Comment for planning application 23/02471/F

Application Number	23/02471/F		
Location	OS Parcel 0622 South Of Jersey Cottages And East Of Heyford Road Kirtlington		
Proposal	together with garaging ar	dwellings and upgrading of existing access onto the Heyford Road, ad parking, footpath link, the removal and re-instatement of a ad its repair along the Heyford Road frontage, and landscaping and	
Case Officer	Jeanette Davey		
Organisation			
Name	Jackie Croker		
Address	Foxtowns Barn, Heyford Road, Kirtlington, Kidlington, OX5 3HS		
Type of Comment	Objection		
Туре	neighbour		
Comments	which should be included and no more was being re- bigger development inten Our main concern is the s- where cars traditionally d calming solutions being a very disruptive with heav Assuming some of new re- require x2 crossings of ro link this development to t particularly in the mornin school and junior school of Would prefer number of p Appreciate the intention f stone wall but unclear of is aligned to development	e application is for 14 houses but the area clearly has space for the additional homes ich should be included in the initial application if that is real intention, if a smaller number d no more was being requested we would maybe be supportive but it is clear there is a ger development intended! r main concern is the safety of access with additional vehicles at the end of a village ere cars traditionally drive faster than 20mph. (Nb definitely do not want any traffic ming solutions being added to plan such as humps being introduced as these have been y disruptive with heavy goods vehicles in the past) suming some of new residents will have families using village school, it will currently juire x2 crossings of road to reach the village school , (unless a footpath is proposed to k this development to the village school without any need to cross the road) which ticularly in the morning is very busy with commuter traffic and. Would say danger to pre nool and junior school children. uld prefer number of properties being built to be lower (in line with Akeman spinney) preciate the intention for look and feel to be in keeping with village and intention to repain ne wall but unclear of need for removing trees if alternative pedestrian access point which aligned to development road entrance used rather than removing existing tree screen. uld be supportive for development approx 1/2 size but definitely not twice size	
Received Date	13/10/2023 12:40:15		
Attachments			