Comment for planning application 23/00977/OUT

Application Number 23/00977/OUT

Location

OS Parcel 9195 North Of Claydon Road Cropredy

Proposal

Outline Planning Application (except for access) for residential development of up to 60 dwellings (Use Class C3) including a community facility, new vehicular and pedestrian access off Claydon Road, public open space and associated landscaping, earthworks, parking, engineering works and infrastructure

Case Officer

Katherine Daniels

Organisation

Name

Roger Watkiss

Address

Conifers, Red Lion Street, Cropredy, Banbury, OX17 1PB

Type of Comment

Objection

Type

neighbour

Comments

I object to the proposals submitted by Obsidian on behalf of Brasenose College.

Cropredy is a category A village, which I believe means, it is designated as suitable for small scale new development, infilling and conversions. The proposed development of 60 houses does not comply with this designation. Furthermore, Cherwell District Council has stated it has new housing land for 5.4 years. Cherwell also states it continues to exceed its targets. So why is Cherwell District Council even considering allowing large developments in small villages?

Some of the statements made by the applicant are blatantly incorrect. For example the applicant states that the proposed development will not add any parking spaces. This is clearly incorrect as their plans shows parking for over 100 cars. Their statement about public transport is again incorrect. The status of the land they propose to build on is misleading. Their comments regarding the doctor's surgery, is also misleading.

I am sure if this development is permitted some house buyers will have children of school age. The school is oversubscribed so any children of school age will have to be "shipped" out daily which will add to the severe congestion along Station Road as well as other village roads. It's unlikely that householders will find work in the village so again a big increase in daily vehicle movements.

Cropredy's infrastructure is fragile and just about able to cope with the current population. A development of this size would require major investment to improve the supply of water, sewage and drainage. None, as far as I can see, is included in the proposals submitted. Permission should not be granted for such a large development in a small category A rural village community.

Received Date

10/05/2023 20:57:01

Attachments