

Comment for planning application 23/00977/OUT

Application Number	<input type="text" value="23/00977/OUT"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="OS Parcel 9195 North Of Claydon Road Cropredy"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="Outline Planning Application (except for access) for residential development of up to 60 dwellings (Use Class C3) including a community facility, new vehicular and pedestrian access off Claydon Road, public open space and associated landscaping, earthworks, parking, engineering works and infrastructure"/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Katherine Daniels"/>
Organisation Name	<input type="text" value="louise shore"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="North End,Creampot Lane,Cropredy,Banbury,OX17 1NT"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<p>I am writing to express my concerns regarding the recent planning application by Obsidian, on the Claydon Road.</p> <p>Sighting the below concerns :-</p> <p>The new development will significantly increase traffic flows down Station Road past the school and through neighboring villages. The road network is not capable of handling a further 120+ cars in daily use that 60 houses will bring. I ask you to try and drive down Station Road during school drop off and pick up currently, an issue that will be exasperated massively by this development.</p> <p>The proposed play area was not on the original proposal, it also overlaps a conservation area. As Cropredy already has a playground that is rarely maintained, I am concerned that this new one will add more cost to maintaining and will inevitably be neglected and deteriorate attracting unwanted abuse</p> <p>They have manipulated a positive spin surrounding a new Dr Surgery which had forced our existing surgery to release a statement contradicting this very fact. The surgery also expressly asked not to be included in any planning application.</p> <p>- They have manipulated a positive spin stating that the Parish Council support this development which I have been informed by members of the council, is in correct</p> <p>One of the main objections to Obsidian's proposal was that it is too close to the rear of existing properties, none of which are secure to unwanted intrusion or design for privacy. This objection has been totally ignored and made even worse by the introduction of public walkways right up to the aforementioned properties. It seems that the main reason that the development is tight to the existing would be to allow for even more in the future.</p> <p>There is significant wildlife that will be negatively affected by this development.</p> <p>- The ground gets waterlogged every year and is unsuitable for development and would impact not only the wildlife, but the watertable and affect n existing properties</p> <p>- Current facilities can not support 60 more families - school places, jobs or public transport to work, doctors surgery is already over subscribed and impossible for residents to get appointments</p>

- There are plenty of new developments that have been approved or have been built on the Cherry fields and opposite sites which would better suit families in need of access to amenities and public transport

This new development of 60 houses will increase the village population by 30%, stretching local amenities beyond capacity and totally destroying the appeal of the village to its current inhabitants and visitors.

- The development is outside of the exiting boundaries of the village which goes against Cherwell's allocation of "infill" only for Cropredy

The development will be isolated from the village with no integration. The walk just to the school for parents is likely to be over 15 minutes meaning more people will drive. A non-integrated development on the edge of the village will change the character of this wonderful village whilst spoiling the outlook for many residents.

The development contravenes the local plan as CDC have enough housing supply for over 5 years Cropredy is a Category A Service Village where, in addition to infilling and conversions, minor development, this proposal is not a minor development!

Kind regards

Louise Shore

Received Date

04/05/2023 12:46:52

Attachments