

# Comment for planning application 23/00977/OUT

<b>Application Number</b>	23/00977/OUT
<b>Location</b>	OS Parcel 9195 North Of Claydon Road Cropredy
<b>Proposal</b>	Outline Planning Application (except for access) for residential development of up to 60 dwellings (Use Class C3) including a community facility, new vehicular and pedestrian access off Claydon Road, public open space and associated landscaping, earthworks, parking, engineering works and infrastructure
<b>Case Officer</b>	Katherine Daniels

<b>Organisation Name</b>	Paul Broadbridge
<b>Address</b>	Water Meadows,25 Station Road,Cropredy,Banbury,OX17 1PS
<b>Type of Comment</b>	Objection
<b>Type</b>	neighbour

<b>Comments</b>	<p>I refer to the re-submitted application 23/00977/out and confirm that my previous objections remain.</p> <p>Cropredy is a small village that should be retained. It does not require to become another urban development. Banbury already has multiple housing developments within the area and needs to retain its local villages. There would be a significant change to the environment and village with any further housing development, with the loss of greenfield site and destruction of wildlife. Flooding risks and excessive traffic issues. The population and traffic would increase significantly. Any such development would go against the current classification. 60 homes in itself will be overwhelming for the village and in reality would simply lead to a precedent that will end up with further housing and an urban sprawl.</p> <p>Mention is made of a new surgery. The design looks totally inappropriate within the architecture of the village. The current surgery site clearly has plenty of space and potential to expand if there was a genuine need. It is clear when compared to over surgeries across the UK, that Cropredy surgery is far larger and modern than most. In times when the NHS budget is under so much pressure it beggars belief that it can even be contemplated to build a new surgery when the current site can easily be developed at a significantly lesser cost.</p> <p>It is surprising that the GP practice seems to be working with the developers and not there current landlords. When faced with a housing development in Hanwell, the local GP was very much against having a housing development in Hanwell Village. I echo many of those objections that were outlined in the Guardian Newspaper when fighting such a development. See the following link:</p> <p><a href="https://www.google.com/search?q=hanwell+GP+fighths+housing+development&amp;rlz=1C1ONGR_en-GBGB966GB966&amp;oq=hanwell+GP+fighths+housing+development&amp;gs_lcrp=EgZjaHJvbWUyBggAEEUYOTIHCAEQIRigATIHCAIQIRigAdIBCjE0Mjc2ajBqMTWoAgCwAgA&amp;sourceid=chrome&amp;ie=UTF-8">https://www.google.com/search?q=hanwell+GP+fighths+housing+development&amp;rlz=1C1ONGR_en-GBGB966GB966&amp;oq=hanwell+GP+fighths+housing+development&amp;gs_lcrp=EgZjaHJvbWUyBggAEEUYOTIHCAEQIRigATIHCAIQIRigAdIBCjE0Mjc2ajBqMTWoAgCwAgA&amp;sourceid=chrome&amp;ie=UTF-8</a></p> <p>I also note that as part of the recent Bicester housing development, resulting in many thousand of houses, the site earmarked for a GP surgery could not be established. In a public meeting the Cropredy Surgery confirmed there was no extra finance available. Even if there now is, it is clearly inappropriate.</p>
-----------------	--

<b>Received Date</b>	03/12/2023 19:34:23
----------------------	---------------------

**Attachments**