OXFORDSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL'S RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION ON THE FOLLOWING DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

District: Cherwell

Application no: 23/01493/REM

Proposal: Reserved Matters Application including access, layout, landscaping and scale pursuant to outline planning permission 14/02121/OUT for internal primary and secondary streets along with the partial discharge of conditions 12 (Building for Life 12), 16 (means of vehicular access), 17 (means of pedestrian/cycle links) and 19 (detailed surface water drainage scheme) for Phase 1B

Location: Proposed Himley Village North West Bicester, Middleton Stoney Road,

Bicester

Response Date: 24/07/2023

This report sets out the officer views of Oxfordshire County Council (OCC) on the above proposal. These are set out by individual service area/technical discipline and include details of any planning conditions or Informatives that should be attached in the event that permission is granted and any obligations to be secured by way of a S106 agreement. Where considered appropriate, an overarching strategic commentary is also included. If the local County Council member has provided comments on the application these are provided as a separate attachment.

Application no: 23/01493/REM

Location: Proposed Himley Village North West Bicester, Middleton Stoney Road,

Bicester

Transport Schedule

Recommendation:

Objection for the following reasons:

- Layout does not conform to design code (which we also have objections to)
- Street cross sections are not in accordance with Oxfordshire Street Design Guide and LTN 1/20.
- Layout does not include the details of the link to Middleton Stoney Road in the southeast corner and the path connecting it to the site ped/cycle network.
- Bus stop locations are not shown on the plans
- Car parking not in accordance with Oxfordshire Parking Standards

Comments:

This is a reserved matters application for the main roads within the initial phase of development at Himley Village.

Please see our comments on the Design Code. Our requirements set out in that response should be applied to this application.

Cycle track along southern boundary: See comments in response to consultation on masterplan for 'other uses' area. Also, the crossing at the Secondary Street needs to be LTN 1/20 compliant. Priority should be given to cyclists and pedestrians across the access road.

Where the cycle track cuts through the hedge, the alignment should be more of a lazy S rather than 90 degree bend, for safety reasons. A verge buffer should be provided between track and kerb.

Width of this track is not clear - Design Code says 4m wide - please clarify.

No details are provided of the path linking the residential development to Middleton Stoney Road at the southeast corner of the site.

Cross sections of streets

Street descriptions do not match those in the Design Code. Also dimensions do not match. Cycle facilities must be on both sides of the road - see comments on Design Code.

Cycle track must be clearly distinguished from the footway by e.g. coloured surfacing or a stepped kerb.

Introducing the correct cycle facilities means the overall corridor width needs to increase by at least 1m.

There needs to be a logical connection between the Spine Road and Primary Road cycle tracks, across the junction, to provide continuity. At all junctions connections across verge/swale must be considered.

Strategic secondary street that is not a bus route can be 5.5m in width.

The need to correct the street layout (as set out above and in our responses to the Design Code and Masterplan consultations) means that Conditions 16 and 17 (means of access) should not be discharged.

Bus stops

These need to be shown on the plan with appropriate shelter positions and any additional hard standing, taking account of the routing of cycle facilities.

Car parking

It's assumed that car parking provision is as set out in the Design Code - which is not acceptable because not in accordance with the updated Oxfordshire Parking Standards (these have a lower level of parking than previous standards).

Officer's Name: Joy White

Officer's Title: Principal Transport Planner

Date: 21/07/2023

Application no: 23/01493/REM

Location: Proposed Himley Village North West Bicester, Middleton Stoney Road,

Bicester

Lead Local Flood Authority

Recommendation:

Discharge of condition of condition 19 (Detailed surface water drainage scheme) for phase 1B

Having the reviewed the applicant's submitted details located within:

- 1. Drainage Strategy Overview
- 2. Drainage Strategy Sheet 1
- 3. Drainage Strategy Sheet 2
- 4. Drainage Strategy Sheet 3
- 5. Drainage Strategy Sheet 4
- 6. SuDS Sections Sheet 1
- 7. SuDS Sections Sheet 2
- 8. SuDS Sections Sheet 3

we would advise that the applicant has provided Sufficient information to discharge the condition 19 of the above referenced application.

Officer's Name: Shada Hasan Officer's Title: LLFA Engineer

Date: 30/06/2023

Application no: 23/01493/REM

Location: Proposed Himley Village North West Bicester, Middleton Stoney Road,

Bicester

Archaeology

Recommendation:

Permission 14/02121/OUT has been granted with conditions attached that require a phase of archaeological mitigation in advance of development.

As such there is no necessity to attach further requirements at this reserved matters stage.

Key issues:
_egal agreement required to secure:
Conditions:
nformatives:
Detailed comments:

Officer's Name: Victoria Green

Officer's Title: Planning Archaeologist

Date: 29/06/2023