

# Comment for planning application 20/01747/F

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="20/01747/F"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="Land South Side Of Widnell Lane Piddington"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="Change of Use of land to a 6no pitch Gypsy and Traveller site to include 6no mobiles, 6no tourers and associated operational development including hardstanding and fencing"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="Matthew Chadwick"/>
<b>Organisation Name</b>	<input type="text" value="Christopher Weavers"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="Brook Cottage,55 Thame Road,Piddington,Bicester,OX25 1PY"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Comment"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<input type="text" value="I am commenting on application 20/01747/F Application 20/1122/F currently under consideration would increase the number of pitches to 12. This latest application would increase this figure to 18. The tactic from the applicant seems to be to try to increase the overall size of the site gradually by making a number of applications. I would ask that the overall size of the site be the main consideration and it's impact on the neighboring village of Piddington, the pressure on local services, the need for additional sites, and safety. I understand that approval has been given for further pitches at Launton and Chesterton and within the last 18 months Cherwell has approved 13 brand new pitches. Is there a proven need for further pitches in the area? Piddington has a population of 370 according to the 2011 census. A large residential development a mile or so away with maybe 100+ persons on site would be out of proportion to a village where no new development is permitted. An even larger number of pitches so near to the busy and fast B4011 must be a safety concern for such a large number of persons, many of whom will have children. In addition the Environmental Agency have already expressed concern about the proposal for septic tanks to be provided on the site. Increasing the size of the site must make these concerns more pertinent. The close proximity of an active MOD site used on occasion for exercises cannot be considered ideal for a residential development. I would ask that this current application for further development on an already unsuitable site be rejected."/>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="18/08/2020 09:22:28"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	