wider Banbury area. Placing this at risk is not in the interest of the local area.</p> <p>We do not consider the ecology of the local area would be adequately protected by the proposed loss of habitat, in particular damage caused to an area rich in rare amphibians and other important species.</p> <p>Finally we note the argument for a larger GP surgery. There appears to be no tangible data to support the proposal, I would ask that the Planners consider this closely. The proposed does not seem to be viable with the village even including the additional housing. A robust business case should be available for scrutiny prior to this being considered as part of the application.</p> <p>In summary we do not believe this proposed development to be suitable for for the site identified</p> |
| <b>Received Date</b>      | <input type="text" value="06/12/2023 20:54:36"/>  |
| <b>Attachments</b>        |   |