## Comment for planning application 24/00539/F

Application Number	24/00539/F	
Location	Land To The East Of Stratfie Road Kidlington	d Brake And West Of Oxford Parkway Railway Station Oxford
Proposal	Erection of a stadium (Use Class F2) with flexible commercial and community facilities and uses including for conferences, exhibitions, education, and other events, club shop, public restaurant, bar, health and wellbeing facility/clinic, and gym (Use Class E/Sui Generis), hotel (Use Class C1), external concourse/fan-zone, car and cycle parking, access and highway works, utilities, public realm, landscaping and all associated and ancillary works and structures	
Case Officer	Laura Bell	
Organisation Name Address		
Type of Comment	Ohiastian	
Туре от сопшенс	Objection	
Comments	neighbour	tod for the following reasons:
comments	<ul> <li>The proposal should be rejected for the following reasons:</li> <li>1. This is protected green belt land, of which little elsewhere will remain after the approved housing developments around Kidlington have been built. No exceptional need has been justified which would allow Cherwell District Council to grant Planning permission.</li> <li>2. There is no scope for the development in the Cherwell Local plan.</li> <li>3. Evidence has emerged (reported in the Oxford Times) that it is OUFC who have got themselves in this position by declining the opportunity to extend their lease at Kassam, so they can present the simple narrative they have no choice but to move. However this is reported as not the case, and they should be challenged on this.</li> <li>4. Closure of Banbury Road is unacceptable. Residents of Kidlington and north Oxford will face huge delays on cars or buses every other Saturday and various weekday evenings while thousands of fans travel. It is clear the proposed pedestrian bridge is a fig leaf offered now but will be conveniently dropped later on by claiming it is unviable. It will be very challenging and expensive to build over the Banbury Road, A34 and railway, and would look very obtrusive.</li> </ul>	
	residents to travel by bus or bus and train during match of	for fan parking conflicts with its purpose, which is to allow train into Oxford. How are residents expected to travel on the days? How will I collect my elderly parents arriving at Oxford e end of a match? Or will I be expected to plan my weekends
	Brake sports ground and Go	erely limited for residents. Trips by car to Sainsburys, Stratfield sford Leisure Centre will be impossible when matches are on. s will offer unofficial parking on their grounds, creating an l back streets.
	existing stadium, which is ba mitigations OUFC propose as	ed by the club does not address the embodied carbon of the arely two decades old. This is a huge negative and renders the s mostly greenwash. Promoting it as the first all electric stadium this era of heat pumps and solar panels. They would struggle to th gas boilers.
	8. Two thirds of the Parish h	ave voted against the development in the Parish Council poll.
	such as "will the development to disagree with. These cons	council consultations have been clearly biased, with questions nt improve sporting facilities in the area", which are impossible siderations have been given equal weight as the serious impact DCC have a vested interest in this as the landowners.