Comment for planning application 24/00539/F

Application Number	24/00539/F	
Location	Land To The East Of Stratfield Brake And West Of Oxford Parkway Railway Station Oxford Road Kidlington	
Proposal	Erection of a stadium (Use Class F2) with flexible commercial and community facilities and uses including for conferences, exhibitions, education, and other events, club shop, public restaurant, bar, health and wellbeing facility/clinic, and gym (Use Class E/Sui Generis), hotel (Use Class C1), external concourse/fan-zone, car and cycle parking, access and highway works, utilities, public realm, landscaping and all associated and ancillary works and structures	
Case Officer	Laura Bell	
Organisation Name Address		
Type of Comment	Objection	
Туре	neighbour	
Comments	of Stratfield Brake. In par for building this football s The project depends on a the fortunes of Oxford Ur To believe that the council ludicrous. The Council is table (where it is current attract a bigger fan base nothing to do with the ec who are doing their best medical and AI innovation Green Belt and degrading economic case that unde It is even more unjustifia football club when it may provide a home for Oxfor Furthermore, nothing in t infrastructure of Thames You must be aware of the planning permission for c north of the A40 near He Treatment Works are not 15 February 2024 which such a dire condition that Brook], without improver network failures. What the EA has pointed large new development in fulfilled its legal obligatio for repairing and upgradi and in other parts of Oxfor the system may not take any local authority to pro serious situation where w Yours sincerely Eugenie Buchan	ections to this commercial development highlighted by the Friends rticular I object to the idea that there are exceptional circumstances stadium in the Green Belt. A very uncertain business model that is more of a glorified bet on nited than a sustainable economic strategy: il can justify this new stadium can be justified in any sense is betting on the ability of Oxford United to climb the League One ly ranked seventh) and graduate to a bigger league table that can and investment. This is a pathetic business model which has onomic aspirations of Oxford, its universities and entrepreneurs to generate long term sustainable growth through technological n (for which Oxford rightfully has a global reputation). Ruining the g the biodiversity of this area makes no sense given the very weak rpins this sports proposal. ble to promote this white elephant of a stadium for a minor league still be possible to negotiate with the owners of Kassam Stadium to d United. this application appears to fully consider the failing wastewater Water in relation to future commercial or residential development. e Environment Agency recommendation to SODC not to give outline levelopment of some 1560 units at Land North of Bayswater Brook (adington and Marston) because Thames Water's Oxford Sewage 'compliant with its [EA] permit' (see the letter of the EA to SODC I attach). As the EA highlighted to SODC, the Oxford STW are in t Additional load within the network [from Land North of Bayswater nents, will lead to more storm overflows, pollution incidents and out about Land north of Bayswater Brook must apply to any other n and around Oxford. As the EA pointed out, Thames Water has not ns in maintaining the network, nor in providing a reliable timetable ng the infrastructure on which all new development around Oxford ordshire (not to mention Gloucestershire) depend. Improvements to place until well after 2030. It is entirely irresponsible on the part of imote or approve a development that will aggravate an already vastewater infrastructure is
Received Date	21/04/2024 21:39:10	
Attachments	The following files have been uploaded:	
	2024 02 15 Damen	

• 2024-02-15 Response (1).pdf