

Instead, a cheap and quick build to satisfy the financial benefits of its overseas palm oil investors.

By simply asking the question 'what if?' OUFC are failing to make any pledges or demonstrate any evidence of agreed and firm CO2e reduction actions or means thereof. They have provided no evidence that any of these 'aspirations' will be delivered.

Buildings account for 37% of total GHG emissions; How can Oxfordshire County Council (OCC) who have supported this project from the start "Do their part to achieve a net zero carbon district by 2030 and to lead through example" whilst acting in this way?

OCC may wish to consider compulsory purchase of Kassam, since they appear to feel that an unnecessary football ground outweighs the legalities of green belt land.

If OCC feel so strongly about the need to assist OUFC, they should interject into the negotiations with Mr Kassam about coming to an agreement over a perfectly good and established football ground; A ground that has built-in potential and foundations to deliver 16,000 seats.

Yours faithfully

L Asbury

Resident

Received Date

31/03/2024 00:32:19

Attachments