## Comment for planning application 22/03868/OUT

**Application Number** 22/03868/OUT

Location

Land West Adj To Salt Way And West Of Bloxham Road Banbury

**Proposal** 

Development of up to 65 homes including open space provision, parking, landscaping, drainage and associated works, with All Matters Reserved (appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) except for Access

**Case Officer** 

Linda Griffiths

**Organisation** 

Name

M Elkins

**Address** 

16 Selby Close, Banbury, OX16 9ZG

Type of Comment

Objection

**Type** 

neighbour

Comments

I object to this planning application.

Tyrrell Road is already extremely overcrowded with parked cars for the houses upon it. Given Tyrell road is also the only access to Bloxham Vale it already struggles to accommodate the number of cars and now you want to allow more! This is not acceptable we cannot have more lorries running up and down the road, this plus more cars will create a traffic nightmare and additionally cause more air and noise pollution. The access for Bloxham Vale is barely sufficient as it is and now you want to allow a further development to have that same access. Another objector made a very good point, that the proposed site is at least 25% the size of Bloxham Vale and therefore should not be allowed to have access via Bloxham Vale but via a new access road off the A361. The increase in traffic, noise and pollution will be untenable but unfair to residents already living here.

Another objector also makes a very good point, that as a fellow resident of Selby Close, it is not just Tyrell Road that will see a marked increase in traffic passing through it, traffic which does not even belong to Bloxham Vale I say again, but so will Selby Close, a very quiet residential dead end. Indeed the road is called Selby CLOSE, not Selby road. The council must object to this planning application with the current plan being for access via Bloxham Vale development.

As well as road issues, there is the environmental impact, at the end of Selby close there is a natural copse of trees and bushes which provide essential habitat for the wildlife and also provides a sense of community and nature to the development. The proposal means a great hole would be cut through it to create access, is Cherwell council going to allow further natural habits to be destroyed for the greed of another developer to develop land which has already been very developed. Redrow I know worked hard to incorporate nature into its proposal with hedgehog highways, owl boxes etc. Now this proposal plans to cut a hole right through such nature. This is not acceptable. The copse provides nature a safe haven, a natural boundary for residents of Bloxham Vale and a return to nature also. You cannot allow further damage to the area.

I also object on the grounds that as residents we pay an annual maintenance charge to maintain Bloxham Vales public spaces. Why should we have to pay this simply so others whom do not contribute can use it and potentially destroy it through further increased use this is inequitable and untenable as any increase in work due to increase in cars and footfall will fall to Bloxham Vale residents and not the council to pick up. It is not fair for others t use it and have no responsibility.

Additionally, you are asking for responses for this planning proposal without contacting ALL residents as is indicated in the other comments (all of which are objections) and additionally, some houses are not yet built and so future residents who are investing a lot of money in their properties will not be able to object. This is not democratic.

I and my partner highly object to this proposal in its current form where access is via Bloxham Vale through Tyrell Road and Selby Close.

**Received Date** 

10/01/2023 19:34:58

**Attachments**