

Comment for planning application 23/00977/OUT

Application Number	<input type="text" value="23/00977/OUT"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="OS Parcel 9195 North Of Claydon Road Cropredy"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="Outline Planning Application (except for access) for residential development of up to 60 dwellings (Use Class C3) including a community facility, new vehicular and pedestrian access off Claydon Road, public open space and associated landscaping, earthworks, parking, engineering works and infrastructure"/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Katherine Daniels"/>
Organisation Name	<input type="text" value="William Richardson"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="20 Kyetts Corner,Cropredy,Banbury,OX17 1JW"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<input "green"="" "shopping"="" 00976="" 23="" above.="" added="" along="" already="" and="" app.="" available.="" banbury,="" based="" be="" being="" build="" cannot="" capacity,="" cars="" cars,="" cause="" comment,="" cropredy,="" dangerous="" deem="" dire,="" distance="" doing="" due="" economically,="" eg="" emissions="" expensive="" expensive,="" f.="" far="" for="" frankly="" fully="" gas="" gases!="" go="" greenhouse="" houses,="" housing="" ie="" in="" including="" infrastructure="" is="" it="" land="" length="" lighting="" loaded,="" makes="" more="" necessary="" nose="" not="" of="" one="" only="" parked="" penalty="" people="" poor="" proposal="" proposed="" pub="" reasonable="" resulting="" road.="" schooltime="" sense="" sewage="" shop="" situation="" so,="" socialising="" someone"="" station="" store="" street="" support="" surely="" system="" tail="" tescos.="" than="" thank="" the="" their="" then="" these="" this="" time."="" to="" too="" traffic="" type="text" unacceptable.="" undesirable.="" unnecessary="" use="" value="In view of the PM's decision regarding constant building of unnecessary housing I believe that this application runs against the wishes of the PM. When there are two application in submission, one already within the village boundary, why should the villagers agree to extend the village boundary? The families living alongside the land in question have had to endure the marina developments (originally A marina, now three marinas) which went ahead against the wishes of the village. Now these same people, who probably chose to live where they are because of the view, are now being expected to lose the said view. The value of these houses will no doubt fall due to the proposed building site/new housing, as will the desirability of the location. Should " village="" village,="" walking="" where="" which="" which,="" will="" within="" working="" you="" your=""/>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="12/05/2023 09:52:28"/>
Attachments	