

# Comment for planning application 20/01747/F

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="20/01747/F"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="Land South Side Of Widnell Lane Piddington"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="Change of Use of land to a 6no pitch Gypsy and Traveller site to include 6no mobiles, 6no tourers and associated operational development including hardstanding and fencing"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="Matthew Chadwick"/>
<b>Organisation Name</b>	<input type="text" value="John Enda O Sullivan"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="The Old School,Thame Road,Piddington,Bicester,OX25 1PT"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<input ".="" (comprising="" 16="" 1pt"="" a="" and="" be="" by="" considered="" development="" development,="" enda="" environment,="" existing="" generating="" harm="" in="" is="" its="" natural="" noise="" not="" o="" of="" old="" or="" ox25="" piddington="" pitches),="" potential="" proposed="" reason="" regards="" relation="" relationship="" road="" school="" services,="" siting="" size="" suitable="" sullivan="" sustainable="" thame="" the="" to="" type="text" uses="" value="I object to this planning application. This application MUST be considered in connection with planning application 20/01122/F. Joining the dots... Application 1 17/00145/F 16 pitches REFUSED. Application 2 17/01962/F 6 pitches. REFUSED granted on appeal. Application 3 20/01122/F Increase 6 pitches to 12 (current). Application 4 20/01747/F 6 pitches on adjoining land. This is now 18 pitches in the same area of land , two more then the first application 17/00145/F which was refused. It is cynical manipulation of the planning application system. The Piddington population would be dominated by the addition of 16 traveller families. It would be unfair and wrong to burden our village with this planned development. The objections as laid out in my objection to 20/01122/F also apply here: - I do not think there is a need for additional gypsy/traveller pitches, within the last 18 months Cherwell has already approved 13 brand new pitches. - The site is too large in proximity to our village. - The developer should demonstrate compliance with all of the planning conditions imposed on the development for the 6 pitches (17/01962/F) before any further development in the area is considered. It is worth noting that the original application 17/00145/F was rejected on the grounds that .... "/>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="23/08/2020 15:45:33"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	