Comment for planning application 23/03366/OUT

Application Number	23/03366/OUT	
Location	Land Opposite Hanwell Fi	elds Recreation Adj To Dukes Meadow Drive Banbury
Proposal	Outline planning application for up to 117 dwellings and associated open space with all matters reserved other than access	
Case Officer	Lewis Knox	
Organisation		
Name	Susan Portman	
Address	Orchard House, Main Street, Hanwell, Banbury, OX17 1HN	
Type of Comment	Objection	
Туре	neighbour	
Comments	I am a resident of Hanwer of 117 houses for Manor already being swamped b only has to look at some this company has no rega There is already a tremer houses will put more pressewage works and water. deleterious to it. This is n as a rat run to traverse b walk on a pavement now, points. 117 houses would average guess) then we w visitors and deliveries to by the traffic. We are sup when it suits. The impact to the Hanwell Observato Once the green space has ancient, it is England - ar is. Once the wildlife has g The future generations w know what this generatio late. If the children of to the animals and insect th future. The children of today alres social media. Getting out They can go out and liste the fields. The beautiful s a vegetable or grain looks into environmentally frier farmers and landowners. bricks? You need to dig deep into vote and that it is to bloc surrounding our beautiful ticking. You represent this	Il Village and I am objecting to the proposed housing the building Oak. We are losing so much green space and our historic village is by the (badly built) houses that have erected on Hanwell Fields. One of the brick work on the houses off the road, to understand that ard for our village and simply wishes to make money, above all. House strain on local amenities at present and an additional 117 sure on already struggling doctors, internet, electricity, schools, It would not enhance the area or the community, it would be ot to mention the increased traffic that is already using our village etween two A roads on the Gaydon and Southam roads. You can't as cars driving through the village mount the pavements at pinch d be disastrous to us. If each new household had 2 cars (an would have over 234 more cars on the road in our area plus all the those properties. It would also undoubtedly create pollution caused oposed to be a conservation area but this appears to be overlooked oposed to be a conservation area but this appears to be overlooked on light pollution from 117 houses would be horrendous, especially ry. We have had enough. Is gone we will never get it back, it will be gone forever. It is not it can never come back to these areas. Ill not see the beauty of the English countryside. They will not n (councils and speculative builders) has destroyed until it is too day cannot see the trees, the hedgerows, the green fields and all at live in them. How will they learn about it and protect it in the eady have mental health issues because all the pressures of life and t into the countryside can help take you away from these things. n to the birds singing, the bees buzzing, the deer scattering across spiders webs in the hedges and fields. They can actually see what a like up close and personal. The land should be farmed or made thy areas - where there is financial support as incentives for Why not explore these initiatives? Why does it always have to be a your conscience and know that there is only one way you should k
Received Date	13/01/2024 18:35:36	
Attachments	13/01/2024 10.33.30	