

Comment for planning application 21/02337/DISC

Application Number	<input type="text" value="21/02337/DISC"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="Proposed Himley Village North West Bicester Middleton Stoney Road Bicester Oxfordshire"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="Discharge of condition 7 (phasing plan) 8 (site wide Masterplan and Design Code) 10 (Bio Diversity Strategy) 11 (full surface water drainage scheme) 12 (Built for Life scheme) 13 (future climate impacts statement) 14 (elevated levels of noise) 16 (means of vehicular accesses) 17 (means of footway and cycleway links) 18 (Travel Plan) 19 (detailed surface water drainage scheme) 20 (carbon emissions report) 21 (potential contaminative uses) 22 (comprehensive intrusive investigation) 24 (pollution prevention scheme) 25 (ecological survey) 26 (hedgerows) 27 (Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS)) 28 (Great Crested Newt mitigation strategy) 29 (Landscape & Habitat Management Plan) 30 (Construction Method Statement) 31 (Soil Resources Plan) 32 (archaeological Written Scheme of Investigation) 33 (programme of archaeological evaluation) 34 (foul drainage strategy) 36 (water neutrality strategy) and 37 (Site Waste Management Plan) of 14/02121/OUT"/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Caroline Ford"/>
Organisation	<input type="text" value=""/>
Name	<input type="text" value="sarah kearney"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="Chesterton Community Centre,2 Geminus Road,Chesterton,Bicester,OX26 1BJ"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Comment"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<input type="text" value="Chesterton Parish Council considers that this application does not warrant approval in its present form Interestingly OCC themselves are objecting to the over provision of car parking in the scheme. The developers aspirations in this regard are however inevitable given the location of the site as detached as it is from Bicester and we doubt that adequate consideration was given to the sustainability of the location at the time of the outline consent granted some years ago now! So it is imperative that alternative robust transport options are provided at a high level from the outset. Better cycle way and pedestrian links need to be provided within the site and into Bicester and in particular the two rail stations. The roads as planned would not appear to allow bus services to access the development on the scale required. .the roads need to be of a Primary Street width to enable bus services to properly penetrate the site including the Heyford Park funded bus service running through Middleton Stoney. The S106 agreement (naively) only requires contributions when 400 houses are occupied. Further discussions with the developer should take place to get them to agree a threshold of 250 units. No development should commence until the Howes Lane realignment"/>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="12/01/2022 06:47:34"/>
Attachments	