

# Comment for planning application 20/01747/F

<b>Application Number</b>	20/01747/F
<b>Location</b>	Land South Side Of Widnell Lane Piddington
<b>Proposal</b>	Change of Use of land to a 6no pitch Gypsy and Traveller site to include 6no mobiles, 6no tourers and associated operational development including hardstanding and fencing
<b>Case Officer</b>	Matthew Chadwick
<b>Organisation Name</b>	
<b>Name</b>	Beverley Hopkins
<b>Address</b>	Elliott Cottage,33 Lower End,Piddington,Bicester,OX25 1QD
<b>Type of Comment</b>	Objection
<b>Type</b>	neighbour
<b>Comments</b>	<p>Please note I have already objected to the increase for a further 6 pitches on the earlier application proposal which would increase the number from 6 to 12 20/01122/F: I now wish to object to this application (which is under a different name, and case planner) - so is the Planning Committee being "blindsided" by a developer? The proposed increase of 6 additional pitches will extend the site to 18 pitches (which was the original application back in 2019, which was then reduced to 6 and refused twice by CDC) There are also some worrying statements in the application, which shows lack of professionalism by those agents submitting it. Planning Statement Section 1 'Introduction' it says 'planning application for an application for 1 new four bedroom dwelling house.' - this has never been applied or referred to before Section 2 'Site description and context' states 'The site has an existing vehicular access from Widnell Lane on the northern boundary of the site'. There is no access to Widnell Lane from this part of the field. The only current access to this field is via the entrance that forms part of Application 20/01122/F. As such, a new access point closer to the B4011 would be needed and this should be indicated clearly on the plans and the safety of such an access needs to be assessed. In Section 6 'Considerations', part (a) it says 'There is currently no supply of sites to meet the need for gypsy and traveller accommodation in Cherwell'. This is wrong. Recently 13 pitches have been approved by CDC These issue aside, I object to this application under 2 main planning points, HOWEVER my previous objections and reasons remain valid for this application as the other application objects for the piece of land. Dominance on the local community: If this application were to be granted, then the site could have over nearly 150 people on site which is approximately half the population of Piddington - this would clearly dominate the local community. Need: Cherwell District Council have recently allocated several sites within the district for gypsy/traveller site development. Therefore, there is no longer any need for additional sites. In summary this planning application has no merit, is not sustainable and there is no need for further development of this type in this location.</p>
<b>Received Date</b>	28/08/2020 14:46:30
<b>Attachments</b>	