Comment for planning application 24/00539/F

| Application Number | 24/00539/F |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Location | Land To The East Of Stratfield Brake And West Of Oxford Parkway Railway Station Oxford Road Kidlington |
| Proposal | Erection of a stadium (Use Class F2) with flexible commercial and community facilities and uses including for conferences, exhibitions, education, and other events, club shop, public restaurant, bar, health and wellbeing facility/clinic, and gym (Use Class E/Sui Generis), hotel (Use Class C1), external concourse/fan-zone, car and cycle parking, access and highway works, utilities, public realm, landscaping and all associated and ancillary works and structures |
| Case Officer | Laura Bell |
| Organisation Name Address | |
| | |
| Type of Comment | Objection |
| Туре | neighbour |
| Comments | I write to you as a current resident of Wolvercote - and as a former resident of Kidlington, Cutteslowe and North Oxford. I have lived in Oxford and Oxfordshire for nearly 50 years. It is now over 30 years since I walked my son up from our then home in East Oxford to his first Oxford United game. We followed United at home and away. My son did schoolboy training sessions with United players. I viewed the annulment of the Manor ground covenant with some misgivings: I felt it was now to be about money and not the club. I am very worried about the current proposals. I have read Oxfordshire County Council (OCC) documents and I completed the consultation questionnaire. I understand that Mr Kassam (or his business) owns the actual stadium but has not in fact required the club to vacate the premises. I am very worried about the lack of transparency in respect of the club's reasons for moving, and OCC's failure to require the club fully to explain why it proposes to abandon the current stadium. I cannot understand why OCC refuses to say why the club cannot stay at the existing stadium. I am unable to determine how, or by which democratic process, the OCC 'seven priorities' were first established - and this lack of rigour and transparency extends throughout the proposal and consultation. In any event, I cannot see how the 'seven priorities' have been met, given that the officer says that they have only been 'mostly' addressed. Building a stadium would mean a tragic loss of green belt land. I believe that maintaining the green belt is essential for the environment, for biodiversity, for residents' mental health, and for giving physical form to a community identity. The club's proposed 'shared open spaces' would be no substitute for the loss of the destruction of the old building. The football club quotes RIBA criteria but offers no actual calculus for examination of the environmental cost of site preparation, transport |

bear the price - environmental, noise, disturbance, traffic, delays, dirt - while all profit will accrue to overseas owners. This is a failed model, as we have seen so disastrously with the water industry. Just as the water companies did, the club proposes to make various unenforceable 'commitments'. Local residents will bear the environmental and financial costs when the club fails to make good on its promises, while the overseas owners will extract their profit.

15. I attended the Oxford North Consultation. I asked a planner how residents might commute to Oxford. "They can cycle down the canal," was the reply. I asked if had cycled down the canal path, with its dog walkers, parents with buggies, bird watchers and so on. No, he had not. Oh dear. We discussed the loss of green belt. I got the firm impression that permission for Oxford North was granted on the basis that there would be no further development on the Green Belt between Oxford and Kidlington.

16. The BBC reported (on 2 November 2021) that the club's new Indonesian owner, Aninda Bakrie, said when purchasing a share from a Thai businessman, that any development would have to be sustainable, and at the core of his aims. (He said this as part of Indonesia's delegation to COP26 in Glasgow). A key part of sustainability in building is avoiding any unnecessary reconstruction - and this is now RIBA policy. Why is OCC failing to hold the owner of the club to his promises?

17. It may be my failure adequately to scrutinise OCC documents but I have been unable to find a list of OCC members and officers who support Oxford United.

18. I would be most grateful if you would kindly take my views into account.

Received Date 22/0

22/04/2024 13:57:45

Attachments