**From:** Public Access DC Comments   
**Sent:** 07 September 2018 14:41  
**To:** Public Access DC Comments  
**Subject:** Comments for Planning Application 18/01482/F

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 2:41 PM on 07 Sep 2018 from Mr Stuart Cummins.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Application Summary** | |
| **Address:** | Land To The South And Adj To South Side Steeple Aston |
| **Proposal:** | Erection of 6 no. two-storey residential dwellings with access off South Side, including parking and garaging, landscaping and all enabling and ancillary works. |
| **Case Officer:** | James Kirkham |
| [Click for further information](https://www.publicaccess.cherwell.gov.uk/online-applications/centralDistribution.do?caseType=Application&keyVal=PDM56VEMFRB00) | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Customer Details** | |
| **Name:** | Mr Stuart Cummins |
| **Email:** |  |
| **Address:** | 2 Bradshaw Close, Steeple Aston, Bicester OX25 4SA |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Comments Details** | |
| **Commenter Type:** | Neighbour |
| **Stance:** | Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application |
| **Reasons for comment:** |  |
| **Comments:** | Re: Application No. 18/01482/F Comments: It is disappointing that this formal application has singularly failed to address the widely held view that access to and from this proposed development has not been properly considered. This is despite the addition of a new "Design and Access Statement" dated August 2018 which was an ideal opportunity for the developer to allay these concerns. I would refer back to the OCC Highways Department objection dated 25th January 2018 (Roger Plater to James Kirkham) regarding visibility splays and lack of footpath provision.  The visibility splays are too short and have been calculated from a traffic survey in December that provided woefully low "85 percentile" speeds as a consequence of the inclement weather during the 7 day period. What is particularly disappointing, is that the developers own original Transport Statement by MJA Consulting dated 7th November 2017 suggests that there is scope for visibility splays that would meet the demands of a more accurate speed and traffic survey. (Ref clauses 3.2.3 / 5.1.3 and drawing 5634:300 Rev B) The subject of pedestrian access is understood to be a very difficult one. However, this does not mean that it can be ignored with the hope that it will disappear. Unfortunately, nothing in this application appears to suggest that this isn't precisely what has occurred here. Personally, I do not have any issue over developing the land, but it is very frustrating that good and through design together with compliance with standards is ridden roughshod.  Stuart Cummins C.Eng, MICE, CMaPS |