## Comment for planning application 23/00977/OUT

Application Number	23/00977/OUT	
Location	OS Parcel 9195 North Of Claydon Road Cropredy	
Proposal	Outline Planning Application (except for access) for residential development of up to 60 dwellings (Use Class C3) including a community facility, new vehicular and pedestrian access off Claydon Road, public open space and associated landscaping, earthworks, parking, engineering works and infrastructure	
Case Officer	Katherine Daniels	
Organisation		
Name	Virginia Crossman	
Address	Prescote Manor, Street From Cropredy Past Prescote Manor Drive, Cropredy, Banbury, OX17 1PF	
Type of Comment	Objection	
Туре	neighbour	
	I am objecting to the outline planning application as poorly conceived, inadequately researched and unsuitable in scale. The planning proposal demonstrates a poor understanding of the locality and the community. It makes a number of inaccurate statements such as claiming that the village has an hourly bus service to Banbury, which it does not. The proposal also states that the development is not in a conservation area. This is disingenuous since the conservation area ends at the village boundary and the development would extend the village beyond the boundary. The proposal is at odds with the Cherwell Local Plan which envisages only very limited development in villages such as Cropredy. The proposal makes no convincing justification for a development on this scale. The village already has a considerable problem with traffic and parking and the proposal would make this considerably worse particularly in Station Road which is already difficult to negotiate on school days. The Claydon Road, which would provide the main access to the development is a minor road that is unsuitable as an access point. The proposal notes that the surgery does not have the capacity to take on a large number of new patients. It makes vague reference to the construction of a new medical centre but it is not clear how this would be financed or staffed. A development on this scale is unsustainable without major investment in local infrastructure and services. There is no prospect of such investment taking place and the proposal is therefore unrealistic and unsuitable and must be rejected.	
Received Date	04/12/2023 15:47:43	
Attachments		