Comment for planning application 22/03064/OUT

Application Number	22/03064/OUT	
Location	Land Opposite Hanwell Fields Recreation Adj To Dukes Meadow Drive Banbury	
Proposal	Outline planning application for up to 176 dwellings and associated open space with all matters reserved other than access	
Case Officer	David Lowin	
Organisation		
Name	celia chasey	
Address	4 Gullicote Lane, Hanwell,	Banbury,OX17 1GY
Type of Comment	Objection	
Туре	neighbour	
Comments	I object to the proposed 175 houses being built at the end of our lane in Hanwell Village. I have been a resident of Hanwell for 20 years and I am very alarmed by the encroachment on the village from Banbury to the south of the village. We were led to believe by the Local Authority that there would be no development north of Dukes Meadow Drive, but this has not happened. At the time of Cop 26 when we were all so concerned about the future for ourselves, our children and our grandchildren, how can it be that you think it is right to erode the countryside and cut down mature trees. This was done near the main road at the Sainsbury's roundabout. Trees are our life. Cutting down trees erodes the habitat of our birds, bats and insects. Global warming is a real threat not something that will go away. The roads in Banbury are choked every day of the week. Our infrastructure will not cope with more cars. I despair for my grandchildren without the basic human need of clean air to breath. There are far too many children in Banbury already with asthma and other lung related illnesses. The doctors surgeries are full to capacity, we have to wait 3 weeks for a telephone appointment. Where will more people go for doctorsthere are none. Our village is 800 year old with a 12th century church and is in a conservation area. The developers have already eroded the identity of the village by using the name of Hanwell and Castle for every new development. They are thrown up and many are falling down already. The developers are only interested in lining their pockets and the council have already been proven not to be trusted. The more so if this continuing development is allowed to go through. NO! NO!	
Received Date	26/10/2022 18:00:53	
Attachments		